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## PREFACE.

$I T$ having been thought advisable to reprint, in a Pampblet, the Communicutions made by me to the Printer of THE MONTREAL HERALD, I have, in consequence, to apologise to those who may now read them in connexion, for the frequency that occurs of what may be termed repetitions.

When I first took up the pen, it was for the purpose of introducing certain law opiolions, in opposition to those published by the Earl of Selkirk, or by his order; but being attacked, I was necessarily led into a wider range, by the misrepresentations and violence of his Lordship's advocates and supporters.

My Communications having been written at different periods of time, and without the then intention of re-publication logether, it was material that the strong points against Lord Selkirk, viz. the want of legal foundation in his claims to culonial territory and commercial mo-

## $i v$.

nopoly; and his being always the aggressor in supporting them, should be kept in a prominent point of view.

Such points were therefore repeatedly impressed upon the public attention, and, Itrust, with some effect ; for it cannut but appear most extraordinary, that he, whose pretended rights have been shewn to be unfounded, and his self-styled governors, who neither bad legal appointment and confirmation, nor bad been legally qualified for office, should, with so high a hand, and in contempt of all the established princtples of procedure upon contested claims, attack the rights and interests of others so long previously enjoyed, without other authority for such attack, than his and their acts, whereby they constituted themselves judges in his and their uwn cause.

## MERCATOR.

## Commanitations of fererator.

to the pfinter of the montreid herald.
Mr. Gray,
THE public attention has of late been so much called to what has been written and saill about ti.e claims of Lord Selkirk and the Hudson's S.: Company, to an excluaive right of trade anj suil wit in certain territories in the $\mathbf{N}$ erth West, th:t a jilin statement and expusition of the merit, of the qussios, divested of sophistry, cannot but be acceptabic to these who are disposed to exercise their reason upon its e:amination, instead of passion and prejucice.

I shall premise, by laying down two princintrs, 'st that the crown cannot grant a:s exchusive right of trade, without the concurrence or confirmation of Parliament; and 2d, that the crown cotid not grant a teritory, which at the time of the grant bulcrete! to another sovereign, and more esperinlly, f then in tise undisturbed occupancy et the subjects of that sovereigh.

Hudson's Bay was first approacled by lond by $t=0$ French gentlemen, Moner. Radisson and Mastr. Ein Grobelliers, who were condusted thither accus: he
couniry, from the interior of Canada or New France, by Savages. Succeeding in this, they returned, and went to Qutbec, offering to the merchants there, to conduct ships to Hudson's Bay, but therr proposal was rejected. They then applied in Paris with no better success, when the English Ambassador persuaded them to go to London, where they met a favorable reception from some persons or quality and merchants, who intrusted Mr. Gillam, (a person long used to the Wewfoundland trade) to prosecute the discovery. He aziled in the PJonsuch Ketch, in 1667 , into Baffin's L2y, to the height of 75 degrees, and from thenr. southward to 51 degrees, where he entured a river, to which he gave the name of Prince Rupert's, and finding the savaces friendly to trade, he there erected a small fort. Tle persons concerned in the outfit of this vessel, upon the return of Gillam, applied to Charles II. for a patent or chartor, who granted them one, dated d MIay, 5670 .

In that year, the Company seat ove: Chas. Bailey, Esqr. as thei: governor, who, with Mr. Radisson, set. tled at Fupert's River, ant establi hed ancther facton: at fort Neison. In 168 , Dienry Sargeant was mado governor at Rugert's river, with orders to be careful of the Frenci, who began to be jealous of the trade. The Frencl: claincd the cnatinert at the bottom or tice Bay, as a pur: of Ne: Fiance, aid indeed to the conaty across to Rupert's River, from a river which zuns iwos the Si. Law:ara.

[^0]or settlements for trade, viz. Albany Rive;, Hayes's Island, Rupert's River, York, and New Severn. The jealousy of the French increased, who attacked and took by surprise, in a time of profound peace, Hayes's Island, in Nelson River. 'This was done by the Che. valier de Troyes, who went over land from Quebec and in July, 1686, came before Albany fort, whicin Governor Sargeant attempted to defend, but was compelled tos surrender in a week, upon articles which were badly kept.

In 1693, the Hudson's Bay Company, assisted by government, retook these forts, but soon after were again driven out by the French. In 1696 , they applied to King William, who aided the Company with two men of war, when these forts were all retaken. In the next general war, the French renewed their attacks, and captured all but fort Albany. At the treaty of Utrecht, in 1713 , all were restored to the English, as will appear by the subjoined soth article of that treaty, which provided for the appointment of Commissioners to settle a line of boundary, but they never sat, or made any report.

A Beaver Company was erected in Canada in 1630 , who traded to the interior for pelties, prior to the date of the Charter of the Hudsoris Bay Company. And Captain Dobbs who wrote befere the war of 1755, says, that in 1742, the French had a settlement for trade near the southern branch of York or Nelson river, 100 miles above the English factory, where they suld their goods cheaper than the Hudson's Bay

Company,

Company, by which they got all the choice skins, and none but retuse were left for the Cumpany. The French at that time had another settlement for trade pretty high up on Rupert's River. The trade at Churci:l, on the bay, was increasing, being at a greater distance from the French. "The Company" says Capt. D.wos, "avoid all they can making dis"cieries to the northward of Churchill, for fear " heey sh uld dicover a passage to the Western O"cean, and temptig that means, the rest of the En" glish taerchants to lay epen their trade, which they " know ibey have no legal right to."

Mr. Joseph Robson, formerly in the employ of the Hijion's Bay Company, wh, also before the war of :75, wrote an account of six year's residence in
 1747, in assigni g reasons why the Hudson's Bay - mpany had acted with s' (h a want of encerprise, ssy," but tie rue rcason is obvious: they $h$ d nole"g. hight to their exclustue trade since the year " 108 . at wich tine the act of parliament expired, "that corfirmed their charter only for seven years." I hivi a conelusive proof if the first principle ab:ve laidd un,that th: crown cannt give an exclusive right of trade, tor if the Hudson's Bay. Company's charter riquireda parliamentary confirmation for seven ycars, the memont that time expired, the confirmation c: sel!, and the charter became void as to the future trade.

Long after the treaty of 1763 , and surrender of Canada
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Canada to Great Britain, viz. in 1774, and not befire, the Hudson's Bay Company extended their trading posts froan the Bay into the interior, where they frund iraders fiom Canada, successors to the rights of the subjects of France so long before exercised in that trade, and both parties were thenceforth alike entitled to the pursuit of it freely. The French were the first Europeay discovesers and occupiers of the interior territory in queftion, and the traders from $\mathrm{Ca}-$ nada have since extended those internal discoveries, so that no charter to the Hudson's Bay Company, nor grant from them to Lord Selkirk, could possibly convey lands or trade never possessed by Great Britain, until after the said Trea:y of $\mathbf{1 7 6 3}$. It surely requires no argument to prove, that a Country to which the right was to be decided by a joint act, under the sovereign powers of England and France, could not be granted away by the seperate act of one of them ; and consequently I consider my second principle as above laid down, fully established.

The following legal opinions were obtained in London, last Januaiy; in answer to questions respec. ting the Hudson's Bay Compzny's charter, and other matters, submitted to thoje, whose names are subscribed.
" The Prerogative of the Crown to grant an exclusive Trade was formerly very much agitated in the great caze of the East India Company, versus Sandys. The Court of Kiug's Bench, in which * Lord Yeffreys

B

[^1]
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then presiled, h:ld that such a grant was legal, and we are not aware that there hat since been any decision express'y on this question in the ourts of law. Mnst of the Charters tor exclusive trade and exclusive privilegres to companies or associaions, have since the rewoution received such a degree of legislative sanction, as perhaps to preclude the necesity of any judicial decision on it.
" Much more moderate opiaions were entertained concerning the extent of the prerogative since the revolurion, to which is to be attributed the frequent recourse after that period ts Legislatlve a!th rity in such cases, and particularly is the very cass of the Eitudon's Bay Company; for by the temporary act of II of William and Marj, "for confirming to the "Governor and Company trating to Hudson's Bay "tioeir privileges and trade," the duration of that confirmation, is expressly limited to seven years, and to the end of the then next session of Parliainent, and no loager. Part of the Preamble of that act, is in efficialegislative declaration of the insuficiency of the charter for the purposes professed in it, without the athority of the Legislature: and which authority entirely seased, soon after the expiration of the seven years after that passed,
> " Such rights, therefore, as the Huison's Bay Company can derive from the Crown alone, under their extraordinary charter as it is, mastinow entirely rest upon, and stand or tall by the common law prerogaof the Crown,
,The right of the Crown merely to erect a company for trading by charter, and to make a grant of territory in Charles the II's reign may not be disputable; but on the wher hand, there are various clauses in the Hudson's Bay Company's charter, particularly those empowering the company to impose fines and penal-ties-to seize or confiscate Goods and Ships- and seize or arrest the persons of interlopers, and compel them togive security in $\mathcal{E}$ tooo, \&c. \&c. which are altogether illegal, and were always so admitted; and we are clearly of opinion, that the company and their officers, agents, or servants, could not justify any seizure of Goods, or arrest or imprisonment of the persons of any of His Majesty's subjects.
"But we think that the Hudson's Bay Company and their Grantee Lord Selkirk, have extend : 'their territorial claims much further than the charter will warrant, supposing it even free from all the objections to which we apprehend it is in other respects liable; the words of the grant pursuing the recital of the petition of the grantees with a very trifling variation, that cannot affect the construction of the instrament, are, of the sole trade and commerce of all those " seas, streights, bays, rivers, llakes, creeks, and scunds, " in whateverlatitude they shall be, within the en"trance of the streights coin:", my called Hudion's " streights: tugether with all the lands and territories "upon the (cuuntries $\dagger$ ) coasts and confines of the seas,

[^2]
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"bays, lakes, rivers, creeks, and sounds aforesaid;" that is, within the streights, and those limits are frequentIy referred to thruughout the charter, as the limits aforesaid.
"Within the streights must mean such a proximity to the streights as would give the lands spoken of a sort of affinity or relation to Hudson's streights, and not to lands commencing at the distance of 900 miles, and extending 20:0 miles the refrom-that is to say, of the coasts and confines of the seas $\& c$. w ithin the streights, such a boundary mast be implied as is cousistent with that view, and with the professed objects of a trading company, intendirg not to found kingdoms ard establish states, but to carry on fisheries in those waters, and to traffec for the accuisition of furs and peltries, and the other articles mentioned in the Charter. The enormous extension of haid and territory now claimed, appears therefore to us not to be warranted by any sound construction of the charter.
" Indred there is suficient reason to suppose that the territuries in question or part of them, had been then visited, traded in, and in a certain degree occupied by French traders from Canada, and their beaver company erected in $\mathbf{1 6 3 0}$, whose trade in Peltries were eonsicerabiy prior to the date of the charter of tie Hudson's Ba! company. These territories, therefore, would be excerted o t of the grant; and the right of British subjects in general to visit and trade in those regions would follow the national rights acquired by the king, by the conquest and session of Canada, as enjoyed by the
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French Canadians, previous to that conquest and cession.
" No territcrial right the refore can be claimed in the districts in question; and the exclusive trade there cannot be set up by virtue of the charter; these districts being remote from any geographical relation to Hudson's Bay and to the streights, and not being in any sense within the streights, nor approached by the traders from Canada, thr ugh the interdicted regions, of course no violence to, or interruption of trade from Canada, could be justified under the territorial claims.
" If contrary to our opinion, the land and ter ritory in question, were within the grant, the grant of so large a portion of territory as that to Lord Selkirk of 116,493 square miles, wou'd be an abuse of the charter, which might justify the interferénce of the crown; because, though the company might have a right to make grants of land, such grants must be for the promotion of, or at least consistent with the object of the institution; but the grant to Lord Selkirk leads to an establishment independent of the company, inconsistent with the purposes of their institution, and in its effects erecting a sub-monopoly in one person, to the detriment both of the company and of the public. The company could confer no power to iord Selkirk to appoint governors, courts of justice, or to exercise any independent authority, nor could they directly or indirectly transfer their authority to him.
" There seems no reason to doubt, that offences actually
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tially commitie $i \times n$ the $t$ rritories and districts in disa pute, where no court .f judicatire ever has been esta blished, can in $p$ int of juristiction legally be $t i \in i$ by the courts of Canada, under the XLIII Geo. III. cap. $13 x$; andindee 1 unless this district was within the pro. visions dif that act we cannot discover what territory was meant to be $i$.cluded in it.
"Supposing the charter of the Hudson's Bay company valid and the districts in dispute to be within their limits, we should doubt w ether the Governor and company, have lavful power, by the charter, to establish courts for the trial, by the laws of England, of offences committed therein. That power the company have never yet attempted to exercise, though nearly 50 years have elapsed since they procured their charter; but if even they should still possess this extraordinary power without further authority, legislative or regal, we should nevertheless think, that no courrs there established could have autnority to try and punish as an offence, the act of going there simply, which if the grant were legal, could amount at the most only toa misdemeanor, or contempt of the king's lawful authurity, to be prosecuted at the suit of his Taje.ty."

L.codon, January, 1816z

A separate ofinion of another Lawyer in Londons of pre-eminent abilities, was also had in January last, which substantially coincides with the above : and more painted law opinions seme years ago, were given by Messis. Beancroft and Gibbs, also eminem in their profession, against the validity of the H.d.on's Bay Company's pretensions to an exclusive night of trade.

Doctor Adam Smith also says, that the Hudsen's Bay Company have no zight in law to an exclusive trade.

Thus, in whichever way Lord Selkirk's pretensions are considered, their legality will fail to ap. pear, and te can not be allowed to judge in his own cause. To bring them, however, to the comprehension of the meanest capacity, I will ask, if his Lordship were to say to a person in quiet possession by himself and predecessors for above 50 year:, of a house in Montreal, quit that house, it is built upon my ground-whether it wiuld not be legal and proper to answer, No? Lei your Lord hip's claim, if you have one, be previously determined in his Majesty's courts, and it is your bu-iness, not mine, to institute the proceeding. But, if instead of this, you attempt to dispossess me by force, I have resolved upon, and feel myselt justifiable in making the most decided resistance. This is the real merit of the case in contest, when divested of misrepresentation.

The North West Company have courted a legal discussion
discussios of the point of night, yet none has bees brought on, although traders from Canada have annually, since the conquest, gone into the interior, and a number of years ago, a vessel belonging to that com. pany entered Hudson's Bay.

They also attempted an amicable accommodation, by liberal propofals, in order to avoid future difficul. sies, but the negociation failed, becaure his Lordhip required as a sine qua non, the admission of his inordinate claims, according to his iaterpretation of the Charter of the Hudson's Bay Company; which of course was inadmilfible. To his Lordfhip's obttinacy therefore, is to be attributed all the diftrefling circumftances wi:ich have happened or may happen, from his persisting in the application of physical torce, intead of legal proceedings to decide the contefted right.

## MERCATOR.

Montreal, 28th August, 1816.
ioth Article of she Treaty of Utretcht, concluded in 1713 .
"The said most Christian King shall restore to the "ckingdom and Queen of Great Britain, ro be possessed " in full right for ever, the Ba" and Streights of Hud" son, together with all lands, seas, sea-coasts, ri"، vers and places, situate in the said Bay and Streights, " and which belong thereunto, no tracts there of land, " or of sea being excepted, which are at present possessed,
"s by the subjects of France. All which, as well as any " buildings there made, in the condition they now are, " and likewise all fortresses there erected, either before " or since the French seized the same, shall, wiihin six ${ }^{\prime} \sigma_{\text {months }}$ from the ratification of the oresent trea'y, or "s suoner if possible, be well and trul" delivered to the "British subjects, baving commission fr:m the Quetn of "Great Britain, to demand and reccive the same entic "c and undemelished, together wish all the cannon, Eic. " It is, bowever, provided, that it may be entirely free "for the company of शuebec,* and all other the subjects "s of the most Christian King whatsoever, to $g$ o by land " or by sea, whether oever they please, out of :he lina's of "the said bay, together with all their goods, merchan"dizes, arms and effects, except such things as are a"bove reserved in this article. But it is agrred on " both sides to determine within a year by Commis:aries, "to be forthwith named by each party, the limits which " are to be fixed between the said Bay of Hudson, and " the places appertaini::g to the French; whic's limits " both tbe British and French subjects shall be w' lly "forbid to pass over, or thereby to 50 to each other by "'sea or by land."

[^3]
## For the Montreal Herald.

MR. GRAY,
In the last Herald, I observed a communication in answer to mine, dated 28th August, inserted in the former number, in which I am stated to have asserted a direct falsehood. I deny the charge, and re-assert that the basis of Lord Selkirk's ultimatum, otherwise, sine qua non, for an accommodation with the North West Company, was substantially, as stated by me, and was so understood by them at the time, as appears by their answer to his final or concluding proposition, entitled, "sketch of an arrangement between the Hudson's Bay and North West Companies," from which the following are ex:racts, viz :
" The North West Company have to observe, that the " basis of that arrangement, being an acknouledgement " of the validity of the Charter of the Hudson's Ba" Com" pany", it is inadmissible, and incapable of any modifi" cation to which th.y can assent. It would, therefore be " useless to take up his Lordship's time, and their own, " by entering upon the objectionable part of the de" tails, when their princip.'e is denied. It is true " that Lord Selkirk stated to Mr___such a basis, " and equally true, that the latter declared an arran-

## 20

" gement to be impracticable, if it was persisted in." And in another part of it, they say:
"LordSelkirk javing recurredts the offer of an arbi"t tration, the North West Company bave to answer, "thatit affords no reciprocity whatsouver; for as the "Huason's Bay Company clain ex:lusive rights, if those " were to be arbitrateii ulon, and decided in their favor, " they would turn the Nortb West Company out of the " trade; whereas, on the other band, if a decision "c should be given ayainst the Hudssn's Bay Company, " they wovuid still, as British suljeciis, remain entitled to " equal rightswith the other coms", Thy. Thus, under the " speciousexterior of an arbitration, the North West " Company would be risking a substance in pursuit of a " shadow.
" It rests with the Hudson's Bay Company to take, or " not to take, as they see fit, measures for procuring a " legal decision in the regular courts af justice, upontheir " pretentions to exclusive trade, as the commencement of "such measures cannot be expected from the North West "Company, who bave for so long a period exercised and "enjoyed those general rights of trade, to which they lay "claim, and from which they will not depart, unless by " legal compulsion.
" Upon the whole, it is a painful reflection for the "North West Company to find themselves obliged to a" bandon further negociation ot present, as hopeless, and " to see that a pecuniary contest is forced upon them, "which they cannot shrink from, and must continue,
" until the Hudson's Bay Company shall entertain a dif"ferent view of their real interests."

His Lordship's proposed arbitration by lawyers, was indeed of a novel kind, for what mar in tis senses would leave it to be decided by others, whet her he should lose all histrade within prescribed iimits, bat agree that his opponent should remain, at all events, in pessession of what he held. In other words, to ri:k all, but to gain nothirg even by possitility. 1 cail upon the author of the communication, to publish the answer, as he asserts to have been given by the agerits of the North West Company, wherein "riky ob" served, that Lord Selkirk, or the Fitdson's Bay "Company might feel interested in having thise " claims finally settled, but that the ITcrth West "Company were net so interestec, and thatefore the " sinc qua non was inadmissible." Nuw I maictaia that no such answer exi:ts in any part of teicir correspondence, and it he cannot proticet: it, he rust stand convicted before the public, of publishi:g a deliberate gross falsehood.

The meanness he accuses me of, wil! attach cnly to himself, for I have asserted nothiag but truth, which instead of misleading the public mind, tends only to expose the misrepresentations of such supporters of his Lordship's cause, as the author of the abeve. As $t, 1$ publishing my remarks during his Lordship's absence, it is of no morrent, as he has a very active and able confidential agent on the spot; and in respect to delicacy, as none is observable in his own proceedings, he can be entitled to little from others.

In

## 92

In my last, I omitted to remark, that the petition for the Hudson's Bay Charter, and the Charter itself, expressly except all countries or territories then possessed by any British subjects, or by subjects of any other Christian powet or state, which exception clearly excludes from the charter, the territory or country claimed by his Lordship, it being then, and for near a century afterwards, occupied by traders from Canada, without interterence from Hudson's Bay traders.

MERCATOR.
Montreal, 12 th Sept. 1816.
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## For the Montreal Herald.

MR. GRAY,
I find that $I$ am attacked in a most unmerciful manner in your Herald, by a writer under the signature of Manlius, (ilias author of the communication in that of 7th September, alia: Philo Manlius, for Proteus like, he assumes a variety of shapes) who threatens me with loss of character, if I persist.

Without waiting to know the result of this threat, he uses it as a peg wherenn to hang a most unjustifiable attempt to prejudice the public mind in respect to accusations made by his client, against persons, who are to undergo a trial. Nothing said by me could possibly furnish a reasonable excuse for such a conduct, but having commenced the attack, he must expect ret liation.

Manlies is a true disciple of the Selkirk schoot; he wishes to instimidate where he cannot deceive, and tor misrepresent where he cannot fairly meet the truth. The days of Robespierre hard!y exhibited a more perfect system of espionage, terror and deception, than is practising by his Lordship and coadjutors. Manlius has however mistaken his man on the present occasion, and those threats, instead of stifling, will necessarily
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c.ll forth in due time, some anecdotes of his Lordship, which will render him better known to the public.

Altho' I have to contend with an opponent, who to jusuge of him by the length of his witinge, is a hireIng paid by the yard, and babituated to make the worse apear the beter can e, yet I feel confident, of being able to convince, where he can only confourd.

He pays me unineationally a very high compliment, by acting upon the priaciple t at every sentence I heve written, requires seores of his to overtuin; elasithy impuse upon his readers a story envebefedia such a maze of words.

In ofrocition to every fair principle of reasoning, Mantius b:gins by assuming what he ought first to pro:e, and then argues, as if his a-sumed data were adnitted. Now, I deny the whole data from whence he ciraws his cenclusions, and until these be settled, his arrogant aid high sounding logomachy is worse than uedess.

I asserted, and I re-assert, that the claimed monopely of Trade in the Hudon's Bay Company, is iilegal ; because the crown could not, w.thont the anction of Parliament, grant such exclusive right, and in proof of it, I (with other matter) adduced the fact, tiont that Company was so sen ible of this, that they applied for and obtained a parliamentary confirmation of their charter for seven years, which being Eaver renewed the monopoly fell to the ground. The
preamble to that tomporary confirmiton, declared the insufficioncy of the charter, without fw'samentary
 acted without it ever sinco. Now as Vianilus bas found it convenient to pese cee this tact without notice, I request hin to capan, why sucta a canction was consideré by that company, above roo years age, needful to the legal exercie of thes: jiant, whan Royal Ewne: sood high, and not be equally necessa. iy now, when that power is so innch more cleale: defmed. rant, wheher good or bax, nova cxtented sojonit the country adjaccont to Hubion's Bay, and was especialty, both in the petition for it, and the actua! geant, reswicted, so as tweyclude from its operation, wit lands in the occupancy of the sibiects of erery oiber christian Prince or State. And, I satistaciozily cs:ablistod, that the French verc in the oconpancy $b_{j}$ discovery, and commercially of the country ia dippute viz. the red River $\& c$. which consecitently were, iusu facto, excluded from the charter : also in á itional proof of that exclusion, figuoted the clause of the ireaty of Utrech', having reicruace to Hudson's Bay, Which establisics to a certainty, that a line of boundary there, was to have beer drawn, bat whicis beine never done, ead country retained what was covered by its commercial occupancy, viz. Great Britain, the coasts of the Bay, and France the interior, until $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}}$ the conquest of Canada; and the treaty of peace in ${ }_{1} \%_{3} 6_{3}$, the whole merged in Great Britain. In conse.
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quence every British subject became enti:led to prosecute through Canada, the Iniian toxie, which French subjects previously enjoyed; and the Hudson's Bay Compan; cou'd legally claim no privilege in t'e trade thrnt g'a the Bay, but what they enjnycd before that ireaij, and which never extend a go miies from the cuat. whoreas the Red Kiver country commences at abve $\begin{gathered}\text { an mies distance therefrom; and there is }\end{gathered}$ a a corious proof of prior French occupancy in the fact that there were Fiench Missionaries in that quartir, whici Monlins unguardedly admits, and thereby furtisies a w apon against his own doctrines. He Hnst $\mathrm{i}_{\text {: ded feel himsit hard driven for argument, }}$ wiea be complins of me for deriving the present rights of Ertis': subjects to trade in the Incian territory, throuh Fienchenen; but the absurdiry of this compluint becoress manitest, when it is known, that the preseat tisle to every foet of land held in Canada, upon grants mate prior to $17 \sigma_{3}$, must be derived through the same source.

He also pretends astonishment that I should deny the Royal Prerogative in respect to charters. I an far trom cortesting :he King's just rights, and I am per uaded, set as high a value upon them as Manlius; but 1 contend, that the Crown neither did, nor could grant a country which was nct its own at the time. iny ideas on constitutional rights are founded upon th. se stenling bases of principle and practice that will not berd to suit the conveniency of any adventuring Projectir, wiseisur he shall appear in the guise of a land Jobeer, or a dealer in muskrats, and who may
think that by lis previous rank, he is to brow beat his Majesty's other subjects, and set their rights at difin ance.

The North West Company, from the commencement, having denied Lord Selkirk's usuryed claim to the Red River countrv, and having by themselves or predecessurs in trade been in commercial possession of it since the conquest, and the French subjects for near a century betore. What is the course that a man of real humanity (not that spurious deceptive species of it, which consists in g̀mere professions contradicted by his practice) would have adopted on the occasion. Assuredly to bring that claim before the legal tribunals, and get a decision thereon, before a life was riskedint ${ }^{\text {te }}$ support of prerensions so unequivocally untenable and unjust. No, this would not suit this carting pretended philanthrophist. Heaven and earth must, forsooth, hend to his purpose, and those who do not chuse quit ly to submit to his dictates, at the risk of utter ruin to their long established concerns ${ }_{5}$, must be turned off by brce, after being first pillaged.

Having pointed out the futility of his clain to the country in contests/ 1 go on to prove, that Miles McDonnell, who styled himself a governor, never was such ; and further, that he was, and is legally incapacitatel from becoming one. It is inmaterial at present to inquire in bo authorised this Miles, to disgrace the character of a governor, by affecting to assume tuat title to cover his depradations. Every body
knows thue he wamia ring's Euern, butall maj not krow, that were he ever a gocrior by legally authorsed pioprietory appointm ? , he could not lawCully chectate one act, without tize ki g's aproval, no withont first taking the cats matione in law, tor gemene of plantatims and colunies.

 Ting than and in the varicty otwis to du twen by gucrnorn, is thet arainsi romsuriantiotion, which the impos: diles, being asmath Roman Cotho La, curiydid not tale, as i haye never heard of tis


 sorant this part of colnnial lav, and if te io, what a foundation isthis wherese to puild his arogar: and self coneitc licta; or if ae nent ignorant of it, wh: iepubation dous ne his crimial concealmene of cucts so impoitent, deserve; for uron Mr. Miles' bein, a petenc degaly amborized governor, is butacelthe ruberies by him, first committed, an cince foibowed up by governce Semple and others of his I. alsia's Agenes, but vhich have been of far cat done $\mathrm{Ly}_{j}$ hinself lately at Fort William, that the feats of Carouclic are quite thruwn int, the back geand.

I can, altajo rolawyer, iniorm Azatios, that the king hamelf couly not grant a dis encation is a governor, io omis tre auth of transuistintiatio:, ror could
wij authority do so, short of a secial ace of the inme. sial parhaizents

To obvate all doubs, toweve, upon this sancet, I tase seave somenticn, as a case in proof, that whon the gremment of this ruvince se atminiotece 3 a counseller, it isalways by the senior protestant one, who must first take the prescribed oaths, and never ty a Romen Catholic, altho' te may be senio: to th: wher.

Such is the law, whinconld not be othewise, whiles the crown is worn by a Protestant oniy, ani ia consequence his representative must be of the same $\quad \in$ ligıore

Yet in tie teeth of all these legal imaners to the possibility of Miles being a governor, des hedare to issue out proclamations in that affumed character : not so innoccni as Sancho Pancho's; bat to prohibit in 1814 the sending of provisi ns out of the Red River, and then he scized upon these of the North West Company, by an armed force, thus comme: ing a system cf pillage; and lek it be observed that the pror stons sutaken, wese the produce of tras: with independent natives, being the flesh of wild animals laited by them in hunting, and $n \div i$ a particle thereofequired by the labor or time of a colonist.

The Red River colony originated in avarice, has been prosecuted in deception and fraud, and must cosd in disgracing the character of a Bitt h notleman It
have proved that the country where he placed his co. lonists, is not within the Hudson's Bay grant ; yet, ref vertheless, he set down his people there without leave from the native Indians upon ibeir lands, to which their title had never been extinguished by purchase, and for which he never gave them one farthing, which i: rather a strange sample of his philanthropic desire to improve their conditica, and copied ficm American, not British practice,

The king's proclamation of 1763 , quoted by Manlias, is conclusively against him. Its express purpose was to prohibit all, urchas:s of Indian lands by other authority than that of government, and to interdict all colonization within Indian territory, but had no reference to any establishment for trade, it being well known, that one of the prevailing motives which indonned frat Britain to insist upon tie cession of $\mathrm{C}_{2}-$ nadia, iss to get the fur tide; consequently, it is a complete absurdity to preteici, that a prociamation could be issued, 10 prevent that trace from being afterwarús prosecuted.

As to Manlius's reservations about the injury in point of morals, sustained by persons in that trade, if they have any force, they alike apply to the Firdson's Bay as to the North ives trade. It is, however, puerile to enter into discussions about the respective merits of professions or pursuits in life. Individuals in a free country, are left to mate theirown choice, and it would be $n$ vel to argue that manuf actures and $t$ vigation should be put down or discouraged, be-
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cause those who are employed in them, do not stand the highest in the scale of morality.

The system of licenses for Indian trade was followed for a time, but being found to be grievously abused, and made a handle of for favoritism, partiality, and oppression, in the distribution, they were abandoned, and all ni in inajesty's subjects put upon the same footing,

As to his Lordshir's tender regard for the health of the Indians, in respect to the use of strong liquors, it is $c \mathrm{c}$ a par with his respect for their $\mathrm{L}_{2}$. d tigts, for be it known, that about the time he and others were canting in London upon that subject, he was by way ct takirg time by the forelock, proposing to the North West Company, to furnish them with high wines for their tade, which he expected to get from his settlemuat at Baldoon, on the river Sinclair, which has chiefly been sisce abandoned, after disgusting his people there, and quarrelling with his agent Mr. Alexander McDonnell.

Were his colony at Red River to take root, the distillation of surplus grain and potatoes (if they ever had any) would be one of his first objects, and thus spirits would be put within the Indian grasp by its direct vicinity. Wher as it is well known, that the immense distance of the North West from Montreal, is a physical ${ }_{\mathbf{p}}$ reservation of the Indians there against any inordinate supply or use of spirituous liquors.

I shall conclude for the present with maintaining,
ithat in every insence, his lordship atid his peopie have bent the aggressors; and it will astonish the wor! ! to learn, tiat he who has been accusing all the pertreas of the Noith Wiest Company, whom he could lay his hands upon, of high treason, felony, and murder, shoud some time after he arrested and sent Aem away in custody as prisoners from Fort William) repose to the clerke of tiant company, left betind there, to lenve al maters of complaint ca botit sides io arbitation, thurby proving, that either lec didres jelitive a tittle of his accusations, or that fothe furherance of his ow a mivete parpos 3 , he vas willire to lay aside "is cuty as a magistate, and componst these athedgu ciance and offaces. This precious proposal or cocumest is t his ow hand wring, and denedby hime ch.

There is not upon record, such an instance of the prestintion of public authority to personai i.teres: Ind pivato vengrance, as in the proceeding of his Lodehip at Fort William, aidec by a band of armed icsperado, the imployment of whom will create ama. :Enent, and a crrepending sensation in England.

MERCATOR。

$$
\text { Minarcat, } 5 \therefore \text { Oc: 181 } \mathbb{S}_{0}
$$
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## For the Montreal Herald.

MR. GRAY,
It was not my intention to have occupied any of the columns of your Herald this week, but the palpably gross and infamous falsehoods of the hireling Manlius, in that of last week, respecting the conflict at Red River in June last, demands immediate exposure.

Being retained by Lord Selkirk, he apparentiy considers himself privileged to disregard the trath, if he can thereby serve the cause of his client, by leading astray the public mind. The public, however, should be on their guard against the productions of a concealed renal pen.

Truth will leak out, even under the most artful and studied misrefresentations, as it is evident by Manlius's cwn shewing, that Lord Selkirk's people were the aggressors. He admits, that Colin Robertson, on bis return with new colonists in September 1815, en ered and searched, in virtue of a warrant, the North West post at the Forks, upon the pretext of looking for arms; but he omits to say by whose warrant this was done, and also to state that Duncan Ca-
mero was then arrested, and some time kept prisuner.

He alsn admits, that in March 18i6, the said North West fust was a a:a assailed and destroyed or pulled disn, ad Camerin again made prisoner, and sent to England, via $H$ don's Bay; but it is inconvenie:st for bim to ald. th:t a $q$ latitity of merchandize, $\xi^{\circ} c$ was seized. avol fift: packs of furs and skins of the North V. st Compays, thentakentiere, and at another post, (frtwo were tal:en and pillaged in March) were alse sent to England by that rouie, doubtless out of pure regard to the preservation of the N erth West Company's property. Here, a second time, his Lordsiip's people are the acknowledged aggressors.

He farther admits, that Mr. Pambrun was sent by Mr. Semple in April isi6, after these aggressions, with instr:ctions to the Hudson's Bay trading Post at Qu'Appelle, but omits to add, $\mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ at these instructions were to seize Alexander M:Donnell's Post by surprise; or to kidnap bim, if possible.-But, fortunately, bsing on his guard, in consequence of learning what passed below, the Hudson's Bay peopie there, played the hypocrite, aud remained quict.

If wi- it wenderfu', !hat Alexander McDonnell, anter oll thee wat inge, and after the attempts made by Sitifle to decoy him into his power, should endeavour to strength $n$ his post, and his means of defence, by getting aid frem the cther North West Posts, and mo:e especially as he was threatened; and it was made

## 35

no secret, that Mr. Semple's plan was to block him up, and pievent all cormunication with the North West Can espissiig and repassing Lake Winipeg, which, it eff ctet, would have starved their people into subaission, trum want of the provisions necessarily reckuned upon.

McDonnell also knew, that to enforce that plan, Semple was planting camon to command the passige of the Red River, and that Lieut. Hult, a Swedi h renegado (tor his Lords ip is paitial, it seems, to rencgadors of all nati ns; witness the desperadoes at Fort Willam, formerly ia Boaaparte's service) was preparing a small ar:ned vessel to scour Lake Winipog in sumer, with which he declarer he was to give the damned Canadians, as be styled them, such a dressing as they fittle dreamt of. He also knew, from a declaration of Mi. Semple, that it the North We:t Company did not give in, such a blow would be stru:l, as would resound from Athabasca to Montreal ; which has literally been verified.

Manlius also admits, and Alexander McDonnell kr.ew, that in violation of all precedent, the North West letters and dispatches, brought as usual from the interior, were seized and epened, and their contents unblushingly made a pretext for the seizure. This is confounuing cause and effect with a witress. First, rob a man, and then justify it by pretending that discoveries were made by the robbery, which gave reason to suspect that the person robbed intended something similar!! But Manlius purposely leaves you
in the eark upon a material point, viz. that the North West Pest had been taken and pilla ef before the bearers of the letters arrived, who walked into it wibhout knowing of its capture Manlius attempts to mate it be believed, that this Post was taker and pillaged becatse they got information that Duncan Careren and cthers were taking measures to obtain a fores so attack the cclenists; whereas the fact is , that Alexunder MCDenell acted upon the principle of preparation for seif detence, the necessity for which he saw and felt, and the best procf is, that he never meddled with the Hudson's Bay Post cr people, until afier their apprehended measures, were confirmed by overtacts.

The Packs he took possession of were in number and value far inferior to those previnusly seized, and carried off by Colin Robertion. They were never concealed, they were made up a part, marked distinctively, ald put into a stcre at Fort William, separate from the Nurth West packs, with which they never were mixed, and no secret made of them.

Can there be a stronger proof of the effrontery of Manlius, than to ccmplain of a prete nded offer to an Indian Chief of a bribe, if he would intercept Lord Selkirk's despatches, after Mr. Semple had given the example. Bit what is wosse, he basely and falsely states, that the said Chizf was asked to murder the people who carried such dispatches, and further, that he was cffered a large quantity of goods if he would lead his nation to make war, and destroy the colony at Red
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Red River. 'Toconfute this, it is only mecessary to stite, that this Chief has become in the Indian style of speaking, oo imbecile, as to be considered an old weman; kas no influence in his nation, and if he had, why employ I dians so many hundred miles off, instead of these at hand The ariful malignancy, however, of this base and calumnicus accusation, cunsists in saying, that this Chicf had been solicited by some of the North West Company. I call upon him to name those some, and not basely insinuate, according to his Lordship's practice, that some mean all. It would not have answered his purpose to give names, as it would have afforded a readier clue to the detection of his falsehoods.

Alike unfounded is Manlius' declamat-ry rant about the unhappy conflict at Red River in June last.

Alexarder McDonnell knowing that Semple's plan was to starve the North West people, by exclusion from the provisions ccliected at Qu'Appelle, (for 500 canoe-meri would have been at Lake Winifeg in June, wholly dependant thereon for subsistence) he determind upon counteracting $i t$, by making an experiment to open a communication with Lake Winipeg, by going clear of Fort Douglas and the colony. Accc rdingly, about 50 Indians and half breeds went with this intention, and under a positive injunction to keep clear of the Fort and colony, which they did, by making a detour of four miles behind; along the edge of a swamp, which prevented their keeping at a greater distance,
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distance, and then came into the usual path by land, at about alike distance below. Whilst ging peaceably n , a colorist or two by chance crossed their route, whom they detained, and took along to pievent information being cenveyed to the fort. At the place they were to encamp below, cther coionists were found fishing, who were also detained uren the same princi!le, all of whom, after the action, were released wihout sustaining the smallest personal injury.

Mr. Semple, seeing from a lok out, the detour so made, ard thenceforth concluding, that if not prevented, all his plans of starvation into submission would prove abortive, he, in an evi, hour, nazched out wi.h $: 8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{n}$, armed in battle array, and with great parade. He followed the Indians and halt breeds, who were muct scattered, being unc nscious of danger, supposing themselves undi covered at the Fort; for of the original 50 , twenty foer had got two league, below, when they began to encamp, and the remaining 26 , seeirg themselves pursued by an armed force, turned round, ard retrogaded to meet them. Manlius by tis sophistical misrepre entations of facts, says that Mr . Semple called on 20 men to follow him $t$ : meet these people; but the fact is, it was to follow the Indians and Brulés, who had get below his Furt and Colony when-discovered, and the meeting which ensued, was from the said In 'ians, \&c. fucing about, when so pursued by a folluwing party.

Manlins, by his sophistry, also wi hes it to be believed, that these people were above his colony and

Frrt, and not below. The action, however, took place four mile: be'ow, and this cirgum tance of local situation, crmbined with the certainty, that no- personal injury was affered to any collonist or cther person, but those actually in the conflict, or in arms on the way to join the ass:ilants, are damning facts, in procif of S.mple's party being the aggressors, which no perjury in his Lordship's people can overturn ; forif the indians and half breeds meant an attack, why did they take such pains to avoid it, The assertion that they were promised as an induce.ment to attack the colony, the violation of the women of it, is basely false. This needs no other refutation, than that it is not even alleged, $t$ at any such iolation took place, when all were completely at their merey.

Exclusive of all these circumstances, there is the actual fact sworn to by some who wert in $t: c$ action, that it was breught on by Semple's violence, in attempting to seize Buuché, who was sent 'to enquire what the armed pariy wanted; and when be escaped from Semple's grasp, ordering him YBouché) to be fired at, which was at first refused to be done, from fear of the consequence, until Semple repeated the order to his party to fire, and abused them as cowards for hesitating. One ball jassed close by Douché's ear, and aa nother went through the blatist of an Indian, who was advancing in an attitude, and with language expressive of friendship; when finding himself thos treacherously assailed, he threw cff his blanket, took his gun, and then only the action on the part of the brulés began. One of them was killed, and another
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wounded ; and it is a fact that Cuthbert Grant, whom Manlius, at a distance, so bravely attacks, althnugh fired at by Mr. Simple, made every effort to save tim when wounded, and actually was porsunally endeavouring te asti:t him, when the Indian above mentioned came up, and in spite of Grant, unhappily shot Semple through the head, caliing out, you thog, you have been the cause of all this, and shall not live. The numbers actua!ly engaged, were 2. of Mr . Semple's people, and 26 Irdians and Brulés. The other 24 did not join until the conflict was decided,

It enquestionably is a subject of deep regret, and none can deplore it more than I do, that such a distressing event should have happened at all ; and further, that those who were attacked, had not after the victory was decided, made a moderate use of it, but they acted under the impulse of the moment, when their passions were warmed by that attack which they had not provoked. His Lordship, however, is to be considered as the grand producing cause of all, for which, and other outrages, he will have to answer hereafter at a tribunal where none can deceive, and where neither his arts, nor Manlius's misrepresentation, will avail.

There is this marked difference between his and their conduct, that his acts proceed from cold blooded deliberation in the closet. One would be tempted from Manlius's writings, to suppose that he considered this Peer as a person privileged to commit, at pleasure, cvery enormity; and that those he attacks,
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are not entitled to resist or complain, but ought tame. ly to submit like sheep led to the slat:ght.er. What new system of ethics has Minlius (alias Pbilo) discovered, that admits of smoothing down the wholesale robbery at Fort Wiliam, into a mere temporary detention of property? , If ihi be law, cartainly the gen. tlemen of the road, who may be herwies tried at the Old Baile, for measimally burr wing the pures of travellers against thoir coasen, should subcrite a


The correspondence of Alexander McDonnill with Mr. Semple, may som: day be seen by the public, when he will be fornd to be a very diferent person from what Manlius represents ti"). He is brother to the gallant $C$ lonel $M \in D$ and Aid-de-Cano to General Brock, who fell ai $Q u$ enston battle, along with that renowned officer.

I call upon Manlius to say, to wamm Alexander McDonnell's letter was addresed, $f:$ in which $h$. pre. tends to give an extract, and alow h,w he (Maniius) came by it, because if he be silent on this subject, it will prove that it has been garbled and int rporated to suit a purpose But evern as it is, it is obvious that it was bettomed in resistance of the evident intention of his L:edship to destroy tiz North Wes: Company's trade.

Manlius writes for stage effect, and with this view he introduces one imon Ancllivinv, for the mean and indecorons purpose of avirg a cut at the Honora-
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wle William McGillivray, who has smarted under his Lordship's vengeance, in a manner which I shall notice hereatter. Now the fact is (and Manlius or his informants knew it,) that there was no Simon McGillivrav, nor any other half-breed of the name of McGillivray, at any time this year, within 3000 miles of Red River.

Yetafter this, Manlius talks of his conscience. If he be the persin, I suppose he is, I did think he possessed a pure oias, and that no inducement could bave led him to pervert the trith; but if he be not deceived into a belief of what he writes, by the misrepresentations ot others (which I would fain hope is the case,) I must add, that his once fair conscience, has become seared by hi; Lordship's gold, and the winning persuasions of his active, and, in other respects, amiable Partner and representative here, who is now acing a part out of the usual female sphere, for which even the fullest extent of allowance for conjogal par. tiality and attachment, can hardly furnish an adequate apolegy.-Manlius appears to have warmed himself into a red-bct enthusiast on the oceasion ; instead of acting as an advocate, and as is usual with enthusiasts of all descriptions, reason is laid aside, and every thing viewed through a false or distorted medium.

Apropes of Cuthbert Grant. There is an anecdote of t is Lurdsiip connected with him, that evinces his great purity and disinterestedness of conduct as a Magistrate. He sent up warrants cut and dry from Montreal to Mr. Semple, against the said Cuthbert and othe
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other half breeds, with instructions to apprehend and send them down prisoners, unless that they had become friendly to the Colony; thus the crime it seems consists in the side taken, not in the quality of the fact committed.

Before closing the present communication, I shall give a sample of North West humanity, in contradiction to his Lordship's calumnious accusations. A number of Clerks and men engaged at Xontreal under his orders, in spring 1815, for the Hudson's Bay Company, by Colin Robertson and John Clark, in all above roo, were sent up in summer, of that year in 14 loaded canoe, (the merchandize having been taken in at a depôt on their route) and pruceeded into the Athabasca country, where such an unfeeling want of precaution had been used in respect to their subsistence, that before last winter was far advanced, the four different trading parties or posts, into which they had distributed themselves, found it necessary (after a clerk and ${ }^{17}$ people had perished for want) to throw themselves upon the humanity of the North West Traders for tood: who (forgiving at such a moment of distress the previous conduct of Clark and his people) hospitably received and fed them, whereby the lives of above eighty survivors were preserved; who probably by his Lordship's instructions, are now entering upon a second campaign of intended destruction of the North West Company's trade.

This happened, too, at the time when his Lordship's agen's at the Red River, as betore mentioned,
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and as will be further seen hereafter, were maturing plans $f \mathrm{fr}$ starving into submission the whole North West people.

I should not be surprised if the North West Company were abused by his Lordship for this act of humanity, as it affords them such a triumph in contradiction to his accusations.

Ishall at this time conclude with another decisive proof of the falsehood of Manlius's statement of the Red River battle, that long afterwards, his Lordship as mentioned in my last, proposed to settle every thing by arbitration, which assurediy he would not have done, had he not been convinced, that his people were the aggressor, and that they provoked their own hard fate, on the unhappy occasion.

I, however, have not finally done with his Lordship and his cause, but indelge a lively hope, that I sha! be enablet finally to pull off the mask from a wolf in sheep's cluihing.

Montreal, 17th Oct. 1816,
MERCATOR.

## For the Montreal Hersedd

MR. GRAY,
The communication from me in your Herald of the igth inst. taving been out of tie course that I at first prescribed to myself, I now resume the prose. cution of my original intenticns.

The subjects hitherto discussed by me, have it substance related

1st. To the Hudson's Bay Company's Commer-cial and Territoria! rights, claimed in virtue of their charter of 1670 , whereby they pretended to convey 117,000 square miles of country, (wnich i:cluded the Red River) to Lord Selkirk.

2d. His Lordship's pretended iight in virtue of that conveyance, and

3d. The procedure of himself and agents, by him zalled governors, consequent upon such conveyance.

The question of commercial monopoly has been disposed of by the fact of the non-renewal of the act
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of parlianentit which confirmed their charter only for seven years, and thencefrom that monopoly lcgally ceased.

The question of territorial right, eitior in the Hudson's Bay Company or his Lordshis, to the Red River country, is completely ncgati ed and set at rest, by the authorities I have produced, and by those noticed in the able remarks of a Commoner and a Briton, and of Vindex, to both of whom I am wrixnown, and of each of whom I am in like manner ignorant; but when truth is the object of research, ticre will necessarily be, without a communication of ideas, a great coincidence of sentiment.

It evidently appears from what they and $\mathbf{I}$ have writen, that the king of Great Britain never had, or pretended to have a right to the Red River, prior to the treaty of peace of $1 ; 63$. That therefore no title therto was, or could be conveyed to the Hudson's Bay Company by their charter of 670 , nor by any posstility cou'd a legal grant of it be by them made to Lord Selkirk, as the charter contained a positive exception of all countrics possessed by the subjects of any foreign Christian Prince or State; that in consequence their and $\bar{k}$ is appointment of governors of the Sed River Country was illegal ; that such governors, even if they had had a legal territory to govern, never had the legal requisites for such an appointment ; wrid finally if they had possessed such requisites, they never qualifed themselves for the discharge of the duties of the office, by taking the necessary oaths.

Having
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Having irrefragably established the complete in competency of the mock governor, Miles McDonnell, I have to add that the like attached to the mock Gonernor in chicf Robert Semple, in respect to kis having no legal territory to govern, and to his nevet having been allowed or approved of by his Majesty ; as also of tis never taking the oaths cf office, to which however, his religion was no impediment, as I believe he was a Protestant.

I have also to add, that any tisle pretended to be derivable from the circumstance of the Hudson's Bay Company being mentioned in acts of Parliament, Proclamations, or Treati $s$, is rendered nugatory from this consideration, that ncthing is therein said, either in confirmation or abolition of their Charter, nor is there one word explanatory of its territorial limits; so that the whole remains unaltered upon its original foundation, the same as if such acts, proclama. tions, or treaties had never existed.

Thu; vanishes ints air, Lord Selkirk's bigh sounding pretensions to the Red River sovereignty and rights of soil;-With these mu $t$ go his accusations of high treason, \&c. founded upon his alledged title :-And he now appears in his true character of an usurper of the lands of the Natives, not coly without title or purchase, but against the king's postive prohibition by proslamation in 1763 .-And also as a fell tyrant, presuming upon impunity, from his personal rank, for the oppressions exercised against his inferiors. And thas the acts of himself and the mock governors,
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instead ot being as his advocates would wish to have them teclieved, rere venal trespasses, are real acts of Fillage and robbery, in justification of which, he has not one incth cifgal ground to stand upon.

I defy Manlins, and his colleagues, to overturis what I have exabtished, and in the mean time, I call apen them to state, at what time the appointments as severior of Mr Sempie and Mr. Miles McDonnell Freconiaced by li, Majesty, -And where, and by whor, the vaths eaj ined by common and statute law to be tateaby gyern rs of colonies and plantations, were admisered to them, if they will against evicience persist in calling them governors. These are trm quatins, ant net on be get of or answered by wel! rounded perisds, and common place forensie assertions and negations.

Mry saces must frgive me for reurring to the s.mesubject, Lecause the most material part of the rai merits of the matters in dispate between Lord Scitit': and the Norh West Company, rest upon the validity or invalidily of the territorial grant to his Iorech, are of the appointments of the aforesaid mock governers, with the legality or illegality of their condaci at the Red River in forcibly seizing and pillwing property there, belonging to the North Wess Compa:-.

I now proceed to give a short history of his Lord hip's c.lowizing and trafcking projects, which tave been the source of so much individual distress
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and puoblic discussion, first premising, bow superlatively sordid must be the avarice of that man, who could descend from the high rank of a Peer, to enceaveur to take the bread cut of the mouthe of a thousand, who kad no other dependance but their indusiry. And who could deliofately throw into this community to serve his private vicws, the firebrand of disunion, discord, an." personal animosity, which may nuit-live his existence.

Many years ago, le came to Canada, through the United State:, which he probably visited as Anglicanus says, in order to find out an asylum in "precarious "times," th retire to in case of need : for at that pe. riod the democrats asserted that Great Britain was going to ruin, Or more probably he came through those States to be initiated in the mysteries of land jobbing, and making of laind pitches.

Upon arival at Montreal, he was more than well received, and treated by all engaged in the Indian trade, from whom he sought and obtained information about it, and especially that of the North West.

Every one readily supplied the whole extent of the information he possessed, with that unbounded confidence which a request from a $\mathrm{Pee}_{i}$ of the realin, and a Legilator, in respect to commercial subjects, naturally inspired; for none could have dreamt that all would be carefully and treacherously treasured up to be applied at a future day to his own advantage, and the destruction of the means of li elihood of the informants.

He then learnt, without reservation, the strong and the weak points of the North West trade, in respect to competition with the Hudson's Bay Company : and on his return to Great Britain, set about making his discoveries available to his private interest, which is the polar star of all his projects. Accordingly, he and his friends pur=hased Hudson's Bay strck to such an extent, as to acquire the virtual, if not real management of their concerns, as he flattered them with the hope, if not certainty, of his being able completely to pur down their competitors of the North West.

Having fornd that the Red River country furnished the wild animals from which the subsistence of the Nurth West canoe-.nen, to and from the interior, was chiefly derived, he consequently considered, that if he could contrive a specious pretext for seizing that country, he could in duc time exclude the North West Company from it, and the loss of their general trade must follow. Hence the origin of the ystem which bas since been so pertinaciously prosecuted.

Having in his youth, studied some law, he was fertile in expedients, if not chicanery, and hit upon that of getting the Hudson's Bay Company, to give him a gratuitous grant of ${ }_{11} 7,000$ square miles of country, which however was no great effort of generosity in those to whom it never belonged. Mightily pleased with a project, that was to transfer to them the whole of the North West trade, they did not enquire rigidly into their capacity to grant, as his Lord hip, doubtless aid, according to his present favorite maxim, when
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want of legal authority is urged to him against any measure, "s will take the responsibility upon mysclf." His Majesty's ministers had their hands too full of other more important concerns, to trouble themselves with making enquiry into the merits of this bare faced juggle; for such a knack has his Lordship at deception, that he actually persuaded them to furnish him with cannon, small arms, and ammunition, during the late war, upon the pretext of defending the Hudson's Bay people (for one part of his project was to furnish servants to the Hudson's Bay Company) against the Americans, altho' his Lordship well knew, that generally speaking, there was not an American in arms within two thousand miles of the Red River, or nearer to it at any time during the war, than those who afterwards under General Hull, made free with his Lordship's sheep at Baldoon, many of which ti.e North West Company were instrumental in recoveiing for him. Or those Americans who at Ste. Mary's destroyed the property of that company out of revenge for their active assistance to Government on all occasions whilst the war lasted.

Miles McDonnell was appointed by his Lordship to govern the first colonists whoarrived at the Red River in summer 1812, so ill provided, that had it noi been for assistance in provisons and stherwise, the ensuing winter, afforded by the North West Company's people, they would have starved. This nisknamed Governor was so sen ible of this that he wrote a letter of thanks, wherein he stated that his people had experienced more kindness from the servants of the

North West than of the Hudson's Bay Company. He loudly pretended a strict neutrality between the two concerns, and that there was no intention of meddling with the trade of either.

This lulled the Nortid West people into a fatal security. Little did $t$ ey suspect whom they had to deal with, or the extent of his views ; for this worthy disciple of his Lordly master, of course, in conformity to instructions, acted exactly the part of the Snake and the Husbandman ; for atter being warmed intolife, by the indiscreet humaniry of the North West partners, clerks, and people, he began to unfold himself ia Spring, and by degrees as he felt more independent of their aid, instead of the style of a supplicant ana friend, assumed that of a baughty master, taking the name of governor, and requiring every thing to be first brought to him for refusal, as the representative of Lord Selkirk, who as the landlord of the country, he said had a right to the whole produce of the soil. This began to open the eyes of all, whether traders, Indians, or half-breeds, still however they temporized, altho' a breath at that time in th.- way of advice, would have dissipated the treach ercus intruder:。

I say, forkearance after that became weakness, and encouraged the insults and injustice afterwards experienced.

I would have held openly this language, which could be justified in the sight of God and my ceuntry.
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- Natives and proprietors of this soil, -you see that a band of intruters have arrived (who but for y.us (and us would have starved) not to trade and adini-- nister to your wants by a fair exchange, but to de-- prive you of that soi! (without giving you even any ' consideration for it) whereon you drew your firt - breath, and wherein lye the bones of your ancurs. - Beware how you admit into your country, a land - jobber, or a cultivator of the soil ; or you are un'done, as an independent people. Look at what has - happened amongst oth er nations of Indian?, by a si-- milar proceeding of the Americans. They drive the
- nations from place to place, after seizing their lands - in succession, until they become wanderers witi:out a - country of their own to hunt in, unless out of pity to
- their helpless state, some other I:diacs give them - the use of a part of ticir hunting gFunds, waich in 4time share a lise fate. This practice, my friends,
' is far different from that of your Great ather, the
- King, wio sanctions no injustice against his red - children. He has expreesly prohibited your coun-- try from wet 5 encroached upon by cuiusiaztion, - and has never atket pua to cede it to him, nor has a' ny other the right to purchase it. The man who
- sends these people to conmence a sistem of spalia-- ti.n, is an impostor, whacts in direct "ppritime to ' your Great Father's infunctions, asexpace ${ }^{2}$ ina ais - proclamation ct $1_{7} 6_{3}$. Theretore send one and all ' whoare not traders out of y'ur countr', but in so - doing, show humanity to those verple who are the 'dupes of the great criminal, in this business, who is ' not here. Do them no bodily injury, but warn
- them against returning, else, they will instead of - dupes, becnme principals in the attempt to deceive ' your Great Father, and to rob you of your hunting ' grounds and country.'

Instead of holding this language, however, they still continued to suffer, until the measure of arrogant outrage being full, ( $a$ - will appear hereafter) Miles, and his mock sheriff, were apprehended, and sent down in 18:5, to answer for their crimes before the legal tribunal, when the majurity of the colonists discontented and in despair from Miles's tyranny, and the deceptions and tardships they had been subjected to, determined to emancipate themselves from Red River slavery, and many came to Canadz, $n$ doubt aided in point of conveyance by the North West Company.

MERCATOR
Montreal, 30th Oct. 18ı6.

## For the Montreal Herald.

MR. GRAY,
Iu my last I mentioned what I would have said to the Red River Natives, had I witnesset the lawless prcceedings of Lord Selkirk's agents and colonia! intruders; in which I would have been justified, unless the pretended sovereign, but real tyrant of that River, possesses (as his actions iadicate) the right of setting aside natural as well as muncioal law; for by the one I should bave appeaied to their aboriginal rights, and by the other to the law of the land, which gave birth to those agents and intruders.

The law of nature gave those natives the right to defend their persons and territory against lawless aggression. The law and practice of Great Britain enabled them also, of right, to say to that self degraded Peer, produce to us the auth rity of your Sovereign and our Fathir, for this claim you make upon our land, to which we have never given consent. With you we have nothing to do in respect to our soil. Go hence, or abide the consequences. Our rivers being navigable, are to us, what highways are in your country. No
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man has a right to shut them up by his own authority to serve his private interest. Nor have you or any of your underlings, a right to prescribe to us with whom we, a free and independent people, shall trade or how we shall hunt. If any of us from an excess of forbea:ance, and a desire to avoid extremities (finding you have inseriisted our intercourse with our old friends, by the direct path, in planting great guns upon the baks of our river and highway) snould at any time moke a circuit, do not suppose it will proceed from cowardice, or from any doubt of our rights; for be assurai, that if your people attempt to coerce usinto subazission to your lawless dictates, we shall resist, and then not ipon us, but upon you, as the aggressor, must rest the blo d which may be shed. The conflict which encued in consequence of the lawless and arragast conduct of Mr. Semple, in attacking a number of prople, making a circuit round his Fort, to avoid collision, I have already deseribed.

I have called his Lordship's colonists dupes; and well I mig!t! but after the able exposure by Dr. Strachar of B is deceptions regarding them, it would be a work of supereregation to recapitulate them here. I muct, howver, observe that in point of puff and concesiment of facts (for both are strong ingredients) his Lordhip's prospectus for the Red River settlement (which may be in the moon, from what is said in the body of it) exceeds any thing ever published by those $O$ racks of notorious memory, Doctors, Brodem and Solomon. For instance, his Lordship \$ays, ' a teret of land of some millions of acres in point
© of soil and climate inferior to nene of equal extent in - British America, is now to be dinposeli a, and will - be sold extrem:y cheap, on account of its situain, 'which is remote' (so is tı moon) 'from the pre6 sent settlement. If a tract of tie same extent and c turtility were offered $t_{1} r$ ale $i$ : L~Wer Canada and - Nuva 'scetia, furchas rs' (if iunatic) • wou'd be ea-- ger to cotain it at an hundred or two húndred - tncusand guineas, and at taat price would make an - ample profir in the course of a few years by retailing it' (at his calculation) \&c. ' again,
"As the lands in question (stil' not a word about "t the actual situation) possess inioriunt matural.ad"vantages, "iver any which now remai:: un ccupied " in Nova Sevia and the adjacent coleni-s, (what colonies does he mean-those adjacent to the Red River, or to Nuva Scotia. This equivec is not the result of a: cident, for this calculating Lord never act: withon: previus design), "it cannt be demed ". unneascrable it th= settlers in gener are charged " for their lands at the lowest rates, which they would "pay 10 these provinces" Aloo, "th !rwest price " of land in the maritime culonies, whin s:ld to ac'6 tual settler:, is ten shillings per acre, if stid; or if "leased in perpetuity, one shilling per acre per an"s num."

Now this is false, for in Lower Canada, which is surely a maritime colony, land can be had at $s 3^{d}$ per acre, if sold by the Sheriff, or at 2 s 6d by privace bargain, if uncultivated. And it leased in perpetuity,
it can be had at a fourth, or perhaps a tenth of what his Lordship exacts at the Red River, where his rent tariff is in wheat. His goodness, and indulgence also is such, that the arrears which may fall due to him, go on accumulating with interest-for his cardinal maxim is, to get his Tenants in debt, to make them industrious, and then to keep them so, as independence would make them unsteady and saucy. Another favorite maxim of his is, to become the depository of their spare cash, which in order to keep more surely for their future benefit, his agents never had leisure to render any azcount of.

But the best of the joke for the Noble Projector is, that the 100,000 or 200,000 guineas worth of lands he speaks of in his prospectus, cost him nothing ; and therefore, if he seriously believes, or wishes others to believe them worth one or the other sum, (for a difference of 100,000 guineas in his ideas, is as a drop in the bucket) must have humbugged his granturs, the Hudson's Bay Company, and concealed from them the great secret that he now reveals to these dupes, viz. that the amount of the profits which may arise, seems to baffe imagination, for his Lordship having come through the Stares, probably learnt what a Yankee quit claim means, viz. a trap laid by two land jobbers or jugg ers, when they have no title to give the appearance of one, to cheat some ignorant third party.

As his Lord:hip's Paradise was to be sold "extremely cheap, on account of its situation, which is remote from the present setllements, why did not he at once honestly
and candidly say, that the lands were at the Red River, above 600 miles distant from Hudson's Bay, by which alone they could be approached from, or bave an outlet to the sea, through British territory. That such 600 miles were through shallow and dangerous rapids, with numerous dragging and carrying places. That such bay is open barely six weeks in the jear, for external navigation. That the lands are 1500 miles distant from any part of a British province, at present settled, or susceptible of settlement. That the intermediate space is occupied by Indians. That the soil of the Red River lands belongs to Indians, and not to the noble Lord; as also, that the king not only did not recognize, but prohibited the settlement of lands not ceded to him by the natives; and that the expence of transport from this land of promise to Great Britain, or to Canada, would exceed the worth of the agricultural produce ; and finally, that his Lorlship's Red River sovereignty, had no real agricultural outlet, even in prospect, but by the river Mississipi, through the United States, the government of which claimed much, if not all, of his Lordship's new Canaan, and by possibility might obtain it ; consequently, the colonists would in one shape or other become lo-t to their country, for the purpose probably of enabling this noble cosmopolite to hedge and establish in future " precarious times," a place of refuge, or an asylum for bimself.

Had such been fairly explained, his Lordship would have been blameless as to his colonists, although still unjust to the natives. As it is, his present conduct is deserving of reprobation in every sense. By what
fatality
fatality is it, that those philanthropists, par profession, such as Mr . Wilberforce, have never opened their eyes to, o: viices against Iadian oppre sinn, whether of native or American growth Why run to Africa only in search of adventures, when they had eo lordly an oppressor nearer home: Very possibly his Lord. stip is a speculative merber of the scciety for emancipation of te blacks, whilst he is a praction tormentor and enslaver of whites and reds. Such incongruitics ho wever are not uncommon.

Marli:scays, that one of his Lordship's ohjects in col nization, wa, to introtuce the Enslish laws into the $N$ rirth ${ }^{2}$ Vest, and in procf of his sincerity, we fird that at Rel River, in the Indian territory, he began by violating the first law of nature, that of selfpreservation, for by the code of this King Tom, it seems that no man, when attacked in person or property, ought to defend either; and tnat his colonists, although kidnapped by the faise representztions of himself or agent, tad (like negre slayes) no right to change their mister, as probably part of his code was berrowed from Russia, where the boor goes with the snil. For once, at the Red River, his worthy deputies, Miles and A:chibald McDonnell, laughed at them, and in deri ion, said, yall may as well attempt to scale the M:gn, as to escape from hence; witich translated into plain E glish, means, from his Lordship's colonial bourne no travelier, in the shape of " settler, shall ever retirn. Look, s.y they, at the cannon we bave planted to stop your egress, and then be wise, and suffer in silence.
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After this, can it be wondered at, that men born with British feelings, should revolt at such tyrannical insult and injustice, and determine to emancipate - themstlves, by throwing the engines of their coppression into a river or lake, for ought I know. Could a free negro, kidnapped into a situation of slavery, be blamed for doing the like with his pretend $\cdot \mathrm{d}$ master's thumb serews and neck ynkes. And yet this is the overt act by which the crimes of tigh treason and felony (of which many are atcustd by King Tom) are to be establissted, as having been committ d within his sovereignty ; fir Id, not believe ic is even seriou:ly alledged, that any of the accused meddied with any thing, but the arms employed to enfurce a continuation of their subjugation, under hardships and privations extreme.

The alleged crime of murder is to be supported ont of the fact, that kis Lordship's people fired uron a number of persons, (whether whites, reds, or taifbreeds, is immaterial to the question of law or right who studiously endeavored to avoid them, but when pursued and attacked, returned the fire.

Another proof of $!$ is Lordship's wish to introfuce Engli h laves into the Nerth West, is an instruction givea by hims or by his suggestion, to the mock $G$ vernor, when a number of Irishmen were sent out to Hudson's Bay ; which instructions directed them to order that a free use $o$ the shile:lsh $h$ u'd be made in all their intercourse with the people of the North West Company. This was attiapted to be put in practice
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tatality is it, that those philanthropists, par profession, such as Mr. Wilberforce, have never opened their eyes to, or voices against Iadian oppre sinn, whether of native or American growth Why run to Atrica only in search of adyentures, when they had eo lordly anoppresor nearer home: Very possibly his Lord. $s t i p$ is a speculative merrber of the society for emancipation of te blacks, whilst he is a praction tormentor and ensiaver of whites and reds. Such incongruitics however are not uncommon.

Mirli:s says, that one of his Lordship's objects in colonizition, was to intro tuce the English laws into the North West, and in procf of his cincerity, we fild that at Re! River, in the Indian territory, he began by violating the first law of nature, that of selfprescrvation, for by the code of this King Tom, it seems that noman, when attacked in person or property, ought to defend either; and that his colonists, although kidnapped by the false representations of himself or agente, fad (like negrue slases) no right to change their mister, as probably part of his code was borrowed fron R!asit, where the boor goes with the snil. For orce, at the Red River, his worthy deputies, Miles and Archibald McDonnell, langhed at them, and in deri :ion, said, you may as wetl attempt to scale the Masn, as to escape from bence; wtich translated into plain E•glis', means, from his Lordship's coionial bourne :a travelier, in the shape of a settler, shall ever retiern. Look, say they, at the cannon we bave planted to stop your egress, and then be wise, and suffer in silence.
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After this, can it be wondered at, that men born wi.h British feelings, should revolt at such tvrannical insult and injustice, and determine to emancipate - themstlves, by throwing the engines of their eppression into a river or lake, for ought I know. Could a free negro, kidnapped into a situation of slavery, be blamed for doing the like with his pretend:d master's thumb strews and neck ynkes. And yet this is the overt act by which the crimes of bigh treason and felony (of which many are arcused by King Tom) are to be establisted, as hiving been cormitt.d within his soveruigrty ; fir Id not believe i, is even seriou:ly alledged, that any of tiee accused meddied with any thing, but the arms employed to enfirce a centinuation of their subjugation, under hardships and privations extreme.

The alleged crime of murder is to be supported out of the fact, that kis Lordship's people fired uran a number of persons, (whether whites, reds, or ta' 5 breeds, is immaterial to thie question of law or rihtij) who studiously endeavored to avoid them, bet when pursued and attacked, returned the fire.

Another proof of $!$ is Lordship's wish to introduce Engli hlaves into the N:th West, is an instriction given by him, or by his sugge, tion, t, the mock $G$,vernor, when a number of Irishmen were sent out to Hudson's Bay ; which instructions directed them to order that a free use 0 the shieeilat $h$ uld be made in all their intercourse with the people of the North West Company. . This was attionted to be put in practice
at the English river, by seeking a cause of quarrel to try the effect of his Lord hip's experiment. But the people employed in making it having been foiled by a stu-d North West Clerk, and a haudful of honest Canadians, who wou d not quietly submit to the intended rcbbery, they went back tu Mr. House, the Hudson's Bay Clerk, (I beg his pardon, perhaps Governor House) t : get fresh instructions. Being in consequence reinforced with a good dose of whisk $\%$, and an Indian fuzil each, he sent them back to the charge, when another ineffectial attempt ensued, and a Mr. Johnson, the leader of the Hudson's Bay band, with two or three others, one of whom, a Canadian in the emplcy of the North West Company, lost their lives, supposed by the Hudson's Bay people, in the scuffle, confusion, and intermixture of both parties; for the North West Clerk, Mr. Black, behaved with a coolness almost unexampled, and preserved his life by moving round with agility, and presenting his pistols wherever he saw a fuzil pointed at him, but reserving his fire; and his people used the butt ends and barrels of their pieces against their assailants' heads, without discharging them. Next day Mr. House was so conscious of his people being the aggressors, that he wrote to Mr. Black the North West Clerk, a whining apology for their conduct, and (poor Johnson being no more) pretending they had acted contrary to orders. His Lordship has been quite silent about this Shilellah experiment.

Another proof of his great respect for English law, is, that he first lays hold of property not his own, and
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then searches for a pretext to reain it, which he probably learnt at jealou:g, near tie place ot his rativity, where the aacient practice was said to hive prevailed some rentoriss ags, of hanging a man first, fur the sake of saving time, and trying him atterwards at leisure.

This was in the language of those lawless days, called $\mathfrak{F e d}$ dart $\mathcal{F}$ ustice. I wonder that Manlius, instead of attempting 10 justify the rubbery at Fort William, as a mere itn fcrary detention of property, did not hit upon its being a forced Loan, i: the Bonaparte stile, as his Lordship's finances probably get liw.

Thus the preeredents for his Lordship's Red River Cocie, are from any where, and every where, but England. Inceed his regard for English Laws (as exemplified in his practice) resembles nothing so much as Bonaparte's ci-devant love for the Americans. It is ligh time to revert to the proceedings of his Lordship's Satellites at Red River.

Miles, during winter 1812-13 being there first, was (as betore mentoned) with himself and settlers, kepi from starving bv Nerth. West humanity. He uas then as meek as a lamb of tie breed of Kirke's in Jai: cs the ad's time but next spring he began to to show the cloven foot, $k y$ assuming the title of a governor, and requiring the pre-emption cf all produce of his great Lord's land, whether caught by the native Lords of the soil, or raised by the intruders.
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In winter $\mathbf{1 8 1 3 - 1 4}$, the cilony had had an accession of strength by families who landed at (burchill Fort the searn before, too late to set on by water, ev.n to York Fort. They were is a state even more miserable than those of the former year.

In that winter, intelligence reached Red River, that tie Americarshad takca our flett upon Lake E ie, as alsn Detruit in 3ip. 1813 ; consequently as the North West Cenpany's rescurces in provisions or supplies from that quaber, would next spring probably be cut off, this was the time to strike the finishing blow against that Cumpay's tiade, according to his Lordship's planard instruaicns. From this moment the veil was haid aride. The Nurth West Clerks were attempted to be inveigled from the intere $t$ of their enployers, by representing them as ruined by the war, which sucioceied with a wretch named Pritchard, whac life was suyed by the exertions of a North itest cegege, in the cinfict of June 186 Miles buld ont tothe Nurth West men, that resistance to his power was useless, as en account of the war in Carala, they notdexpect no aid or rclief.

## Ee lid inde of provisions sending to the Nort! West

 Pos:s. He threatened the pour iniorani servants of that Company, and made some of them swear to renain inactive in any contest which might cccur, and others he swore to divulge, where their employers' property tha been secreted from his grasp. At one tiere he denieu his dependence upon the government of Canada, at another he surmoned the Nurth West Company's people veture him, as a Magistrate of the I:dian ter-
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ritory appointed in Canada, just as suitei his cappice or his purpose. At other times, he woal threaten to condemn and put to death any one who theuld resist his authority.

In January 18 i4, he iswed his famous or infamous Yroclamation for an Embargo of provisions. In May he notified all the North West people to abandon their trading posts and his Lords?ip's territory. In spaing 1854, he erccted batteries on the Red River, and fred upon, and brought too $b$ ats and cances of the North West Company, and took out of them the property they contained, which he secured in his Lordship's siores. At length Miles, in the plenitude of his power, and " hour of his extreme insolence," appointed one Spencer, a Hedson's Bay Clerk, to be a mock Sheriff, and issued a warrant authorizing and commanding him to seize the North West Pust at the river La Sourie, where a large supply of provisions had been collected. This he did by an armed foree, first cutting down the pickets, and then seiz: $n_{j}$ the whole of the provisions so collected, which were cartied off for the use of the colnnists. Part were afterwards given back, when a superior force of Nort West people arrived at Lake Winipeg, wh, dependied upon them for subsistence, and would not have submitted to starvation, but this partial resterstion does not alter the complexion of the case, or excuse the original robbery; it is however a manifest proof of the forbearance of the North West Company, for nothing short of a restitution of the whole should have satisfied them, Tie not firing upon the mock Sheriff's party when cut-
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ting Gown the pickets, vonid be another proof of extreme forbeararce, wore it not, that when the honest Camadiansinside, called for arms to defend the property of their employers, the traitor Pritchard (previonsly gained over) handed them fusils without amo munition. This fellow afterwards went off from Montreal into Lord Selkirk's service, after many previous protestations of fidelity and attachment to his former employers.

- Miles McDornell's conduct thus becoming so outrageorsly insupportable trom repeated robberies, warrants were issued for the arrest of his Sheriff and of himsilf, whowere buth brought down to Montreal, the year following, to stand tral for their felonies; but his Lordship, with his accustomed contempt of the iaw of the land, took them up to Fort William last spring, and has kept them ihere, or sent them into the interior in his service, altho' under recognizances to appearat the criminal term here in September last.

MERCATOR.
Montreal, 7 th November, 1816.

## For the Montreal Herald.

MR. GRAT,

My last communication closed with the feats of the impostor governc: Miles and his sheriff, and their consequent arrest, and conveyance to Lower Canada, to answer tor their crimes, I asserted at the out:et that Lord Selkirk-his pretcaded governors-agents, and people, were un all occasions the aggressors against the N. W. Company, besides his being totally devoid of legal right to the territory usurped by him; and the truth of this assertion, and that want of legal right have been established in a manner indisputable; nor has any serious answer, or document been produced in support of his Lordship's usurpation, or of his colonists being meddled with, anterior to his own and their violent aggressions.-For in winter $1812-13$, Miles and his people were kept from starving by the Nurth West Company's people. In winter $1813-14$, he basely attacked his benefactors by proclamation, by capture of their forts, otherwise trading posts, or factories, and plundering them of provisions; and in Siring IS14, by notifying all the North West traders to quit their
posts，and depart from his Lordship＇s usurped ter－ ritory．

Ali these facts let it be remembered，（and it is a most decisive one in confutation of the $p$ lan alledged io have been concerted against his Lordship＇s colony） took place above two years before the pretended col－ ony was broken up by the act of the colonists them－ seives，impatient at the slavery and privations they underwent，under his Lurdship＇s grose deceptions； but 1 agais contend，that it was no colony，and never h． t any legal ataribute to constitute it one。

In 1815 ，Miles and his sne：iff，（the latter some－ what eariier in the year）were sent down for trial．In October 1 s 15 ，Cullin Rubertson appeared on the stage， and arresting Duncan Cameron at Red River，with or with a warrant，soon set him at liberty；but in March 1816，the same Rubertson and Mr．Semple， another new actur nicknamed governor in chief，sur－ prised Cameron＇s post a second time，arrested and kept him prisoner，tu：ned the North West people out of the fort－laid hold ot all the merchandize，provi－ sions and furs，it contained，to a large amount；and about same time，played the same game at another North West post in that country．The principal post after being so surpriscd，taken and piundered，they demolished，and carried off the materials to strength－ en Fort Dougias．

They，about same time，（Marca，r816）after＇taking the posts，seized the North West express，with，all
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the letters from the interior, which they opened and read, and kept such part as their caprice dictated, pretending according to the . Feddart Code, that they had tnereby got proof to authorise the act, which they had previously committed.

They then intimated without disguise, tise determination to blockade Alexander McDunnell's post of Qu'appelle, (after first endeavouring to strprise it and kidnap him) and also to cut off his communication with lake Winipeg in Spring 1816, so as to starte 500 of the North Wcst Company's people, who an. aually pass there in Juze, An armed vessel prepared by Holt, the Swedisk renegra, was to co-oper.te, and strike a blow to resound from Athabasisa to Mcerree?. as befure mentioned.

In May 1816 , wien the Red River opened, Robertson went off with 50 plundered packs, and the other bocty to Hudson's Bay, to make sure of that spoil, be, fore it could be retaken. The Brulés seeing this, and not before, (although the robberies of Robertson. and Semple were in March preceding) laid hold of the packs, (which were worthless in comparison of value to those taken by Rebertson) so ostentatiously spoken of by Manlius, as being found at Fort William, under a distinct mark, as if their original seizure had preceded the capture of the North West posts and packs.

After all these preparstoiy overt-acts of aggression and robbery, which cer veyed admonitions of the intended sequel, not to be mistaken, was Alexander

2veDonrel to sit with his arms across, and patiently wait until the knife of the noble bandit's myrmidons, $s^{2}$.vuld be put to his own throat ? and also until 500 of the people of his concern, should in systematic conformisy to that sequel, undergo a regular and absolute starvaion, and all the retirns of the year from the inserio: be carried off to treson's Bay as intended? or was te to use the means which the laws of God and fi: Country authorised him to resyrt to, for the pregervetion of the lives and property confided to his care, or depende"t apon his destion at stach a crisis? In my mind his ony blane is too mach delay and forbearance, for as the aggressica oi Lord Selkirl's worthy Iieuteriaits, began in October, and were afterwards resumed ia March with a hundred fold viole nce, not a day should have been lost to apply cuunteraction. Yet inctesd of immediate retaliation, in the applicafion ef which, he would bave been completely justifiable, he coutented himself with demanding by letter the restoration of the forts, and property plundered. This produced a paper cortespondence, which does him credit, and lays open the whole soul of Semple, who fas it in ridicule $b_{j}$ anticipation of Manlius's character ot him) acts the part of a bravo, and talks of his power to iuflict signal chastisement, having a force, whe in he found it very difficult to sestrain, instead of requiring excitencnt, or, in oher words so full were they of fight.

This had no other effect (for McDonnell was not a man to be frightened by gasconade) than to evince the necessity of loosing no further time in opening a communi-
cation with the N. W. mein expected at Lake Tinifas. Ac. cordingly, to ${ }_{r}$ avoid coliision, he resolved upon sending an escort with some provisions bi a circuitous route, and he gave them positive ordere (of which the proof is ample) to avoid the fort aad colony as far as pos. sible. This was construed by Smple, and his valiant men of war, into weakness, and he a ad they in consequence, felt bolder in the determination to prevent all egress by water or land with provisions; because he well knew that if this experiment succeeded, other escorts would follow, and the starvation system be rendered abortive, as also the surrender of the whole of the North West packs from the interior, which were to be exacted; or if refused, to be delivered up, were to be laid hold of by force; for at all events the seizure of the packs was to be effected, sush being King Tom's royal will and pleasure, and such being the blow as above mentioned, which was to resound far and near.

M: Donnell erred in not going himself with all his force by the usual water ruute, and if resisted, to oppose force, and open the way. His error, bowever, was on tie side of humanity, and is therefore praiseworthy, but such was lost upon the se he had to deal with. Ti.e party thus sent by the circuitous route, wete as before mentioned, pursued an! attacked. They rightully resisted, and being victri:ues, are now branded with the appellation of murderers by Manlius, the advocate of a lordly usurper, tyrant, and hirer of cut-thrcats, who in his closet, in cold bleod, planned the starvation to death of 500 persons in the em-
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phoy of that conpany, whose people were at that moment, from lutanity, saving from a similar death 80 of his lordship's wretched and starving Canadians, whom be now employing, in as far as he is able, io Re:rroy those who saved their lives.

The fact of aggression by Semple and his people, consisting of 28, against 26 Indians and brulés, (for no more were in the action) is proved beyond dunbt, by direcitestimony, and by circumstantial evidence, if possible stronger. In addition to this, there is the traitor Pitctard's own testimony, who declared, in the hearing of an offeer of Meuron's regiment, that be could not say who fired tirst. This is conclusive in itselt, for if he nad had the most slender belief that the Brulés were the aggressors, no doubts upon the subject would have been expressed by such a character. And yet the hireling Manlius $i$ so enraged at the failure of his noble client's exterminating plans, that he commits his moral and legil character to the winds, and stakes them upon the truth of a most audacious and atrocious assertion made by him, as he says, " according to the relation of one of the persons con" cerned in the massacre, who is now in prison in "s Miontreal, McDonnell's plan was first to make " as many of the colonists prisoners as possible, and st then to drive the rest into the fort-to encompass os the fort after this should be done, and to shoot eve. "' ry person who should leave it, either to procure "s water or obtain food." It would be too tedious to go on with the quotation, but $I$ assert that this and ithe whele substance of Manlius's last essay in the He-
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ald of the gth inst. are wick $\_d$, malicious, and intentional talsehoods, without cther foundation $h: n$ in the fertile brain of the advocate who pronounced that substanse in a speech at the time of the discussion of the writ of H sheas Corpus, in September last, upon the question tor bailing his Lordship's prisoners ||which speech the orator modestly con idered to be equal to Cicero's oration against Verres) and is now borrowed by Manlius for fresh stage effect (for which probably the neator will not thank hirs, as words and writing differ most essentially, because verba volent, sed scripta manent) to rise up in jodgement against the correctness of the law opinions therein steported by tim, with this marked difference, $h$ 'wever, that Governor Miles has disappeared from the damatis persona ; his diynity having been broken in upon by my unlucky proof of his gubernaterial incapacity, and consequent imposture. This onission augurs at least some symptoms of shame, for arrogant and insupporiable impue sitions upon the puolic, as to Mr. Miles apon all former occasions. Ala3, how are the mighty fallen!!!

The above assertion ma'e by me, of the daring falsehoods published by Manlius, is founded upon the fact that the "person in prison" allu tef $t$,, never said, or authorised to be said, what is so bareface fly attempted to be palmed upon hin ; and that boing informed of the intan ms torgery, he has unequivocally disavowed and expressed is astonisinent at it. What is to be thought of such men, and such a call $e_{\text {, }}$ when such mean and desperate artifices as these, and such as follow, are rescrted toz for their support.

Surely good men, in a good cause, would abhor such proceedings.

The systematic arts and misrepresentations used, and pains taken to deceive others by his Lordship, and $h$ i; advocates, his dupes and minions, are past all precedent, in a British country, and resemble only those practised during the French Revolution. The clergy, the nuns, and almost every person supposed to possess influence, and thereby likely (if they embarked in the cause) to be able to prejudice the minds of the ignorant multitude, have been assailed by artful tales, tild or witten to them ; nay to such a pitch has this been carried, that even tavern keepers, grog sellers, and pedlars, male and female, have been applied to, by one or other high in his lordship's confidence, or zealous in his catise, the names of some of whom, would astonish the world.

Notwithstanding all these intrigues, it is a triumphant consolation to the North West Company, that so little effect have they had upon the Voyageurs, who know them by experience, that at any time they can hire ten to his Lordship's one ; and the reason is, that what they promise they pertorm; whereas when his day of payment comes, there is always some chicane. Even this year, people hired tor him to go to Kaministiquia, and return, were kept all summer prowling about the Lakes Huron and Superior, or at Fort William, at hard labour, and sent down in the fall without a sous additional allowance for the time they were kept beyond that implied by the spirit of
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their agreement, with this pretended pattern of humanity and tair dealing, but of real selfishness and eupidity.

The shooting story is so ridiculous as to carry with it its own contutation. If Manlius was trom Ireland, I should consider it a bull, but its intentional malignacy proves ir to be of different origin, for the natives of that country being open and kind hearted, their bulls are witty, but harmless absurdities. What man in his senses would give, or expect others to believe to be given; an order to drive people into a fort, for the purpose of shooting them afterwards, when they might happen to come out. Surely any man capable ' of so doing, would make sure work, and begin by shouting.

McDonell's countryman Kirpatrick acted very differently with the traitor Cummin, who betrayed bis Sovereign Robert Bruce, for on plunging the dagger into the traitor, he exclaimed, I mak sicker. I quote this to shew the absurdity of supposing, that a man intent on the death of his enemy, would postpone it, when in his power, to an indefinite time.

But really, Manlius' mind has become so perverted, since he stept out of the legitimate and manly path of his profession, to become a Grub-street writer for hire, that he seems incapable of distingnishing right from wrong, cause from effect ; whether an act done now, may unt be justified by something to be done or found out hereafter; or whether all difficulties may
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not be overeome, by this simple declaration of his lordly emplo, er, accompanied by a sardonic grin upon his countenance, "I will take the responsibility upon myself."

The shooting story reminds me of a quack doctor, (not a quack land-jobber) who sold to his gaping auditors powders to kill fleas. One fellow had the innpudence to ask him, how the powder was to be applied; the doctor replied, you have only to catch them, and throw into their eyes he least particle of the powder, and there is an cnd of them. The tellow rejoined, why Dostor, we might as well in that case bill the fleas ourselves, withuut the aid of your powders. To this the quack grave'y answered, either way will do; so will, doubtless, Manlius say, if questioned about his shonting story, whither the colonists hall been shot before they were driven into the fort, or after they came accidentally out, it could be demonstrable by my plan of fabricated orders, to have been really to them the same thing. Who could get over such pithy reasoning as this from the pen of Mcnluus.

Happily, howe:er, the fabricated orders as alleged by him to have been givea by McDonnell, sarry in trinsic evidence of fal h hod, for in no instance were sucn complied with. Fastead of the taking colonists prisoners, and sending thin to Porta des Prairies, and driving the restint: the fort, to be shot as a pastime, and violating the feralis, we find the escurt with provisions going quietly on, as far from the fort and colony as possible, and when one cr two colonists by aceident
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crossed their path, carying them down with them to the intended place of encampment below, towards Lake Winiseg, ' to prevent intelligence of their route being conveyed to the fort,) instead of sending them up to Portage des Prairies. That in this harmless circuit, not thinking of driving in the colunits, they were turiousiy pursued and attacked by Semple, as before mer.tioned. And finally that they neither stot, nor personally injured asy but those who made the wanton attacl: upon them As to the violation of tef females, even Maelius speaks of it as a mater of apprehencion. not of fact. He probabiy had in his recollection when coning that paragraph about rape, the stre: of the old woman who had a dismal tale meniosed to her of the possib!e excesses in that way, which might onsue, if the besieged town in which si:e was, should be taken by storm.

Can any person seriousiy believe the fabricated speech of Mr. McLeod. If there be such a one, I stall not attempt to convert him oth.rwise than by a direct negative. I, however, cannot pass over the base malignancy of the intention of the framers of it, which is to lead their hearers or read rs (it having been both spoken and published by one or other of themjinto the belief that the cluihing was sent as a reward to the brules for what they had done in the battle. - Now the fact is, that McLeod arrived there sone days after it happened, and therefore without the gift of inspiration, could not have prepared clothing upon the presumption of an act, that arose out of Semple's previous unprovoled aggresion. Clothing had
been long annually sent forward for these people, and the other part afterwards found at Fort William, had becnalso previ usl) provided, and alise unconnected with th: unhap $y$ event.

Ar. Ne'eed certainly went with a party armed, but cs cerrainly did su, in consequence of the robberics perpetrated by Semple and Robertson in March, ard it being under trood, that part of the plan was to siop the provistons from Red River, and also to seize the pabls trom the istericr, that were in such case to be sent to Hudson's Bay; all which surely justified every possibie precation to prevent the accomplishment of such nefarious purposes.

Really one would suppose from the arrogance of his Lordship, his advocates and satellites, $t$ at all his opfonents were to prostrate themselves before him, and become like worms to be trod upon, without the right or power of resistance ; and be crushed to death like the people who, in India, fall down betore tite idol of Jaggernaut.

Much is said about exultation, after the fate of the battie at Red River was E nown. If signs of satisfaction were expressed at the defeat of the deep laid plan of his Lordship to starve to death 500 pec ple, and to seize ard to carry off 1200 valuable packs of furs, would it be either wonderfulurieprehensible, especially wren that defeat arose from the wickedness and folly of his Lordship's people, in being the wanton aggressors on the occasion. But little did they dream,
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that the buccaneering Lord, had also planned to pounce like a tyger upon 600 of the best of those packs, afterwards at Fort William.

Manlius, or his colleague, for 1 am uncertain who is the author of the sentiment, says, "could a "character so extravagantly, and daringlv base, as " to make without foundation, a statement like that "c which we have given," ( t ' i is a proof of j in.t manufacture) " be supposed to exist ? the supposition " would be tolly." Aiter the " relation" palmed upon the person in prison without foundation, I shall leave to all honest men to judge upon whom fails the baseness and folly of so duing, when that person can so easily be resorted to, and contradict the base fabrication.

Manlius also falsely asserts, that I first resorted to the Press. His memory is probably conveniently treacherous, else he would recoliect the elaborate essays of his lordly c lient, pubished in the Herald, long. before I began, under the signature of his Cats-Paw Archibald McDonald : for all do Archy the justice to believe that he $i_{s}$ incapable of writing three sentences for the press; as also that in those erudite tssays, certain law opinions were introduced, by way of knock-you-down argumerts. Whereas the fact is, that I first write merely iccounteract those opivions, by fullish. ing others more correct and abie, whici1 I happened to have accesstr, ard at th ime had no intention of going further, had it not been for your base misrepresentations, which began with the assertion of a direct
falsehood,
false'00!, in which -on wer immediately detected, and dared to the pros, but which you have s.eaked from pr dachy; ant which iave been tollowed up by you with inceased audacity and disregard of truth. Be however assured of this, that your reputation has thereby suffered both in a moral and professional pcint of view, altho' at the outset you so arrogantly prognosticated a loss of character tu me, if I pursisted.

Hard cun must you be for argument, to produce as a proof of the existence of his Lordhhip's colonial sights, the act of the imperial parliament of 15 th April 1813, which now 'ies befure me, and in which the words Colony, or Earl of Selkirk, are not to be tound; but I find these, ' be it therefore enacted, tinat fiom - and after the passing of this act, nothing in the ${ }^{6}$ said recited act contained ( 43 George 3. chap 36) * shall extend, or be deemed or corstrued to extend s to any ship or vessel in tie service of the Governor - and company of adventurers of England, trading in${ }^{6}$ to Hudson's Bay, provided such ship or vessel shall - not car:y more tian twenty passengers, besides the 'crew.' It ther gees on to prescribe regulations about licences and chervise; and then the succeeding and last c'ause of the act concludes thus, ‘ and up-- on such licence being granted, it shall and may be - Jaw +u ! t r the said governor and company, to put 6 on board suci shi? or vessel, and to convey therein - such pasengers to the settle nents of the said gover-- nor and compary, adjoinisg to Hudson's Bay, with-- cut being subject to the regula! ens of the said reci' ted act.'

The
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The allusion by you to this act is very mal à propos for your cause, as the word adjoining to Hudson's Bay completely cut up by the routs ais Lord;hip's asar)ed claim of territory; which is clearly very remote therefrom, instedd of so adjoiring Thus have you furnished an addition to the stiperaburdance of proof already brought forward, of the nullity of his Lordship's title.

As you, Manlius, have deigned to answer a small part of one of the queries par to yua by me, viz. that the le:ter of Alexinder AcD.nell, which you quoted in a former communication wis addre-sed "t to a Bro--ther-in-law of the Honorable William McGillivrav." I ask you, good Sir, to go on a little farther, and make the answar complete, by infurming me from whom you obtained it; as also whether it was the original letter sent to the said brother-in law, or a capy or rough draft of it, for I need hardly inform so profound a lawyer as you, that it makes a most essential difference as to the weight of evidence deducible in such a case. As you doubtless read history, you will probably recollect, that Mary, Queen of Scots, was condemned to death, and suffered it, upon a conviction made upon the testimony of pretended copies or drafts of letters of hers; and that such a proceeding has been branded by all soun $\rfloor$ historians as no proof whatever, but a mere colour for judicial murder.

When in the humour of perfect ing the above mentioned answer, I request you will hive the goid ess also to publish the answer you a serted tw $h$.ve been
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given by the agents' of the North West Company, wherein " they observed, that Lord Selkirk, or the " Hudsen's Bay Company might feel Eaterested in " having those claims finally settled; but that the "North West Company were not seinterested, and " theretore the fine qua non was inadmissi.it."

And further, you will be graciously pleased io inform the public, wihen Governors Semple and MacDonell were confirmed by the King, and when and where they were sworn in as Governors; as alfo when the Indians ceded the Red River lands to His Majesty, or when his Lordship obtained the Royal licence for purchasing them; and finaily you will (if you pleafe! give a goud reason why the Noble Peer himself, a law maker, did not even out of humanity, tesort to the arm of the law, through his Majesty's courts, in support of his pretended rights, instead of rusting to his own lawless arm to overwhelm those who hai been in possession by themselves or predecessors in business, of the country in contest, before he had existence.

I hope you will excuse me for calling upon you a second time for such answers, because upon these essential points, being =atisfactorily establisned, may depend whether his Lordship be not a premeditated robber.

The feats of the noble buccaneer, and his band, I reserve for next week, which w:ll elcse my cominunications.

MERCATOR.
Montreal, 15 th Nov. 1816.
For

## 8.3

## For the Montreal Herald.

MR. GRAY,
In my last, I brought the allegations of Lord Selfirk, art his arvocates to the test of dates, which are stafiborn facts not to be overthrown, but which they have studiously avo: ided noticing, weil knowing they would, if known, be destructive of their cause. Let them deny those dates if they dare.-Such must, or ought to convince all who honestly look after the truth -even Manlius, if he be of that description.

It is high time to proceed to that climax of freebooting exhibited by his Lordship and assistants, upon the stage at Fort William in Upper Canada, where the Dramatis Personæ supported their respective parts to admiration, and much to their own comfort and satisfaction; being thereby enabled to live and fatten in the true Bonaparte style, upon the spoils of the enemy, their own supplies being nearly exhausted.

The end, hawever, may prove more tragical to some
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of the actors, than they or their advocates are aware of, unless the British constitution be now an empty name, io be practically set at nought by any Peer, who .ns: have tie hardit od to act as if it was a piece of useless trumpery; and urless an Englishman's honse ray be cheredty an armed ruftan band aEansitaw, his properyy laid hold of, ano kept, and his servants turned out 'himselt being previouslyarrested, a!d sect of by the warrant of an interested acaccuser) in contempt and defiance of ail the established autherities of his country.

The great and severeign Lori of the domininn, colony, arternory of As-iniboiz, alias Ked River, yeler'd in corcur fartance, Tilos. Fi ugtas, Earl uf Selkirk, taired and yaida band ot retainere to till land, or do any dererate work that he might fird occa in $n$ to direct, as by their pitarial eng.g orerts, reference the retion being had wit more tully appear ; for be it known. that these disci. ${ }^{\text {les }}$ of Bonaparte so hired, are urade to stipulate to serve as a militia.

I know of no Englist precedent for such a stipulation, and it will be diffeult tor ar El.glishman te con. ceive how a militia can be composed of foreigners engaged 2000 miles out of the country wher in $t^{t}$ ey were to serve; but till more difficuit to divine, no $w$ such a band can be legally applied, not 10 defence, but to the attack, pillage, and retertion of the property of persons situate 800 miles from the country wherein they were to settle. Yet such is the fac', that instead of waiting to reain that country, thicy have been employed to attack

## 85

the persons and property of His Majesty's subjects at Fort William, far without the bunds of his preterded territory; for no other reason more legal, than that their Lord has so ordered it; just as his ancestors would have done on the borders, some centuries ago, when the Feddart code was acted ufon, with this difference, that in those days a the retainers were of native, not of foreign growth.

His Lordjhip, strange to say, in the present day, has actually so engaged and employed a band of 120 to $\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{o}}$ or more, chiefly foreign discharged soldiers, many of them ci-devant deserters trom Bonaparte's army. The:c he officered, armed, ani provided iegularly, with great guns, fuzils, and bayonets, ammunition of all kinds, a furnace to heat shot, drums, and bugles, and the King's uniform, \&e. \&cc.

Before, or after being so equipped, he considercd one thing material to his purpose wanting. It was desirable to have a few reaily in the King's service, and entitled to wear his uniform, to give the appearance of governmental authority to his proceeding. Being an adept in the art of deception, of which II have already given several instances; he applied for a military pariy to protect his precious person against assassination by the Red River indians (whom he was conscious of having treated with extreme injustice, although his minions would have it be believed, that the Indians know so little of their rights and interests, as to be ready to die with grief, at being deprived of their land despoiler;) which was in an ungarded mo-
ment accerded to ; in the first instance, to the extent of a sube terr wish a serjean's paty, tut, at last rediceu to a sericant ard six piivaies, who received ordut to restrict themselves entirily to the guard of kio teresifip's persen aganst the Fed River Indians, as ty hamself requested; and on no account to internicda', many aifculy betwer n time and other trade's. This guand he has, in cisregard of the conditi :s \% he een it was exeresty given, applitd to the imprimonerent ot h.s lviajestys stibjects, and spoliation of theirprefity.

The ab=vesaid band being fully equipped, left La Ctinc in Nay last, by York in C'pper Canada: and his Lorchip thowed is June ty the same route, in the thal detern ir ation of following up the pien of starvation, and ple, ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{r}$, before mentioned; which had begun to be developed at the Red River, in March preceding, accimding to his preconcerted instructions. That his Lerdetip left Montreal with such determination, there cannot be a particle of doubt; that is tusav, to lay hold of the Defôt at Fort William and all its contents, which, however, he expected to find would corsist of the chief part of the octfit of the year for the irtesing (ct this, towever, fros the great lengit of the passage uplards of his bard, he was in a cunsicerable degree cisaf;cinted) besides any packs r.i.frt righe escape the clutcres of his buccaneeting licuserants at the Red River.

Thic determination was taken, before the battle at the Pal River happtied, and long before it was.
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known to him; (although afterwards lugged in as an excuse for the attack of turt William) and ss su cention of direct proot, if my inturmation: h : . .orrec: ; that he wrote exultingly to a gendenan as Queber, just beture he lett M intreal, "that whon ie cirrusid at Fort tili" liam, the North West Company would protusiy see " reason to disccoser, that a boundar, bstueen the the "s companies was not so incadinissit! a prepsiaco as they " had considered it."- 1 qucte ihis as to substunce, not as to precise evoris: and have to add, that the inadnissibility on their farr, resulyed trove his Lurdship (besides uther objectionzbie conditions) always requaring the admission of his, and the Hudson's Bay Company's pretended righ:s.

The above information being had at second hand, I cannot (without jermission) divulge the name of the person to whom his Lordstip is said to have so writton,

The army of buccaneers equipyed as above, procoeded on towards Fort William, and at St. Mary's or before, they learnt the discomfiture of tice Red River part of the plan of operations; his Lordship then became furious, and resolved to place all his future proceedings (although long predetermined) to ac= count of that unforeseen posterior mistortune to Mr Serple and people, of his own creation. The whole pack of yel ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}=$ rs were let loose, and instructed to proclaim that battle as a deliberate and horrid murder; and to keep secret the fact, that his people provoked and began it. They were farb..: instr celt to endeavor to terrify or suboris wee occasion re ved; ary one who had a know! ! of fucts leading to it,
into an accusation of the North West people and the brulés as the as:ai:ants. This system of terror and suburnation, was actes upon, b:th a: fort William and Montral.

On the arrival of his Lordship and retuiners at fort Willia $n$, the 13 th of August hast, they eacamp. $f$ on the orposite side of the rive: Kaminisiquia, half a mile abcve. ivext day, cae isacN2bb, was sent across, and asking to see Pir. McGilivray, was corcucted to his apartment, where te arres? 1 him upon a warrant issued by Lord Selkirk. Mr. McGillivray without makiag any opprsition, or directing cthers to do 5 , quietly submitted, and went with M'Nabb, taking Dr. McEuaghlin, and Kenneth MacKenzie, with him to his Lordship, to offer them as his bail.

Upon being admitted into the presence of that Peer, whose aspect is dignity personifed, and must always command involuntary respect, they too were arrested. After this, on the same uay, a body of about 50 armed men, under Captain D'Orsennons, and Lieutenaut Fauche, crossed over with their guns and bayonets, and in uniform ; when at the sound of the bugle, and with shouts, more terrific than savages, they rushed on, and entered the fort without the exhibition of any warrant, or any lawful pretext for so doing, as the criminal warrant before produced, had been executed, without a shadow of resistance. Upon the entrance of this band of desperadoes, the other North West partners, weie all arrested in copartnership ; and one of them, Mr. John MacDonald, brutally treated by D'Orsennons. Indeed, the men afterwards made no
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socret, that had there been any resistance, it was intended to put all in the fort to death; military possession was now taken of that Depôr, and all the property therein, ; probably worth in all, the post inclusive, fr 100,000 ; which, with his Lerdship's usual diplicity and hypocrisy, was said to be net with a view to interrupt the trade, or to meddle with merchandize or packs, giving out, that all these be despised, being far beneath his considerution. He acted thus at the commencement, to impose upon the lerks and people, but soon began to unfold his ultimate views to stop all trade, and to detain every thing for his own use - A system of terror was now embraced.-General warrar ts of search, most strange to say, were issued; not for criminals, but for packs alledged to have been carried off from some of the Hudson's Bay posts, not said by whom, packs never concealed, and the histury of them before explained. Pesides packs, they were by the warrant orderea to search for arms, ammunition, and papers, not even expressing the kind of papers. Under this precious warrant, books, papers, and letters were seized; pryed into, read, and endeavcured to be applied to his Lordship's purposes -Outfits to the int trior were stopt, as also the descent of furs to Montreal. The fusil, and powder, and several other articles, which are as much the lawful, and indispensable implements of Indian trate, as ploughs and harrows are of agriculture, were feloniously carried off. And the w:ole property placed in a state of requisition, at the pleasure of his Lordship, upon the peril of $t^{\prime}$ 'e clerks of the North West Company, who were put in fear of their lives, more decidedly, than

## 90

persons robbed on Black Heath can be ; as such expect to meet in the robbers with the feelings of countrymen; whereas in the present case, at any symptom of reluctance, or resi: tance in a clerk to any of his Lordship's requisitions, D'Orsenons or Matthey, two officers late of Meuron's regiment, interposed and intimated, that if such clerk had any regard for his own lite, or the preperty of his employers, le should refuse nothing, because many of the people under their orders were deserters from Bonaparte's army in Spain, and had been familiarized uith pillage and murder. These were, it must be admitted, aryunents not easy to be coniuted oi resisted. What will honest '̛obn Bull say to such proceedings, when directed b: a Peer at the bead of armed foreigners cigainst Britons? They must met with the indignation and execration of every man, who values legal richt and detes s violence.

Mr. McGillivray, and al! :h North West partners, nine in rutiber, were ent off from Fort WilJiam, on the 18 th August, by hi Lerdship's orders, in three canoes, improperly manned and equipped under a military escort, commanded by Lieutenant Fauche, in the degrading capacity of a constable or bailif In crming along lake Superior, a gale arose, and Fauche although forewarned of the danger, insisted upon proceeding. The consequence was, that Kenneth McKenzie, a North West agent and partner, and eight. other persons were drowned. His Lordship was the original, and Fauche the proximate cause of this, which had the business been reversed, would by him have been called a premeditated murder. It is not im-
probable, that his Lordship inwardly rejoiced at the accident. In proof of his want of delicate feeling, and of his revengeful disposition, 1 have to state, that he refused to let Mr. McGillivray's servant accompany him down, upon pretext that he must be kept to be examined, although five days had elapsed after the are rest of his master, before his departure. When brought afterwards to be examined, his Lordship alluwed his doughty Captain D'orsennons, to brow beat the servant, and threaten him with irons, because he refused to obey any orders but hie masters.

After the partners were sent away as criminals, upon trumped up accusations of high treason, larceny, and conspiracy, clerks and men were tampered with. When any of the former were stubborn, they weic packed off under a subpœna, to go down and give evidence. The men were threatened, or enticed to quit the service of their employers; others sent to jail, brought back, imprisonelagain, and so on alternately, until they were frightened into some story about their masters, or liberated as incorrigibly faithful. Whilst so bandied about, it was studiou:ly inculcated upon them, that his Lordship $p$ esessed the power of trying them as criminals, or releasing them as he saw fit, accordingly as they concealed or spoke the trint ; in other words as they would not, or would speak, according to his wishes. Many men hired to bring down packs were kept back, and insinuations used to winterers that hi, Lordship acted by secret authority, and could annul their agreements with the North West Company; that they must enter his service,
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and when they still refused, many were commandedís the King's name to labor for him. The Spanish Inquisition furnishes nothing more tyrannical than these acts, excepting that he did not venture the length of deprivation of life or limb. Whe, after these facts, can duubt of " his Loraship's fervent love of English law."

It would be endless to enumerate the enormities committed by him or by his orders. The last accounts left him in prssession of Fort William, and theproperty there seized by him, which in whole or in part he was meditating to convey next spring to Hudson's Bay. He had also violated the American territory, by arresting a North West partner and a clerk, on the American side of the Fund du Lac country. Thus, alike regardless of involving his country in a national quarrel, by his lawless acts, as of embroiling this commubity.

The proceedings of his Lordship are so greatly outrageous, that he derives an advantage therefrom with some persons, by his acts being disbelieved as incredible; and with others they are considered as a proof of his possessing some secret authority so to act, as otherwise, say such wise men, it would be insanity.

All this is extraordinary, but not half so much so as that a professional lawyer should deliberately publish a justification thereof, and gravely maintain the doctrine, that entering a house or houses, by an armed foree, and seizing the property therein to an
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enormous amount, withuut legal warrant, (for nolegal warrant could in such a case be given by any justice of peace, much less himself) and converting it to the use of the person ordering the seizure, is forsooth '6 only a mere temporary, and justifiable deten" tion of property, to be hereafter satisfactorily ex" plained," doubtless by the Jeddart code. Also, that as " the Earl in the execution of his duty as a "s magistrate (what a barefaced falsehoud) became " possessed of a fort which had served as the last asy${ }^{66}$ lum in the Britisll dominion for murderers, $\sim$ d " the resceptacle of their plunder. - A fort which no" thing less than the special licence of government "c.mild authorise subjects to bold; his Lordship " would not have acted with proprety, hat he repla© ced a fort into the hands of criminal and unaitho. ${ }^{46}$ rised subjects without acquainting the highest au" thority with the causes of its o cupation, and re" ceiving the sanction of his authority with regard "b to its future discosal." Now any man $n t$ ordinary intellect, wh: had not made a study of the Feddart Code, would have thought it best to begin by obraining the sanction of authority for the taking possession of the fort. For supposing that Lord Selkirk in the plenitude of the sovereign authority claimed by him at Red River, had there put into full operation that code, he surely had no right to apply it to Fort IVilliam, 800 miles distant ; and within the jurisdiction of Upper Canada, under his commission as a Justice of Peace for the Western district of that province; and turther supposing that such a commission, according to his interpretation, authorised him to torture persons at pleasure,
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pleasure, it could not give him a particle of right to take, and retain propertv, especially after the causes for which he pretendes to take it, had been by himself: removed ;-therefore, why did bis Lordship not send down, or allow to he sent down the 600 North West packs found there? Were those packs criminals? and if so, why were they not sent duwn for trial, along with those few worthless packs taken by the brulés, (long after Semple's plunder :f packs at the Red River) which were sent down with so much parade? or was it necescary to keep back the North West packs to satisfy ! is band, that he had the means of paving t'em, whi h probably some began to doubt. Upon these points Manlius leaves us in the dark,

Wrthat would be trought of the meanest pettifogger at the Old Piley, who would gravely broach such pinciples in cxcuse for his client, as the profound Pinanioc, that enntemner of the otinions of Pigott, Broughnu. ants spnokie, not only broaches, but contends : 0 be sufficient excuses for his Lordly client.

If be the in the right, then the criminal code of England is a nullity. Robbery becomes meritorious, and a regard to the rights of person and property in others, ifnot arime, there mist be a mere foolish weakness. Each individua! profiting hereafter by lis Lerdship's practises, under Manlius's support, will help himself to his neighbour's goods when he sees fit, and become accuser, judge, and executioner, in nis own cause.

Manlius, also introduces and labors to prove the legality of another betore unheard-of doctrine, that copartnerships are to be accused and tried as a body upon suppositions. As, if one or more of them shall be suspected to have committed a criminal act, all the others must by this new doctrine of suppositions have participated, aithough distant perhaps thousands of miles. It is well known, that many persons resident in England, and in Lower Canada, are co-proprietor, int e 600 packs taken, and held by his Lordship, who could not even by possibiiity, have participated in the alledged criminal acts. All, however, is fish that gets into his Lordship's net.

To the above, I answer, that the Norih West Company first occupied and stil! held fort Wiilliam by licence from the late General Kunter, then 1 ieuten ant Gevernor of Upper Canada, and commander of his Maje ty's forces in botn Provi: ces, who sent up the late hout col. Bruyers, then captain of Engineers, to locate or lay out that estab ishment for the use of the N.rth West Company, uati! wanted for his Majesty's seivice. That Lord Selkirk has no special licence from the highest, nor from any aulhority but his own illegal act, to take possession of it ; nor any rignt to retain it, and the property it contained, excepting the right uf a buccaneer exercise: " upon bis own responsibility." That the conversion to his own use, or carrying away any part of the preperty forcibly taken possession of by him, as above, whether arms or other moveables, is a felony. That the imprisonment and threats by his Lordsbip's authority, with a
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view to induce perjury, are tyrannical and criminal. That coercing men into his service, and pretending to release them from their obligations to others, are illegal and immoral acts.

That issuing subpoenas to witnesses, can only be done by the Court and Judges before whom the $y$ are to appear, and consequently in a Justice of Peace to issue and to enforce such illegal subpoenas, by sending off those upon whom they were served, is a tyrannical and illegal proceeding.

That no Justice of Peace possesses authority to grant a warrant to seize papers, and break seals upon any!pretence, and that more especially, a general warrant of search and seizure, is highly illegal and unjustifiable.

That the breaking of seals of letters (hitherto held sacred) practised by his Lordship at fort William, and the Justices of Police at Montreal, upon the advice of the advocate or advocates of a party, is most illegal, and destructive of all confidence between man and man. - That his Lord hip's whole procedure at fort William evinces swoh a contempt of every acknowledged pri ciple of justice and legal autrority, to verity the old adage, that put poner intr the hands of a violent liberty boy, and the tyrant will imme. disuely appear.

The motives for the arrest en masse of the North West partners are abominable, and the act itself an exercise of the mest despotic power, being for the
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purpose of effecting the ruin of rivals in tride. The accusations of high treason are an insult to common sense, being against persons who have" given testimony of abeir loyalty beyond the power of his Lordship or any of his sycophants to shake.-That the Red River is no colony, and his Lordship and mock governors in re. spect to their pretensions there, are imposturs. - That the larcenies he alledged had no existence excepting in the acts of his own people, and that the pretended murders were acts torced upon the perpetrators in their own defence, against the attack of Mr. Semple andhis party.

To every impartial mind it will appear most astonisning that the North West partnirs and peop.e, who have on all occasions been so furiously assailed by his Lordship and advocates, as so lawless and outrageous, siould have eviaced at fort William such a forbearance and respect for even the mere appearance or sembiance of legal authority, as to succumb, without an attempt ai resistance, whilst they possessed a physical strength on their side of three to one. This is so notorious that they are reproached by man; with unpardonable weakness for so doing, which surely affords prime facic proof of the falsehood ot his Lordsaip's accusations. But there is a further proof, and a most conclusive one it is, being the testimony of his Lordship himself (as before produced by me) who after all, offered to leave all complaints and ritaliations (nothing excepted) to arbitrators, who were tif fix upun a piece of money to cancel' the whole, Sticly it cannut be credible, that his Lordship, had he believed his accu-
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sations, would agree to estimate the wromgful thedding of human blood, by the value of packs of beavers

His Lordship has got upon the horns of a dilemma, which all his cunning cannot extricate him from.-I had aimost forgot to mention a remarkable act of sordid and illegal meanness practised by his Lordship, amongst many others, viz. his fitting out, manning, provisioning, and sending down his prisoners, at the expence of the North West Company -This is without any English example, altbough probably a part of the code Napoleon, that persons accused pay the expence of their own trials.

After all that has been truly stated as above, if shere be yet in this society any person: so perverse in intellect, or so operatc.'s upon by envy, malice latred, or other uncharitableness towards their neighbours, who will still justify the acts of his Lords ip, although he be a stranger to them, I do entreat, nay, conjure them, to substitute in their minds, the North West store and premises in Montreal, instead of $t$ eir establishment at Fort William. - Then suppose that store and premises to have been entered by his Lordship and hired armed band, upon his own warrant, the proprietors arrested, and their clerks and servants turned out, or kept merely to execute his Lordship's pleasure, under the fear of death for refusal, and the said store occupied as a garrison by him and his fo. reigners, and the property therein still held by themI ask what they would in such a case say to it.-This view of the subject, brings it near home, but there
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is no difference unless in locality, for the merits are precisely alike in both instances. Fort William isin Upper Canada, 8co miles from tre Red River. Montreal is in Lower Canada, and enly à greater distance out of his usurped limits. Let such persone consider how they would teel if his Lordshif had acted nere as to themselves, as he has done to the North West Company above, and then they will open their eyes, if not wilfully blind.

I feel as confident of his Lordship being in the wrong, and of its being legally so established as of my existence, and it behoves every man of principle to join in reprobating and putting down doctrines and practices that destroy the security of persons, properiy, and correspondence, as hịtherto enj $\downarrow$ yed, for if he prevails, confidence in either is an idie dependance.

MERCATOR.

Montreal, 20th November 1816.
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## CONTINUATION, \&c.

## For The Montreal Herald.

MR. GRAY。

W
HEN I closed my last Communication upon the subject of the very extraordinary and outrageous conduct of Lord Selkirk at Fort William. in open defiance of law, I did not conceive it possible, that he would add to the disgrace which such proceedings at tacted to his character both magisterial and private. He has, huwever, outdone tis former acts, by one of a description sordidly mean in principle, and of selfish turpitude is sentiment.

At the time he arte ted Mr. M-Gilliyray and the other partners of the N ,rt: West Company, then at that place, he alss arrested one Dariel McK nnzis, a reired wintering partner of that a ociation who was partic larly obnowimsthis L rd hip, from being accused by him, of having enseavored to excite the In-

## 4

dians of Fond-du-Lac to attack his pretended colnny, in addition i) the other accusations falsely made, wher in he was included. One would suppose, that an impartial magistrate, under such circumstances, c ulu no avoid ending him, D.niel M.K nazie, down tur uial, if be sent the others; but quite the contrary tonk place; for fublic considerations are with his Lord hip it no moment, unless he can warp or apply them to promote some personal or selt-interested object.

Observing after the general arrest, that this Daniel Mc Kenzie was a man of weak mind, who had abandoned himself to liquor, he instantly saw, that he might by a mixture of terror and intrigue, be worked upen, so as to b made favorable to his Lcrdship's views. Accordingly (after the other prisoners were sent off) he was detained, put into close confinement, furnished with the means of intemperance, and at his lucid intervals, plyed by his Lordship's creatures, Matthey , D'orsennons, D ctor Allan, and Miles McDonell, who were sent to him in succession, to hold the same language; that the North West Company were completely ruined ; that he, Daniel McKenzies, must infallibly lose all the property he had in that concern, or that was owing to him by the agents, unless he now did something to propitiate Lord Selkirk, who had the power of bringing him to an ignominious public trial for his life, or of releasing him therefrom, and saving his money, according!y as he might now act towards his Lordship.

It was also repeated'y hinted to him, that as a partner, te tadthe means of conciliating his Lordship, and securi: g himself, by sellirg to tim the Nouth West propsrty at Fort Willian, ur elsewhere; out of which he could first pay himself, and tand over the residue to those who mig't have a legal right to it. By dint of repetition, these ductrines gained ground with Daniel, who was now informed that the propositions nust come from lini totis Lordship.

This nefarious plot, co unworthy of a Peer, and a man being thas far in a hopefal train, a paper was dictated to him, to be written $t$, his Lordship, as the is.atiacrystip, and his confinement was now relaxed, but te was neverl-st sight of ty one or other of the myrmid ns employed on the occasion, and not sufferedtnconverse with any of the North West Clerks. This paper is a most curious one, being worded as if it hall been the composition of himself, and is full of repetition amended by Miles McDonell, and afterwards cepied by Daniel.

Upon bis Lordship's receipt of this production, the negociation was developed, and papers upon papers sent to Daniel, or dictated to him, were copied, and amendments upon amendments to some of them made by Miles McDonell in his hand writing, and some even in that of his Lordship: happily some of these precious documents, so amended, were left with Daniel (whicn is a matter of astonishment) and furnish irrefragable proof of the base fraud that was in progress. Furmal deeds were made out by his Le:d:hip
and coried bv some of his people when they were semt tc Danitltir sigratere, none if which (one excepted) he asserts he cves read, or at the time of his cigna:ire was in a state of curpreherd : inceedtis siguanoes corpurd wih his terrer cete, tumish avent prox of this. Iy these papersor teed; the me ancodize ard other property at F rt Jililiam (ite end lirgsex-



 Culens curenenes tor smindieng the Norti Wist $\mathbb{C}$ mpany ont of beir propert; ace irdz-men or. veo able provery at F ! d de Lac, whicr cint rot belerg to that Company, has bapened to b in the ma: ase cere uene of the partners and clerls, was alsoc n-
 inan wrali litexise nave been included, but tras these houst men cou's not arre abont the orice, as his Lndti, wanted cim at a twentier part of the cost. Endt y benomua whe, the frie prebaly would E thavedutan insuperab objection with the Feer, as he kathis own codttons, and his awn time for Ehe payner Thebusiners beisg thus adjusted to It: Ledip's mi and to the saving of his te der
 Cdrmacedcrime, and alised to leave Fors Widian, tu go where te pleased.

The papers an the face of them bear the mark ot fand, and chour such a want of sound mind and freetota cf actice in unc of the parties, as to destroy cuen
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the anrearance of validity; thre is no recirrocity; ail are stipulations, where the one gives, anitie oth $r$ recives the v hole benefit. It is true th: bonest Danied (not Nathaniel) receives fifty guineas in hand, to nail the bargain, and his Lordship, as a further consideratinn, is to convey an estate of three $t \cdot o t s a n d$ poan is a year, but whether in the moor, crelse where is nct said.

There is another trifing difficulty about the validity of the:e bonest men's doings. Daniel had no power or right to transfer; no not to sell to any uther than a member of the Company, even his uwn int rest in it as - a ratired partner. 7 here is also a special clause in the articies of copartnership and ayreeme it, respecting the powers of wintering partners, which are confined to the trade of their own departme:t or post, with I:dians, and all acts by them, of a general nati:re, are prohibi ed and made competent only to the acknowledged agents, who alone can make purchases or sales for the concern at large. His Lordship kncw all this, f,r by the previous wholesale robbery at F, it William, he became possessed of all the books and papers of tie Company, and annongst them one of the origial copies of tie said rrticles, which he had most disanestly and dis.ionorably pryed into, and read: But were it otherwise, what man of cummon sense or henesty, would maintain the monstrous propesi ion, tian a personholding a small interest with limited fow. rs (cr propealy speaking, no powers, he häng icired) in a: extersive concern, where the copartners are n:merous, could, by his separate act, transfur nut only a porion uit the general property, fifty frad be-
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yond his own share in it, but expressly intended to destroy such general concern, and its interests, in order to transfer the same to a rival, who had on the very face of the papers, bribed the vendor into the conventions.

A collusion of two parties to deeds to defraud otner persons, who so far from being consulted, had a direct interest in opposition to the bare-taced fraudattempted to be imposed upon them, was never more clearly manifest ; or more devoid of every attribute to constitute validity, than in the present case.

It is to me astonishing, how any man of sane mind, could think to impose upon cthe sa belief, that such 2 wholesale swindle cuuld be sustained, or have a particle of efficacy in coverıng or lesssnirg the turpitude of the means, by which Lord Selkirk possessed himself of Fort William, and the property so attempted to be conveyed to him.

What would be thought in England of his Lordship. had he purchased there from a small proprietor or share holder in the Phoenix fire Office, or in any other unchartered company in that country, net only his own interest therein, bit the whole property of the concern, to be trars erred to him as a rival, in direct epposition to the constitution, of such concern, and to detraud the other proprietors? Would he dare to support the legality of such a purchase?

In numerous associations, none but directors, managers,
tagers, or agents, (it is immaterial what appell tion they adopt! can do the $b$ :siness of such associations ; and the Agents of the North West Company were, fromits original formation, publickly known and $r$ ecognized, as the only executive representatives of that concern.

His Lordship's turpitude dnes not even rest here. He sent Miles McDonell to request Daniel to write letters to one Roderick McKenzie, and to the partners and clerks of the North West Company in the interior, to advise them to carry the packs, which they may trade this winter, to Huds^n's Bay next spring, (from whence thev might find a passage to England) in order to indemnity themselves, as the North West Company, (says he) is totally ruined. Enquiring afterwards if such letters had been writren, and finding not, his Lordship desired that their names might be given to Miles McDonell, who could write in Daniel's name. Daniel rec mmended to the Clerks, and even to wintering partners of that Company, by his Lordship's desire, to follow his orders, as the only way of ingratiating themselves with him, to save them from ruin.

Nay, to such a pitch of shameless and unprincipled audacity lias his Lordship gone in these fraudulent proceedings, that Daniel was made to write to Cuthbert Grant, a half-breed at the Red River, (one of those, for the arrest of whom warrants signed by his Lordship had last spring been sent to Mr Semple, with directions to be exccuted only in case that they
would not come over to his Lordstip's views) against whom Lord Seli:irk was most indignant and vindic. tive, as having been with the escort or band who resisted the unprovoked attack made upon them last June, by Mr Semple and his people, when he, atd many of them unhappily fell victims to their temerity and aggression.

His Lordship made Dariel leave an opening to this Cuthbert Grant for pardon and cblivien of all, if he would turn now and support his interests; adding by way ef bait or calvo, that id Lerdship was induced to this, from Grant having exerted himself, although inefictually, to save Mr Semple's life.

Thus, it is seen, as I have on a former occasics observed, that his Lordship's polar star is self-interest, to which all public considerations, and the rights of cthers, are invuriably sacrificed by him. No man, who had not become callous, could possibly have used magisterial authority for the systematic purpose of destrcying rivals, in order to engross their trade by himself and copartners. He probably presumes upors impunity, by reasen of tis rank and isfluence, but sadily indeed must Britons be changed, if, when the truth, and the ab ve mentioned swindle are known by them, that rank be not considered an aggravation of his crimes.

Sirce the capture of Fort William, he sent a party to take the North West Post at Lake La Pluie, occapied by a clerk and a few men. The commander
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of the expedition, Captain D'Orsennons, began as $u$ :ual, by robbing the post of its powder and ammunition, placed there for Indian Trade, pretendiug it was done as a procatition to prevent injury to His Majesty's subjects; and then compclled the clerk to diliver over to him, for his Lotiship, all the grods and provisions, \&ec. un'ser an inventery, assuring him uron his, D'Orcenxon's Honar, (dimbtless a very sub. stantial security) that legal authority to take the property, and dispossess the clerk, was to follow. Here again the Feddard Code is his rule. First do the act, and find an authonity for so doing at leisure: the clerk and people were laid hold cf, and sent away to Fort William, bet the iegal authority is to be found only in the will of this self-styled Sovereign Lord yf Assiniboia.

He and tis people are living upon the provisions and means found by him at Fort William, belorgi:g to the North West Company; which, if he possessed the common feelings of sur nature, I should think would occasionally stick in his throat, as the fruits of dishonesty.

To add to his cther faats, he has resisted a legal warrant served upon him and his efficers in she form, which wazrant was granted by a Iostice of Peace f r she Western District of Upper C.ad?, up $n$ affida. vits regularly erade befure him, hi: wirg a magistrite, under the like app intment, and with the sam authority, by which his 6oriship has izered his rsants at Fort William. This Magisirate bas this
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most essential superinity over his Lerdship, that he had no interest whatever dependant upe $n$ the issue of the warrant so gra: ted; whereas all his Lordship's warrants were issued in his own cause, and for his own benefit.

The above statements are substantially and literal. ly correct, and supporteú upon testimony, which no artifice or quibble can overthrow. The only thing that can be said for Daniel McKenzie, in extenuation of his conduct, in so shameful a dereliction of moral and conventional duty, is; that the first opportunity ne had as a freeman, after getting out of his Lordship's clutches, where he apprehended his life to be in danger, was to go voluntarily to the nearest magistrate, viz. at Drummond's Island, and before him to make oath to the circumstances under which he acted, and formally to protest against his own illegal pare in the fraudulent transacticns above narrated.

How base must be the tempter, when the tempted thus voluntarily abjures and recants his participation in so foul a business?

The last accounts left his Lordship and band occupied in meling a road from Fort William towards the American frontier, probably in contemplation of endeavoring to remove in the spring the extensive plunt der he tias acquired.

## ERRATA.

Yage 10-9d line from the top of the Page, for ourts of Law, read Courts of Law.

Page 12-7th line from the bottom of the Pige, for were, read teas. Botom of the Page, for session, read cession.
Page 27-ilinesfrom the bottom of the Page, for Contests, read Contest.

Page 28-3d line from the top of the paye, for proprietory read proprictary.

Page 28-i9 lines fron the top of the page, for he seised, read to seize.

Pege 47-7. line from the ton of tio Pare, fir as also of his never taking, rtad as also of his never having taken.

Page 53-9th line from the top of the Page, for depository, read deposilary.

Page 81-5thline from the top of the Page, for ought, read aught.
Page 83-9th live from the botom of the Page, for there read their.
Page 88-7th line from the bottom of the Page, for about same time, read about the same time.

2 d line from the bottom of the Page, for about same time, read aSout the same time.

Page 72-Top of tbe Page, for Employ of that Company, read Employ of the North West Company.

Page 94-4th line from the bottom of the Page, for practises, reat practices.
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[^1]:    - The character of Judge Jefireys is too well knewn ta entitie his decisions to any weight.

[^2]:    + F'se word Countries by acrilent ! transoorition in the \{darten, ?as leane notsra e, and ought to ave beea inseripd between the prords " lands" "unl" which would correspond with the petition for fincmarter, as therein reciter.".

[^3]:    - Can there be a stronger proof of that company having had Traders in the interior, an age befos the Hudson's Bay Conse ventared out of sight of the Bay !

