## **LETTER**

FROM THE

# HON. TIMOTHY PICKERING,

A SENATOR OF THE UNITED STATES

FROM THE

STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS,

## **EXHIBITING TO HIS CONSTITUENTS**

A VIEW OF THE IMMINENT DANGER

OF AN

### UNNECESSARY AND RUINOUS WAR.

ADDRESSED TO

HIS EXCELLENCY JAMES SULLIVAN,

GOVERNOR OF THE SAID STATE.

BOSTON:

PRINTED BY GREENOUGH AND STEERINS.

1808.

#### TO THE READER.

THE following is a publick Letter. It is very properly addressed to the Governor, and through him to the Legislature: by this channel it would have come most regularly to the eye of the whole people. It is not known to the publishers why it has not been already printed for the use of the publick;—whether it is only delayed, or intended to be entirely withheld. But a copy, which was sent from Washington, after the original, to a private friend, has been happily obtained for the press.

If at this day any honest citizen can doubt of the great credit and weight to which the facts and opinions of the Writer are fairly entitled, such citizen is referred to the Writer's enemies for information. Among these many of the most respectable will say, that his pure patriotism and intrepid publick virtue have honoured the name of Republican in our country, and would have honoured the best of the Romans, in the best days of Rome.

Boston, March 9th, 1808.

SIR,

IN the even current of ordinary times, an address from a Senator in Congress to his constituents might be dispensed with. In such times, the proceedings of the Executive and Legislature of the United States, exhibited in their publick acts, might be sufficient. But the present singular condition of our country, when its most interesting concerns, wrapt up in mystery, excite universal alarm, requires me to be no longer silent. Perhaps I am liable to censure, at such a criss, for not sooner presenting, to you and them, such a view of our national affairs as my official situation has placed in my power. I now address it to you, Sir, as the proper organ of communication to the legislature.

The attainment of TRUTH is ever defirable: and I cannot permit myself to doubt that the statement I now make must be acceptable to all who have an agency in directing the affairs, and who are guardians of the interests of our Commonwealth, which so materially depend on the measures of the Government of the Nation. At the same time, I am aware of the jealousy with which, in these unhappy days of party dissensions, my communications may, by some of my constituents, be received. Of this I will not complain: while I earnestly wish the same jealousy to be extended towards all publick men. Yet I may claim some share of attention and credit—that share which is due to the man who desies the world to point, in the whole course of a long and publick life, at one instance of deception, at a single departure from TRUTH.

The EMBARGO demands the first notice. For perhaps no act of the National Government has ever produced so much solicitude, or spread such universal alarm. Because all naturally conclude, that a measure pregnant with incalculable mischief to all classes of our fellow-citizens, would not have been proposed by the President, and adopted by Congress, but for causes deeply affecting the interests and safety of the nation. It must have been under the influence of this opinion that the legislative bodies of some States have expressed their approbation of the Embargo, either explicitly, or by implication.

The following were all the papers laid by the Prefident before Congress, as the grounds of the Embargo.

- 1. The proclamation of the King of Great-Britain requiring the return of his subjects, the scamen especially, from soreign countries, to aid, in this hour of peculiar danger, in the defence of their own. But it being an acknowledged principle, that every nation has a right to the service of its subjects in time of war, that proclamation could not furnish the slightest ground for an Embargo.
- 2. The extract of a letter from the Grand Judge Regnier to the French Attorney General for the Council of Prizes. This contained a partial interpretation of the imperial blockading decree of November 21, 1806. This decree, indeed, and its interpretation, prefent flagrant violations of our neutral rights, and of the existing treaty between the United States and France: but still, the execution of that decree could not (from the small number of French cruisers) extensively interrupt our trade. These two papers were publick.
- 3. The letter from our Minister, Mr. Armstrong, to Mr. Champagny, the French Minister of Foreign Affairs: and
- 4. Mr. Champagny's answer. Both these ought, in form or substance, also to have been made publick. The latter would have furnished to our nation some idea of the views and expectations of France. But both were withdrawn by the President, to be deposited among other Executive secrets: while neither presented any new ground to justify an Embargo.

In the Senate, these papers were referred to a committee. committee quickly reported a bill for laying an Embargo, agreeably to the President's proposal. This was read a first, a second, and a third time, and paffed; and all in the short compass of about four hours! A little time was repeatedly asked, to obtain further information, and to confider a measure of fuch moment, of fuch universal concern: but these requests were denied. We were hurried into the passage of the bill, as if there was danger of its being rejected, if we were allowed time to obtain further information, and deliberately confider the fubject. For to that time our veffels were freely failing on foreign voyages; and in a national point of view, the departure of half a dozen or a dozen more, while we were inquiring into the necessity or expediency of the Embargo, was of little moment. Or if the danger to our vessels, seamen and merchandize had been fo extreme as not to admit of one day's delay, ought not that extreme danger to have been exhibited to Congress? The Constitution which requires the President "to give to Congress information of the state of the union," certainly meant, not partial, but complete information on the subject of a communication, so far as he poffeffed it. And when it enjoins him " to recommend to their confideration fuch measures as he should judge necessary and expedient," it as certainly intended that those recommendations fould be bottomed on information communicated, not on facts withheld, and locked up in the Executive cabinet. Had the publick fafety been at stake, or any great publick good been presented to our view, but which would be loft by a moment's delay; there would have been some apology for dispatch, though none for acting without due information. In truth, the measure appeared to me then, as it still does, and as it appears to the publick, without a fufficient motive, without a legitimate object. Hence the general inquiry-" For what is the Embargo laid?" And I challenge any man not in the fecrets of the Executive to tell. I know, Sir, that the President said the papers abovementioned "showed that great and increasing dangers threatened our vessels, our seamen, and our merchandize:" but I also know that they exhibited no new dangers; none of which our merchants and feamen had not been well apprized. The British proclamation had many days before

been published in the newspapers [the copy laid before us by the Prefident had been cut out of a newspaper;] and so had the substance, if not the words of Regnier's letter. Yet they had excited little concern among merchants and feamen, the prefervation of whose persons and property was the professed object of the President's recommendation of an Embargo. The merchants and feamen could accurately estimate the dangers of continuing their commercial operations; of which dangers, indeed, the actual premiums of infurance were a fatisfactory gauge. Those premiums had very little increased: by the British proclamation not a cent: and by the French decree so little as not to stop commercial enterprizes. The great numbers of veffels loading or loaded, and prepared for fea; the exertions every where made, on the first rumour of the Embargo, to dispatch them; demonstrate the President's dangers to be imaginary-to have been affumed. Or if great and real dangers, unknown to commercial men, were impending, or fure to fall, how defirable was it to have had them officially declared and published! This would have produced a voluntary embargo, and prevented every complaint. Besides, the dangers clearly defined and understood, the publick mind would not have been disquieted with imaginary fears, the more tormenting, because uncertain.

It is true that confiderable numbers of vessels were collected in our ports, and many held in suspense: not, however, from any new dangers which appeared; but from the mysterious conduct of our affairs, after the attack on the Chesapeake; and from the painful apprehension that the course the President was pursuing would terminate in war. The National Intelligencer (usually considered as the Executive newspaper) gave the alarm; and it was echoed through the United States. War, probable or inevitable war, was the constant theme of the newspapers, and of the conversations, as was reported, of persons supposed to be best informed of Executive designs. Yet amid this din of war, no adequate preparations were seen making to meet it. The order to detach a hundred thousand militia to sight the British navy (for there was no appearance of an enemy in any other shape) was so completely absurd, as to excite, with men of common sense, no other emotion than ridicule. Not

the shadow of a reason that could operate on the mind of a man of common understanding can be offered in its justification. The refusal of the British officer to receive the frigate Chesapeake as a prize, when tendered by her commander, is a demonstration that the attack upon her was exclusively for the purpose of taking their deferters; and not intended as the commencement of a war between the two nations. The Prefident knew that the British had no invading army to land on our shores; and the detached militia would be useless, except against land-forces. Why then was this order for the Militia given ?- The nature of the case, and the actual state of things, authorize the inference, that its immediate, if not its only object, was to increase the publick alarm, to aggravate the publick refentment against Great-Britain, to excite a war pulse; and in the height of this artificial fever of the publick mind, which was to be made known in Great-Britain, to renew the demands on her government; in the poor expectation of extorting, in that state of things, concessions of points which she had always considered as her rights, and which at all times and under all circumstances, she had uniformly refused to relinquish. The result of the subsequent negociation at London has shown how utterly unfounded was the Prefident's expectation, how perfectly useless all this bluster of war. While no well informed man doubted that the British Government would make fuitable reparation for the attack on the Chefapeake. The President himself, in his proclamation, had placed the affair on that footing. A rupture between the two nations, faid he, "is equally opposed to the interests of both, as it is to assurances of the most friendly dispositions on the part of the British Government, in the midst of which this outrage was committed. In this light the subject cannot but present itself to that Government, and ftrengthen the motives to an honourable reparation for the wrong which has been done." And it is now well known that fuch reparation might have been promptly obtained in London, had the Prefident's instructions to Mr. Monroe been compatible with fuch an adjustment. He was required not to negociate on this fingle, transient act (which when once adjusted was for ever settled) but in connection with another claim of long standing, and, to fay the least, of doubtful right; to wit, the exemption from impressment of British seamen found on board American merchant vessels. To remedy the evil arising from its exercise, by which our own citizens were fometimes impressed, the attention of our Government, under every administration, had been earnestly engaged: but no practicable plan has yet been contrived: while no man who regards the truth, will question the disposition of the British Government to adopt any arrangement that will secure to Great-Britain the services of her own fubjects. And now, when the unexampled situation of that country (left alone to maintain the conflict with France and her numerous dependent States-left alone to withstand the Power which menaces the liberties of the world) rendered the aid of all her subjects more than ever needful; there was no reasonable ground to expect that she would yield the right to take them when found on board the merchant vessels of any nation. Thus to insist on her yielding this point, and inseparably to connect it with the affair of the Chefapeake, was tantamount to a determination not to negociate at all.

I write, Sir, with freedom; for the times are too perilous to allow those who are placed in high and responsible situations to be filent or referved. The peace and fafety of our country are fufpended on a thread. The course we have seen pursued leads on to war-to a war with Great-Britain-a war absolutely without neceffity-a war which whether difastrous or fuccessful, must bring mifery and ruin to the United States: mifery by the destruction of our navigation and commerce (perhaps also of our fairest seaport towns and cities) the lofs of markets for our produce, the want of foreign goods and manufactures, and the other evils incident to a state of war: and ruin, by the loss of our liberty and independence. For if with the aid of our arms Great-Britain were fubdued,-from that moment (though flattered perhaps with the name of allies) we should become the Provinces of France. This is a refult fo obvious, that I must crave your pardon for noticing it. Some advocates of Executive measures admit it. They acknowledge that the navy of Britain is our shield against the overwhelming power of France.-Why then do they perfift in a course of conduct tending to a rupture with Great-Britain ?-Will it be

believed that it is principally, or folely, to procure inviolability to the merchant flag of the United States? In other words, to protect all feamen, British subjects, as well as our own citizens, on board our merchant vessels? It is a fact that this bas been made the greatest obstacle to an amicable settlement with Great-Britain. Yet (I repeat) it is perfectly well known that she desires to obtain only her own subjects; and that American citizens, impressed by mistake, are delivered up on duly authenticated proof. The evil we complain of arises from the impossibility of always distinguishing the persons of two nations who a few years since were one people, who exhibit the fame manners, fpeak the fame language, and posses similar features. But seeing that we seldom hear complaints in the great navigating States, how happens there to be fuch extreme sympathy for American seamen at Washington? Especially in gentlemen from the interiour States, which have no feamen, or from those Atlantick States whose native seamen bear a very small proportion to those of New-England? In fact, the causes of complaint are much fewer than are pretended. They rarely occur in the States whose feamen are chiefly natives. The first merchant in the United States, in answering my late inquiry about British impresiments, says, "Since the Chesapeake affair we have had no cause of complaint. I cannot find one single instance where they have taken one man out of a merchant vessel. I have had more than twenty veffels arrived in that time, without one instance of a man being taken by them. Three Swedes were taken out by a French frigate. I have made inquiry of all the masters that have arrived in this vicinity, and cannot find any complaints against the British cruisers."

Can gentlemen of known hostility to foreign commerce in our own vessels—who are even willing to annihilate it (and such there are)—can these gentlemen plead the cause of our seamen because they really wish to protest them? Can those desire to protest our seamen, who, by laying an unnecessary embargo, expose them by thousands to slarve or beg?—One gentleman has said (and I believe he does not stand alone) that sooner than admit the principle that Great-Britain had a right to take her own subjects from our merchant vessels, he

would abandon commerce altogether !- To what will every man in New-England and of the other navigating States, ascribe such a sentiment? A fentiment which, to prevent the temporary loss of five men, by impress, would reduce fifty thousand to beggary? But for the Embargo, thousands depending on the ordinary operations of commerce, would now be employed. Even under the restraints of the orders of the British Government, retaliating the French imperial decree, very large portions of the world remain open to the commerce of the United States. We may yet pursue our trade with the British dominions, in every part of the globe; with Africa, with China, and with the colonies of France, Spain, and Hol-And let me ask, whether in the midst of a profound peace, when the powers of Europe possessing colonies, would, as formerly, confine the trade with them to their own bottoms, or admit us, as foreigners, only under great limitations, we could enjoy a commerce much more extensive than is practicable at this moment, if the Embargo were not in the way? Why then should it be continued? Why rather was it ever laid? Can those be legitimate reasons for the Embargo which are concealed from Congress, at the moment when they are required to impose it? Are the reasons to be found in the dispatches from Paris? These have been moved for; and the motion was quashed by the advocates for the Embargo. Why are these dispatches withheld by the Executive? Why, when all classes of citizens anxiously inquire "For what is the Embargo laid?" is a fatisfactory answer denied? Why is not Congress made acquainted with the actual fituation of the United States in relation to France? Why, in this dangerous crisis, are Mr. Armstrong's letters to the Secretary of State absoluetly withheld, so that a line of them cannot be feen? Did they contain no information of the demands and intentions of the French Emperor? Did the Revenge fail from England to France, and there wait three or four weeks for dispatches of no importance? If so, why, regardless of the publick solicitude, are their contents so carefully concealed? If really unimportant, what harm can arise from telling Congress and the Nation, officially, that they contain nothing of moment to the fafety, the liberty, the honour, or the interests of the United States? On the contrary, are they fo closely locked up because they will not

bear the light? Would their disclosure rouse the spirit of the people, still slumbering in blind confidence in the Executive? Has the French Emperor declared that he will have no neutrals? Has he required that our ports, like those of his vasfal states in Europe, be flut against British commerce? Is the Embargo a substitute, a milder form of compliance with that harsh demand, which if exhibited in its naked and infulting aspect, the American spirit might yet refent? Are we still to be kept profoundly ignorant of the declarations and avowed defigns of the French Emperor, although these may strike at our liberty and independence? And, in the mean time, are we, by a thousand irritations, by cherishing prejudices, and by exciting fresh resentments, to be drawn gradually into a war with Great-Britain? Why amidst the extreme anxiety of the publick mind, is it still kept on the rack of fearful expectation, by the Prefident's portentous filence respecting his French dispatches ?-In this concealment there is danger. In this concealment must be wrapt up the real cause of the Embargo. On any other supposition it is inexplicable.

I am alarmed, Sir, at this perilous state of things, I cannot repress my suspicions; or forbear thus to exhibit to you the grounds on which they rest. The people are advised to repose implicit confidence in the National Government: in that unbounded confiies our danger. Armed with that confidence, the Execu-'tive may procure the adoption of measures which may overwhelm us with ruin, as furely as if he had an army at his heels. By false policy, or by inordinate fears, our country may be betrayed and fubjugated to France, as furely as by corruption. I trust, Sir, that no one who knows me will charge it to vanity when I fay, that I have some knowledge of publick men and of publick affairs: and on that knowledge, and with folemnity, I declare to you, that I have no confidence in the wildom or correctness of our publick measures: that our country is in imminent danger: that it is effential to the publick fafety that the blind confidence in our Rulers should cease; that the State Legislatures should know the facts and reafons on which important general laws are founded; and especially that those States whose farms are on the ocean, and whose harvests are gathered in every sea, should immediately and seriously confider how to preserve them. In all the branches of Government, commercial information is wanting; and in "this desert," called a city, that want cannot be supplied. Nothing but the sense of the commercial States, clearly and emphatically expressed, will save them from ruin.

Are our thousands of ships and vessels to rot in our harbours? Are our fixty thousand seamen and sishermen to be deprived of employment, and, with their families, reduced to want and beggary? Are our hundreds of thousands of farmers to be compelled to suffer their millions in furplus produce to perish on their hands; that the Prefident may make an experiment on our patience and fortitude, and on the towering pride, the boundless ambition, and unyielding perseverance of the Conqueror of Europe? Sir, I have reason to believe that the President contemplates the continuance of the Embargo until the French Emperor repeals his decrees violating as well his treaty with the United States as every neutral right; and until Britain thereupon recals her retaliating orders !- By that time we may have neither ships nor seamen: and that is precisely the point to which fome men wish to reduce us .- To fee the improvidence of this project (to call it by no harsher name, and without adverting to ulterior views) let us look back to former years.

Notwithflanding the well-founded complaints of some individuals, and the murmurs of others; notwithflanding the frequent Executive declarations of maritime aggressions committed by Great-Britain; notwithflanding the outrageous decrees of France and Spain, and the wanton spoliations practised and executed by their cruisers and tribunals, of which we sometimes hear a faint whisper;—the commerce of the United States has hitherto prospered beyond all example. Our citizens have accumulated wealth; and the publick revenue, annually increasing, has been the President's annual boast.

These facts demonstrate, that although Great-Britain, with her thousand ships of war, could have destroyed our commerce, she has really done it no effential injury; and that the other belligerents

heretofore reftrained by fome regard to National Law, and limited by the small number of their cruifers, have not inflicted upon it any deep wound. Yet in this full tide of fuccess, our commerce is fuddenly arrested: an alarm of war is raised: searful apprehension's are excited: the merchants, in particular, thrown into a state of consternation, are advised, by a voluntary embargo, to keep their vessels at home. And what is the cause of this mighty but mischievous alarm? We know it in its whole extent. It was the unauthorized attack of a British naval officer on the American frigate Chesapeake, to search for and take some deserters known to have been received on board, who had been often demanded, and as often refused to be delivered up. As was expected by all confiderate men, and by the President himself (as I have before observed) the British Government, on the first information of the unfortunate event (and without waiting for an application) disavowed the act of its officer-disclaimed the principle of fearching National armed vessels-and declared its readiness to make suitable reparation, as soon as the state of the case should be fully known.

Under fuch circumstances, who can justify this alarm of war? An alarm which greatly disquieted the publick mind, and occasioned an interruption of commerce extremely injurious to our merchants and sea-faring citizens.

I will close this long letter by stating all the existing pretences for there are no causes—for a war with Great-Britain.

1. The British ships of war, agreeably to a right claimed and exercised for ages—a right claimed and exercised during the whole of the administrations of Washington, of Adams, and of Jesserson,—continue to take some of the British seamen sound on board our merchant vessels, and with them a small number of ours, from the impossibility of always distinguishing Englishmen from citizens of the United States. On this point our Government well know that Great-Britain is persectly willing to adopt any arrangement that can be devised, which will secure to her service the seamen who are her own subjects; and at the same time exempt ours from impressment.

- 2. The merchant vessels of France, Spain and Holland, being driven from the ocean, or destroyed, the commerce of those countries with one another, and with their colonies, could no longer be carried on by themselves. Here the vessels of neutral nations came in to their aid, and carried on nearly the whole commerce of those nations. With their feamen thus liberated from the merchant fervice, those nations, in the present and preceding wars, were enabled to man their ships of war; and the neutral vessels and seamen supplying their places, became in fatt, though not in name, auxiliaries The commerce of those nations, without one armed ship on the sea appropriated for its protection, was intended thus to be fecured under neutral flags; while the merchant vessels of Great-Britain, with its numerous armed ships to guard them, were exposed to occasional captures.—Such a course of things Great-Britain has refifted, not in the prefent only, but in former wars; at least as far back as that of 1756. And she has claimed and maintained a right to impose on this commerce some limits and restraints; because it was a commerce which was denied by those nations to neutrals in times of peace; because it was a commerce of immense value to the subjects of her enemies; and because it filled their treasuries with money to enable them to carry on their wars with Great-Britain.
- 3. The third and only remaining pretence for war with Great-Britain, is the unfortunate affair of the Chesapeake; which having been already stated and explained, I will only remark here, that it is not to be believed that the British Government, after being defeated, as before mentioned, in its endeavours to make reparation in London, for the wrong done by its servant, would have sent hither a special envoy to give honourable satisfaction, but from its sincere defire to close this wound, if our own Government would suffer it to be healed.

Permit me now to ask, what man, impartially viewing the subject, will have the boldness to say that there exists any cause for plunging the United States into a war with Great-Britain? Who that respects his reputation as a man of common discernment will

fay it? Who that regards the interests and welfare of his country will fay it? Who then can justify, who can find an excuse for a course of conduct which has brought our country into its present state of alarm, embarrassment and distress? For myself, Sir, I must declare the opinion, that no free country was ever before fo causelessly, and so blindly, thrown from the height of prosperity, and plunged into a state of dreadful anxiety and fuffering. this degraded and wretched fituation it is not yet too late to escape. Let the dispatches from our Minister in France be no longer concealed. Let the Prefident perform the duty required of him by the Constitution; by giving to Congress full information of the slate of the union in respect to foreign nations. Above all, let him unfold our adual situation with France. Let him tell us what are the demands and propofals of her Ruler. Had these been honourable to the United States, would not the President have been eager to disclose them? that they are of an entirely different nature, that they are dishonourable, that they are ruinous to our commercial interests, and dangerous to our liberty and independence, we are left to infer.

I hope Sir, that the nature and magnitude of the subject will furnish a fufficient apology for the length and style of this letter. Perhaps fome may deem it presumptuous thus to question the correctness of the proceedings of our Government. A strong sense of duty, and diffreffing apprehensions of National ruin, have forced the task upon me. To some the sentiments which, in the sincerity of my heart, I have expressed, may give offence: for often nothing offends fo much as TRUTH. Yet I do not defire to offend any man. But when I see the dangerous extent of Executive influence: when I fee the Great Council of the Nation called on to enact laws deeply affecting the interests of all classes of citizens, without adequate information of the reasons of that call: when I observe the deceptive glosses with which the mischiefs of the Embargo are attempted to be palliated; and poslerior events adduced as reasons to justify the measure: when I know that the risks of continuing their commercial pursuits against all known dangers can and will be more accurately calculated by our merchants than by our Government: when if any new dangers to commerce were impending, of which

our merchants were uninformed, but of which the Government obtained the knowledge through its Minister at Paris, or elsewhere, it was plainly the duty of the Executive to make those dangers known to Congress and the Nation: and fince if so made known, the merchants and fea-faring citizens would, for their own interests and safety, have taken due precautions to guard against them: and as it hence appears certain that an Embargo was not necessary to the fafety of "our feamen, our vessels, or our merchandize:"when, Sir, I fee and confider these things, and their evil tendency: in a word, when I observe a course of proceeding which to me appears calculated to mislead the publick mind to publick ruin; I cannot be filent. Regardless, therefore, of personal consequences, I have undertaken to communicate these details; with the view to diffipate dangerous illusions; to give to my Constituents correct information; to excite inquiry; and to rouse that vigilant jealousy which is characteristick of REPUBLICANS, and effential to the preservation of their rights, their liberties, and their independence.

I have the honour to be,

very respectfully,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

TIMOTHY PICKERING.

His Excellency JAMES SULLIVAN,

Governor of the Commonwealth of Maffachusetts.