

CONVEYANCE
Quinton, Queenston, Niagara and Toronto.

add and fast sailing steamer
VICTORIA,
DICK, COMMANDER.

the remainder of the season, ply
between the above places. Sails
Levinston and Queenston every
week, and Niagara at half past
5. The boat will return each day
from Queenston and Levinston
at 10 o'clock, and from Niagara
at 11 o'clock, on Mondays and
Tuesdays in time for the Will
for Kingston and London, and
onwards for Niagara, will arrive
at the Rochester and Oswego

Levinston, Railroad cars will
and on arrival at Queenston,
for the Falls, whence the passen-
gers, by the steamboat Red
will be for Buffalo, or by the rail-
road.

Victoria is fitted up in elegant style
and public as a speedy and safe
all the accommodations, and
to whom comfort every day.

July, 1838. 32

also Ontario.

AND KINGSTON.

THE STEAMER
DORE BARRE,
further notice: leave Toronto
on Sunday night at 10 o'clock, for
Cobourg, Oswego and Kingston.

His boat, will arrive at Kingston
on Sunday morning at 10 o'clock, and
onwards for Niagara, will arrive
at the Rochester and Oswego

July, 1838. 32

also Ontario.

AND KINGSTON.

THE STEAMER
DORE BARRE,
further notice: leave Toronto
on Sunday night at 10 o'clock, for
Cobourg, Oswego and Kingston.

His boat, will arrive at Kingston
on Sunday morning at 10 o'clock, and
onwards for Niagara, will arrive
at the Rochester and Oswego

July, 1838. 32

also Ontario.

AND KINGSTON.

THE STEAMER
DORE BARRE,
further notice: leave Toronto
on Sunday night at 10 o'clock, for
Cobourg, Oswego and Kingston.

His boat, will arrive at Kingston
on Sunday morning at 10 o'clock, and
onwards for Niagara, will arrive
at the Rochester and Oswego

July, 1838. 32

also Ontario.

AND KINGSTON.

THE STEAMER
DORE BARRE,
further notice: leave Toronto
on Sunday night at 10 o'clock, for
Cobourg, Oswego and Kingston.

His boat, will arrive at Kingston
on Sunday morning at 10 o'clock, and
onwards for Niagara, will arrive
at the Rochester and Oswego

July, 1838. 32

also Ontario.

AND KINGSTON.

THE STEAMER
DORE BARRE,
further notice: leave Toronto
on Sunday night at 10 o'clock, for
Cobourg, Oswego and Kingston.

His boat, will arrive at Kingston
on Sunday morning at 10 o'clock, and
onwards for Niagara, will arrive
at the Rochester and Oswego

July, 1838. 32

also Ontario.

AND KINGSTON.

THE STEAMER
DORE BARRE,
further notice: leave Toronto
on Sunday night at 10 o'clock, for
Cobourg, Oswego and Kingston.

His boat, will arrive at Kingston
on Sunday morning at 10 o'clock, and
onwards for Niagara, will arrive
at the Rochester and Oswego

July, 1838. 32

also Ontario.

AND KINGSTON.

THE STEAMER
DORE BARRE,
further notice: leave Toronto
on Sunday night at 10 o'clock, for
Cobourg, Oswego and Kingston.

His boat, will arrive at Kingston
on Sunday morning at 10 o'clock, and
onwards for Niagara, will arrive
at the Rochester and Oswego

July, 1838. 32

also Ontario.

AND KINGSTON.

THE STEAMER
DORE BARRE,
further notice: leave Toronto
on Sunday night at 10 o'clock, for
Cobourg, Oswego and Kingston.

His boat, will arrive at Kingston
on Sunday morning at 10 o'clock, and
onwards for Niagara, will arrive
at the Rochester and Oswego

July, 1838. 32

also Ontario.

AND KINGSTON.

THE STEAMER
DORE BARRE,
further notice: leave Toronto
on Sunday night at 10 o'clock, for
Cobourg, Oswego and Kingston.

The British Colonist.

"NEMO IN VITAE LUCESSIT."

CITY OF TORONTO, THURSDAY, AUGUST 2, 1838.

NUMBER 27

VOLUME I.

The Commission of Synod met in Toronto on the 9th and 10th of May last, and applied, by deputation, to His Excellency the Lieut. Governor "for copies of any despatches received from Her Majesty's Government respecting the institution and endowment of Rectories, and of such communications as may have been transmitted by the Colonial Government to the Ministers of the Crown on behalf of the Church of England, and which, as is reported, have induced the Law Officers of the Crown to reverse the opinion formerly given by them declaring the institution and endowment of 57 Rectories by Sir John Colborne, not lawful and valid acts." His Excellency placed the original despatches before the deputation, and promised them copies of the whole documents applied for. The Commission, on receiving the report of the deputation, instructed the Moderator, as soon as he should receive these documents, to intimate to His Excellency, in writing, the renewal of the Synod's protest against the validity of the Rectories, with a statement of the reasons on which it is founded. Copies of the documents above-mentioned reached the Moderator on the 7th instant, and, in obedience to his instructions, he has placed the following statement in the hands of His Excellency the Lieut. Governor. Deeming it a document with which every member of the Church has a right to be made acquainted, he does not hesitate to give it publicity.

Hamilton, July 21, 1833. A. G.
To His Excellency Sir George Arthur, K. C. H. & Co. Sec. &c.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,
A large portion of the people over whom your Excellency holds rule, feel they have sustained a grievous wrong. Scotchmen and Presbyterians in Canada, with deep grief, have long seen most important rights—the sacred rights of the Church of their native land—neglected and insulted: with feelings painful in no other manner, do they now learn that acts are proclaimed as law which directly violate them.

The venerable body over whom I have the honor of presiding, participating with you in the same feelings, has taken the occasion of the injustice of these proceedings, by participating with them the painful emotions, with which they have filled them, have devolved on me the duty of solemnly protesting before your Excellency—before Canada and the Empire—against their possible legality or validity.

Permit me to assure your Excellency, that it is a duty on the discharge of which I enter, with the most painful feelings. At these unhappy dissensions within the Christian world in the Church, the faithful triumphs, the enemies of British institutions rejoice.

But the performing it with heaviness of heart,—a sense of what is owing to the rights of the Church of Scotland, which I fear office, and of the ancient Kingdom from which we have sprung—a sense too of what is owing to the prevalence of the immutable principles of justice, and above all, a deeply impressed conviction of the momentous consequences to the spirit, well-being of many coming millions of men, of our blood and lineage, which measures now having place, must in all human probability produce, strengthen me in execution of the charge which has been committed to me, to lay before your Excellency, with all truth and sincerity, a summary of the wrongs which the Church of Scotland in Canada has sustained, and a detail of the grounds of our solemn protest against recent violations of its rights.

It is, doubtless known to your Excellency, that the first transatlantic settlers of this Colony were Scotchmen; that for many of the years of its earliest history, Scotland sustained the great mass of British emigrants; and that, from the spreading of these and their descendants, Scotch Presbyterians form a large part of the population of Upper Canada.

Your Excellency will not hesitate to believe, that our countrymen, while thus devoted to their lives and fortunes to the enterprise of reducing a remote and desert dependency of the Empire, to a fertile Province, rested in full confidence, that the Guardian Power of the Parent State, would watchfully protect the great mass of their countrymen, and that, in the important undertaking, with hopeful and cheerful hearts, from the reflection, that, though at a distance from the land of their Fathers, they were yet in regions which the blood and energies of these Fathers had largely contributed to place within the limits of the Empire, and might, with perfect security, rely on being upborne in their dangers and difficulties by its sustaining and protecting arm.

In their just expectations, they have unhappily been grievously disappointed. In one most important particular—in all that concerns their religious rights & privileges—Scotchmen have been in Canada as exiles from their own realm, as aliens in the land of their fathers.

This, it has been authoritatively told us, is a Colony of the Church of England.—The Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

A seventh of the lands is set apart for a Protestant Clergy. The Church of England takes possession of them and holds

them—thousands are granted every year for the support of the clergy. The Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

When, therefore, we are told that the Church of England, we are told, is the religion of the State, and rightfully claims the whole countenance and support of the Government.

City of
at Lott
Sirius)
August
tends
dish
atains
Peers
show m
the fol
ndas)
dy; i
ing to
Bakhar
dishes
East-
Eq.,
ry, Ba
Barro
Mosmo
March
to the
e will
one b
Hemel
ratic
borne
Lient,
the Lie
by exp
ere ap
ion Ho
the Ba
Man Ma
ere ap
House
ord Jo
his wou
d affair
y by
paper
on said
rices Co
une 25
Tise wi
Lorde
opposi
Nec
on the
House
present
compla
the su
subject
e gover
and le
arnel
bourne
as or
very Q
Castle
through
on Var
Thorn
eryary
s there
bouqu
from his
ggest F
mand
one gro
You
ed Ba
was c
he clined
Berlin.
ation of
made by
of (abriz)
rather
ported in
an att
to 23,
—The
stitution,
all affairs
the Pe
hold
affair,
cluded
Paris, to
EIN-PR
of a Fe
difficult u
held, he
him to
seem
or of t
nder di
In th
body a
u the tr
estions
tion, a
and 5th
with h
out de
of the
Head
raging
if the
dial Si
Republican
d of th
which
hold
his d
Ministe

