R E P L Y

A

TO THE

OBSERVATIONS

OF

Lieut. Gen. Sir WILLIAM HOWE,

ON A PAMPHLET, ENTITLED

LETTERS TO A NOBLEMAN.

[Price 3 s.]

R E P L Y

TO THE

OBSERVATIONS

OF

Lieut. Gen. Sir WILLIAM HOWE,

ON A PAMPHLET, ENTITLED

LETTERS TO A NOBLEMAN;

INWHICH

His MISREPRESENTATIONS are detected, and thole LETTERS are fupported, by a Variety of New Matter and Argument.

TO WHICH IS ADDED,

AN APPENDIX,

CONTAINING,

- **1.** A Letter to Sir WILLIAM HOWE upon his Strictures on Mr. GALLOWAY's private Character.
- II. A Letter from Mr. KIRK to Sir WILLIAM Howe, and his Aniwer.
- III. A Letter from a Committee to the Prefident of the Congress, on the State of the Rebel Army at Valley Forge, found among the Papers of HENRY LAURENS, Efq.

By the Author of LETTERS TO A NOBLEMAN.

Audi alteram partem.

LONDON:

Printed for G. WILKIE, No. 71, St. Paul's Church-yard. M DCC LXXX.

R E P L Y

A

то

Sir William Howe's Observations, &c.

W HEN a man, confcious of his own mifconduct, or at leaft lying under the charge of having betrayed a public truft, a truft of as great importance as was ever committed to any fubject, finds himfelf under the neceffity of mifreprefenting notorious facts, and even of defcending to perfonal detraction, for his own vindication, he is truly to be pitied. This appears to be the cafe of the late Commander in Chief of his Majefty's Forces in America. If the Author of the "Letters to a Nobleman" has contributed to the diffrefs of the General, he has done it with reluctance, from a regard to truth and juffice, and a fenfe of duty to B the public, and not from any impulse of private refentment; for he frankly declares, he never had any cause of personal enmity to Sir William Howe, who neither had personally injured nor offended him; and therefore, that the motives which led him to publish his strictures on the conduct of the American war, could not arise from such a principle. He confidered him only in his public capacity, and, imagining that he could throw new light on a subject which appeared to many to be dark and problematical, and in which the public welfare was intimately concerned, he proceeded to animadvert upon his conduct as Commander in Chief.

Nor was the examination of the management of the American war needlefsly undertaken. The unparalleled failures in that war, the uncommon magnitude of the evils in which they had involved the nation, with the reluctance of Government to make judicial enquiry into the caufes of them, loudly called for it.

We had feen the General, in the Middle Colonies, commanding a force always, commonly four times, and at certain periods eight times, greater than that of his enemy; a force fo powerful, fo adequate to the purpoles for which it was intended, that he could not help expressing his " utter " amazement" on the occasion, and paying to the Secretary of State of the American department the greatest, though not more than a just encomium, for for his " uncommon exertions." To this was added a naval force of eighty veffels of war, uncer the command of his Noble Brother, to co-operate with him in fubduing the most unnatural and unjustifiable rebellion *that ever happened in any country*.

We had feen him, between the 3d of Septem. ber and the 8th of December, driving that enemy before him from Long Island, over the North River, and the Delaware, killing, captivating, and reducing his army from 18,000 to 3000 men, and taking from him the whole province of New Jerfey.

But fuch was the reverfe of conduct (it could not be of fortune; for fortune, however variable and frolic, has never yet been found to commit fuch blunders), fuch was the dereliction of military virtue, that he fuffered that reduced, panicftruck enemy to furprife his advanced poft, and drive him out of Weft Jerfey, and to reconquer all Eaft Jerfey, except his three pofts on the Rariton; and, eftablifhed at Morris Town, in the neighbourhood of his head-quarters, to beliege, harafs, and diftrefs the whole Britifh army, from December to June, without making one attempt to diflodge kim.

We had feen him, having under his command at New-York 30,000 men, marching out against this enemy, who, by his own exaggerated account, had no more than 10,000, new-raifed and undif-

B 2

ciplined;

ciplined; and, a few days after, fhamefully retreating before him from Hillfborough to Amboy, without taking any one proper ftep to bring him to an engagement.

We had feen him, after this difgraceful retreat, embarking his army on fhip board, at an immenfe expence to the nation; and, forewarned of the difficulties and dangers he must neceffarily encounter on the ocean, proceeding, against contrary winds, 700 miles, to meet the fame enemy posted on stronger ground, and enabling him, by this waste of time, to procure *near double bis former numbers*.

We had feen him, contrary to the most urgent motives, to the plainest dictates of military science, and the explicit orders of his Sovereign, lead his force 600 miles from the place where he was directed to join General Burgoyne, and at the very time when that junction was to have been made; and by this absurd conduct facrifice a whole British army.

We had feen him at Brandywine, by the moft judicious and fpirited manœuvres, perfectly furround and hem in, between the two columns of his own force and impaffable waters, the whole rebel army, vigoroufly attack, and fuddenly defeat it; and yet, with an indolence not to be juftified, he fuffered the defeated remains to lie a whole night at Chefter, within eight miles of his camp, and on the next morning to efcape unmolefted.

We

We had feen him at Gofhen a few days after, when his enemy, with his reduced force, had incautioufly and foolifhly advanced near the van of his army, after one of his columns had actually "engaged with the rebel advanced guard "," intimidated from his intended attack by a fall of rain, although that circumftance was much more favourable to his own regular troops than to the undifciplined troops of his enemy; and although that enemy had a confiderable river in its rear.

We had feen him at Germantown fuffer himfelf to be furprifed, his advanced pofts defeated and driven back upon the main body of his army, and that army in imminent danger of a total rout by an inferior andi/ciplined enemy.

We had feen him, when the rebel force lay at White Marth, and when he actually had the beft information of its polition and firength, march out of his lines under a pretence of intending to attack it; and yet, after lying three days within two miles of it, return, without attempting to bring it to action, either by affault, or turning its right flank or rear; in either of which cafes he muft have cut his enemy off from his magazines and fupplies, and placed him in a fituation from whence be could not have escaped without ruin.

We had also seen the General, after he had proved his force in every action superior to that of

* See Sir William Howe's Letter.

his

his enemy, fuffer his whole army to be befieged in Philadelphia, from the month of November to the month of June, by a feeble, fickly, naked, and halfftarved army, of less than 4000 effective men*.

But further.—We had feen the fame General, with a vanity and prefumption unparalleled in hiftory, after this indolence, after all thefe wretched blunders, accept, from a few of his officers, a triumph more magnificent than would have become the conqueror of America, without the confent of his Sovereign, or approbation of his country; and that at the time when the news of a war with France had juft arrived, and in the very city, the capital of North America, the late feat of the Congrefs, which was in a few days to be delivered up to that Congrefs.

Such was the difgraceful conduct, fuch the prefumption of the General, and fuch were the miffortunes which he had brought on his country ! And yet, had he contented himfelf with the honours he had received from this mockery of a triumph, he might have pleafed himfelf with dreaming of his triumphal arches, decorated with the mottos of victory and the emblems of glory, and with his Quixotic tilts and tournaments, and the " Letters to a Nobleman" would never have appeared. But when the Author faw the General

* See a Letter in the Appendix, from a Committee to the Prefident of the Congress.

and

Į

and his Noble Brother, fupported by a dangerous faction, purfuing measures which he conceived tended to involve his country in difgrace and ruin; when he faw them, in order to conceal their own misconduct, attempting to perfuade the great reprefentative body of the nation, that America was " the ftrongest country in the world "," and impracticable in war; that the people were univerfally difloyal; that the immenfe naval and military force committed to their command was incompetent to the reduction of the rebellion-in order to prevail on the nation to give up the greateft part of its dominions; the duties of a citizen, a difinterested regard for the welfare of his country, and an honeft indignation at fo flagitious an attempt, called on him to lay before his fellowfubjects a true state of the matters thus attempted to be misrepresented. Such were his motives, divefted of every other confideration; and he declares, that the Letters were wrote without the folicitation or knowledge of any perfon whatever in the administration of Government.

Had the General, by a true state of facts, and by candid argument, free from personal abuse, convinced me that I was wrong; ever happy to acquit injured innocence, there is no concession, no act of justice, which my honour would not induce me to perform; but as the reverse is the

* See General Grey's Evidence in the Narrative, F. 107.

cafe,

cafe, the fame motives which influenced me to write the Letters, oblige me to vindicate the truths they contain.

In my first letter, " On the Strength and Practicability of the Middle Colonies in respect to military Operations," in order to refute what the General had attempted to prove, that this part of America was the "strongest of all countries in the "world," I have given a true and candid defcription of that country, supported by the evidence of General Robertson, who had resided in it many years, and which can be supported by many gentlemen, now in England, who have lived in it; and I had further made a comparison between it and the scene of action in the last American war, schewing that the latter was infinitely more difficult than the former. To evade the force of these truths, the General observes,

Narrative, p. 37.] " That the two last wars, " with respect to the state of the country of America, " are in no degree similar. In the last war, the dif-" ficulties arising from the strength of the country, " were, for the most part, removed by the friendly " disposition of the inhabitants, who all exerted them-" felves to facilitate the operations of the King's " army, and to supply them with every necessary and " accommodation."

What these " neceffaries and accommodations" were, which were thus furnished in the last war, and which the General could not procure, is not not mentioned. That the inhabitants furnished General Braddock in his expedition to the Ohio. Colonel Bouquet in his expedition to Mufkingum; far beyond the Ohio, and Sir Jeffery Amherft in his expedition to Montreal, with carriages and provisions, is true-and with nothing elfe-They wanted nothing elfe. But Sir William Howe did not even want all thefe .--- He transported carriages with him from England, and whatever more he wanted were procured on Long Ifland and Staten Island. Large fleets of provisions were constantly fent to him; and in every part of the country; where his army marched, he procured a fupply without difficulty. At Bordentown, Captain Gamble was forming a large magazine of provisions voluntarily, and with every apparent mark of zeal for the fervice, brought in by the inhabitants when Trenton was taken; and the whole army was fupplied; during two months, in its march from the Elk to Philadelphia, with more provisions than it could confume: and there was nothing which the country produced, either of necessaries or delicacies, during the nine months it remained in Philadelphia; with which it was not furnished by the inhabitants.

What then were the advantages which the Generals in the laft war poffeffed, that were not to be commanded in the prefent? There were none. But they had difadvantages and difficulties, infinitely greater to encounter, which their gallantry

eafily

eafily overcame. The General's operations were carried on in the Middle Colonies, where every neceffary was eafily obtained; but the expedition of Sir Jeffery Amherst led him to pass from Albany, by Lake Ontario, to Montreal, near 300 miles, carrying his provisions either through a wilderness or an enemy's country, over lakes, mountains, and fwamps; and the operations of General Forbes and Colonel Bouquet led them through a wildernefs inhabited only by Indians, where no fingle article of provisions of any kind was to be procured; the first to Fort du Quesne, and the other far beyond it, down to Mufkingum; and yet we have found that these gallant men; in whose hearts the honour of their Sovereign and the fervice of their country were deeply impressed, were not obstructed or intimidated in the path to glory and fuccefs by thefe difficulties.

To refute my affertion, that " the ftrength and " impracticability of the Middle Colonies is loft in " idea, when we compare them with the fcene of " action in the laft war," the General adduces the teftimony of Major-general Grey, who fays,

Page 38.] "That part of America where I have "been, is the ftrongest country I have ever been in; it "is every where HILLY and COVERED WITH WOOD, "intersected by ravines, and creeks, and marshy "grounds; and in EVERY QUARTER OF A MILE is "a post fitted for AMBUSCADE." And in his answer to another question, he adds, "That America is, of "all " all countries, the beft calculated for the defen-" five; every hundred yards might be difputed; at " leaft that part of it which I have feen."

This is a formidable defcription of the Middle Colonies, and well calculated to furnish the reader with apologies for the want of fuccefs in the American war : but it is truly vifionary. What countries the Major-general alludes to, I know not; and yet to prove that he is miftaken in his facts, will be no arduous task. He has seen the plains on Long Island, of thirty miles in length, and from feven to twelve in breadth, which are without wood, or a fingle obstruction that can give one enemy the advantage over ano-He has also feen the country between ther. New York and Trenton, and between the head of Elk and Philadelphia, in which there is not a hill but what may be either afcended without difficulty, or avoided by an army in its march. And when thefe hills are compared with those of this country, they are by no means fo high, fo fteep, or fo difficult of accefs; but when we compare them with the country from Albany to Montreal, and with Conigocheague Ridge, Sideling Hill, Ray's Hill, the Allegheny and Laurel ridge of mountains, which may be justly styled the American Alps, they are little more than mole-hills; and yet these mountains, though full of ravines and dangerous defiles, and although covered with C 2 wood,

wood, and poffeffed by an enemy whole talent in war is *ambuscade*, did not intimidate the bravery, nor obstruct the march, of an Amherst, a Forbes, or a Bouquet; they faw them with contempt, and passed them in despite of their opposing enemy.

If the country which the General has feen is every where covered with wood, where do those immenfe quantities of wheat, rye, barley, Indian corn, oats, and buck-wheat, which furnish the inhabitants with food, and are exported to Europe, find room to grow? Is it poffible that a country, fettled one hundred years, and having fo many hundred thousands of industrious inhabitants in it, can in any degree bear this defcription, and remain to this day a wilderness? I imagine not. The real truth is, that the provinces of New Jerfey and Pennfylvania, where the late operations were carried on, are fettled, and full of plantations, and at least two-thirds, and in many places five-fixth parts of it cleared of wood; and the wood confifts of large trees, ftanding at confiderable diftances, free from underwood, and eafily fcoured with cannon. As to the "ravines," they must be in proportion to the hills which I have defcribed. The " creeks," or rivulets, are all fordable, or may be paffed by marching a few miles round; and there are no "marfhes" or fenny grounds within the country. This ground, when cleared, is meadow, and of fix times the value of upland, upland, and therefore the first improved. These are all facts; well known to the people of that country, and which can be proved by many gentlemen now in London. How then can it be poffible that this country can be, what the General has attempted to prove in the House of Commons, " the strongest country in the world?"

" I fhall now proceed, fays the General, with my remarks, page by page." As I have no particular objection to this method, I will do myfelf the honour of frictly attending him.

In page 3, of the Letters, I have afferted, "That "in this country we have lately feen two armies, "one meditating its conqueft, the other its defence. We have feen the British army penetrating into its heart, a circuit of near two hundred miles, from Long Island, by the White *Plains*, to Trenton, and from the Elk Ferry to Philadelphia, in defiance of the utmost efforts of an enemy perfectly acquainted with every advantageous spot of ground; and we have seen that army taking, with ease and little loss, every ftrong post posses."

Page 39.] "This defcription," fays the General, "is introduced to prove that the country is not "VERY STRONG NOR IMPRACTICABLE; but it only "proves, that the Generals and officers, commanding "the feveral corps, were indefatigable in their duty, 2 " and

(14)

se and furmounted all the difficulties which they mee se with in those marches."

I have never enquired, nor am I now enquiring into the conduct of the officers of the army; nor have I ever had any reafon to do fo. Whenever led on to action, their conduct has fhewn that they were actuated by honour, and a love of their country; and I therefore acknowledge, that they were indefafigable (that is, not fatigued) in their duty, whenever called to it; becaufe I am confident that many, if not all, would have furmounted difficulties tenfold as great as any of those to which they were led, and not think it a *fatigue*. In the whole tenor of my Letters, I have only cenfured the indolence and misconduct of their Leader; my cenfures could not, in juffice, extend further.

Ibid.] "The Commander in Chief, however, " will be supposed to have had some share in the " merit of these successes."

He certainly had; I will not only fuppofe it, but frankly confess it. Whenever the General found himfelf either difpofed, or *under a neceffity* of meditating a blow against the enemy, he never failed of fuccels. At Long Island and the White Plains, in the progress of the army to the Delaware, and at the Brandywine, he fucceeded as far as he chose: had he prefied the advantages his truly judicious manœuvres gave him, he might have ended the rebellion. I have not cenfured the the General for want of abilities; this is a failing for which he ought not to be cenfured; the blame in that cafe would juftly fall on his employers. My ftrictures are confined to his non-exertion of those military abilities which were demonstrated in his manœuvres on Long Island and the Brandywine, and that undaunted courage which was fo apparent in the action at Bunker's Hill.

Ibid.] " But it is not true that the enemy always " fled at our approach, nor that we took all their " ftrong posts with ease and little loss."

Here the General takes advantage of the words " always fled," and, to ferve the purpose of contradicting me, applies them to all the conduct of the rebels in the general actions. A fmall share of candour, or a little attention to the paragraph he cites to make out this contradiction, would have taught him, that I did not allude to the battles of Long Ifland, Fort Washington, or Brandywine. I had in the fame paragraph declared, " that the " British army had penetrated from Long Island, " by the White Plains, to Trenton, in defiance of " the utmost efforts of the enemy," including the very inftances he mentions; and therefore he might have perceived, that the words " always fled" could only refer to those formidable unforlified " posts," which are to be found " in every quarter of a mile," and even in " every one hundred yards," in that country, the best of all other countries " calcu-" lated

^{ct} lated for the defensive." And here my expreifion will be found flrictly true; for it is known that the rebels fled at the approach of the Royal army, at Newark, Brunfwick, Princeton, twice at Trenton, near Newport in Newcaftle county, and at Gofhen in Pennfylvania*; at feveral of which places, had they been purfued by 5000 Britifh, their army muft have been utterly ruined.

Ibid.] "Much might be faid upon the ftate "of loyalty in America. SOME are loyal from "principle, MANY from intereft, MANY from refent-"ment; and there are OTHERS who wish fuccess to "Great Britain, from a recollection of the happiness "they enjoyed under her government."

While I express my furprife at, I cannot help thanking the General for, this candid confession respecting the loyalty of the people of America. It amounts to a full acknowledgment of all I have contended for in the Letters respecting it. The force of the facts I have alledged, has, I truft, extorted it from him—but, I apprehend, unwarily; otherwise he would not have taken up near four pages to disprove the facts adduced in support of a truth he fo fully confess. The task of reconciling this confession to his attempt, in the House of Commons, to prove that the "Americans were " almost universally disloyal," I cheerfully leave to those who will undertake it.

* See the General's Letters.

I had

I had faid in the Letters, that fome, who were high in office in America, in order to juftify the neglet and inhuman treatment which his Majefty's faithful fubjetts had received, and to throw a veil over that mifconduct which had wafted, unneceffarily, many millions for the nation, facrificed its true intereft, and loft its honour, were the inventors of the report of the univerfal difloyalty of the Americans. To this the General anfwers,

Page 39.] " I am at a loss to know what spe-" cies of neglect and inhumanity is here meant; I " am contented that strictures should be made upon my " professional conduct, but I feel myself hurt as a man " when I am accused of inhumanity."

Ever pleafed to do the General justice, I will relieve his feelings as a man; and I with I could alfo relieve those which he must experience as an There is nothing in my Letters which officer. charges him with doing perfonally a fingle act of inhumanity. My ftrictures were confined to his " profeffional conduct;" and the fubfequent part of my Letters explains what I mean by the inhuman treatment which his Majefty's faithful fubjects had received; and, as he has mifunderftood me, I will again explain it. The inhuman treatment alluded to, was the indifcriminate plunder fuffered to be committed, by the foldiery under his command, on Staten Island, Long Island, the White Plains, and in the province of New Jerfey, where friend and foe, loyalift and rebel, met with

 \mathbf{D}^{*}

the

the fame fate; a feries of continued plunder, which was a difgrace to an army pretending to difcipline, and which, while it tended to relax the difcipline of the troops, could not fail to create the greateft averfion, even in the breaft of loyalty itfelf, to a fervice which, under the fair pretence of giving them protection, robbed them, in many inftances, of even the neceffaries of life.

In vain, I imagine, will the General plead, before the candour and humanity of the public, his orders and proclamations forbidding plunder. Laws, without execution, are but a dead letter; and his orders and proclamations, fo often repeated, without punishing the atrocious offenders, were confidered as blank paper; and the plundering continued as much after as before they were iffued, until he paffed into Pennfylvania, where, having made an example or two of the delinquents, the plunder in a great measure ceased. Had this mischief been nipped in the bud by a few examples, which might have been eafily done in an army fo perfectly fubmiffive to difcipline in every other respect as the British was, the relaxation in discipline which lost Trenton, the impreffions made on the minds of the Loyalifts, to the prejudice of the King's fervice, and the difgrace that was brought on the honour, justice, and humanity of Britain, could not have taken place.

To vindicate himfelf against this visionary charge of perfonal inhumanity, he tells us, page 40, of 6 his his great humanity and benevolence to the people of Bofton, and that " it is upon record (Proclama-" tion 28th October, 1775), that their fervices were " courted, by recommending a defensive affociation; " and that arms were offered to all who would declare " themselves willing to contribute their affistance in " the prefervation of good order and government " within the town of Boston."

What his behaviour was to the people of Bofton, they can best inform the public; the task I have affumed, is, only to enquire into his conduct in the Middle Colonies. Why, then, not tell us of his wife and prudent conduct there? Was it because he is conficious that it was not fo wife and prudent in the Middle Colonies as at Bofton ? If this fense of the matter did not prevail with him, it was impolitic to mention this proclamation; because it only informs us, that he knew what ought to be done, and did it not. To draw affistance to the British force, wherever he operated, was most certainly his duty. If the Loyalifts in Bofton were to be trufted, when affociated, with arms, men of the fame principles were to be equally trufted in the provinces of New York, New Jerfey, and Pennfylvania, where they were more numerous. Why then was there not a like proclamation iffued in any of these provinces? Had this been done, the falutary effects are obvious, from an indubitable fact. Since his refignation, upwards of 5000 Loyalifts are embodied in D 2 arms

arms for the defence of New York. Had this been done, it would have enabled Sir H. Clinton to have led, inftead of between two and three, at leaft 8000 men, in a diversion in favour of the Northern army, and faved it; for Sir Henry did not leave more regulars, than the number of Loyalifts, thus embodied, for the defence of New York, when he passed up the North river, and found himfelf too weak to proceed. Had this been done, he might, if he did not choose to support the Northern army, have taken at least 5000 men more with him to Pennfylvania; a number equal to Washington's whole force, the greater part of the time the two armies were in that province.

And had the like proclamation been iffued in New Jerfey, when the General was at Trenton, and had driven Walhington's enfeebled army, of 3000 men, and all the officers of the rebel State, out of the province; and when the General himfelf incautioufly confeffes, " that his fucceffes had " intimidated the leaders of the rebellion, and " nearly induced a general fubmifion *," all thofe men in New Jerfey, who were loyal " from prin-" ciple, from interest, from refentment, and from " a recollection of the happiness they enjoyed " under the British government," would have affociated in arms, and formed a folid barrier of

* Narrative, p. 40.

defence

defence against every attempt of the rebels, except that of Washington's army.

And had the General iffued the like proclamation, when in Philadelphia, he would have obtained a militia of 3500 men. Had he invited the people in the peninfula between the Delaware and Chefapeak, to affociate, and affift him in arms; and had he, as he was requefted, landed a few men to support them, and to furnish them with arms and ammunition; the 2000 Loyalifts, who had affociated, in lefs than three days, in three of the thirteen counties only, would have been immediately in arms on the part of Great-Britain; and no man, who is acquainted with the general loyalty of the people of that country, can doubt, but that their numbers would have increased, in a month, to 6000, if not 8000 men. Nothing could have been wanting to have carried this meafure into complete effect, and to have reduced this peninfula to the peace of the Crown, but a small post at Wilmington, to cover that country; becaufe, while that post remained, Washington could not, with any degree of prudence, truft his army, or any part of it, in that peninfula. This would have been a fnare into which the British General ought to have led him, and out of which he could not have extricated himfelf : he would in that cafe have been between the affociated Loyalifts and the poft at Wilmington, where the British army might have been transported by water in one, or have marched

marched by land in two days, from Philadelphia. All these truths must, upon a flight view of the chart of that country, strike the military eye with instantaneous conviction; and yet that invitation and encouragement which he boasts of having published at Boston, was in this country shamefully neglected.

The General acknowledges, that he found in Philadelphia 4482 * male inhabitants capable of bearing arms. Of this number there were not fifty who had taken any part against the British government; the reft, being about one eleventh part of the inhabitants, had fled; less than 1000 were Quakers: fo that, had the General purfued the fame wife measure in Philadelphia which he did at Boston, and which General Knyphausen afterwards purfued at New York; had he fuffered the people to have chosen their own officers, and embodied themfelves for the defence of the city; a militia of 3500 men, befides the numerous refugees who attended him, would have formed an armed force, which, with a few veffels of war, and 1000 regular troops, would have been a sufficient defence for that 'city against any force of the enemy, except Walhington's army. This would have enabled him to have marched out with his whole force against Washington, while he remained from December to the middle

* Narrative, p. 54.

of

of June with his reduced, fickly, and half-ftarved army at the Valley Forge, where that army might have been attacked in their weak intrenchments, or furrounded and befieged, and reduced by famine in one week at fartheft, and an end have been put to the rebellion *.

Page 41 is employed in entertaining the reader with the profuse liberality of the General towards Mr. Galloway, and with some oblique general charges, sliriking at his popularity and integrity.

What either that Gentleman's popularity or integrity has to do with Sir William Howe's military conduct, the reader will be puzzled to difcern. I fhall not therefore, *in this Reply*, undertake **a** vindication of Mr. Galloway's perfonal character. But, at the fame time, I cannot help obferving, that general charges against either a public or private character are little better than affassinations in the dark, against which the most perfect innocence has no chance of guarding itself. The charges against the General in my Letters are fo particular, specific, and defined, that he might, if he could, vindicate himself against them. But his honour, it feems, has not led him to deal thus honourably by Mr. Galloway.

In order to prove that many of the Pennfylvanians were attached to the British government,

* See a Letter from a Committee to the Prefident of Congrefs, in the Appendix.

I have

I have afferted, that during our poffeffion of Philadelphia, the people of the country, at the rifque of their lives, had fupplied the British army, navy, and inhabitants, amounting to not lefs than 50,000 perfons, with all kinds of provisions, while they refused these supplies to the rebel General. These facts Sir William Howe does not venture to deny. I thought, and ftill think, they fully fupport the matter I wished to prove. In fo great a conteft, in the event of which the feelings, the interest, and happiness of mankind were so deeply involved, and their paffions fo violently engaged, neutrality of fentiment or attachment is unprecedented-is impossible. Men's opinions and wishes will become fixed in favour of one fide or the other ; and I concluded, how reafonably the Reader will determine, that those men who voluntarily fupplied Washington's army against their Sovereign, were rebels; and that those who, every mile they paffed, rifqued their lives, and yet at that rifque fupplied the King's troops with provisions. from five to an hundred miles diftance, were friends and faithful fubjects. But it feems the General is of a different opinion.---Hear his arguments.

Page 42.] " That the people of the country, " brought in fresh provisions to us, and refused such " supplies, as much as they DARED, to the rebel " General, is certain. But ' lo not admit, that " this indust proceeded from the motives ascribed " by " by the Author. The people of the country had no opinion of the value of Congress money. They hnew they should receive mostly hard money in payment; and they had an opportunity of carrying back with them a variety of necessary articles..... These, I apprehend, were the real motives of all that kind of assignance which we procured from the country people."

What a horrid idea of human nature must the General have entertained when he drew thefe conclufions! Would not a little charity have convinced him, that men who were loyal " from principle-" from refentment, - from a recollection of for-" mer happinefs," and whofe actions ftrictly corresponded, were actuated by motives more honourable and more virtuous, than that of acquiring a little hard money and a few neceffaries? Can it be poffible that he could believe, that the honour of " principle," the powerful impulses of just refentment for injuries fultained, and a lively fense of former "happines," of which they had been wantonly deprived, were all effaced by fuch paltry and transitory confiderations? Did he pass this fevere, this cruel judgment on the faithful fubjects of his Sovereign, and the tried friends of his country, by his own feelings-the teft of his own actions? Were the Loyalist, whom the General, after all the affiftance they had given to him, has thus ungratefully traduced, to retaliate, with how much more reason might they

E

íay,

fay, That he had facrificed his military fame, his duty to his Sovereign and his fellow-fubjects, and the interest and fafety of his country, to the dirty purposes of a faction, whose whole conduct is founded on private interest and ambition!

Page 43.] " The Author fays, they did this AT " THE RISQUE OF THEIR LIVES. There was in " fast THAT APPEARANCE; but I always sus. " PECTED that General Washington, through policy, " connived at this kind of commerce."

The argument here refts upon the feeble fupport of the General's "fufpicion," while he acknowledges that " appearance" was against that fuspicion. If appearance was against it, upon what was his fuspicion founded? It could not be upon facts; for in that cafe his opinion could not reft in fuspicion. In truth, the facts were all against it : For what could induce Washington to keep different pofts furrounding the British lines, and constant patroles, frequently coming within fight of them, but to prevent a fupply of provifions? These patroles put to death, without hefitation, feveral perfons, for no other offence than that of fupplying the British troops. Some were tried by a court-martial, and received two hundred lashes; and others were branded by a hot iron in the hand, with G H, and fent into the British lines, as a mark of contempt of the British General. And yet all this is not fufficient to remove his " fuspicions" of the difloyalty of these faithful

faithful people, nor to induce him to believe that his enemy, who was belieging him, intended to deprive him of the means of fublifting his troops.

Ibid.] " The General is at a loss to understand " what I mean by many thousands of Loyalists con-" cealing themselves in distant provinces, and taking " refuge among the saveges; to avoid entering into the " war."

I did not mean, as he fuspects, "the infurgents " of Carolina;" and if I had, I fhould have thought that a General who had commanded his Majefty's army, might have found a word more defcriptive of a number of Loyalists who had taken up arms under the authority of his Majefty's Governor, to support his government, than the word insurgent. However, the men alluded to were those who, when draughted from the militia of the rebel States, rather than ferve in their army, fled the provinces from whence they were draughted, into others where they were not known. Many took refuge among the Indians, and have fince joined Colonel Butler and Captain Brant, and are now ferving against the rebels. This was a common practice so and it was partly owing to this practice that Washington's continental army has been fo fmall ever fince their defeat on Long Island, as not to amount, at any one time, to more than 10,000 men.

To

To my affertion, " That many thousands came " over to the British troops for protection," the General answers,

Ibid.] " I aver, that at no time did men in " numbers come over to the British troops."

Here he does not venture to deny the fact alledged, yet he manifeftly intends to miftead the reader. And to do this, he is obliged to add the words, "at no time," and "in numbers." Thus he artfully attempts to avoid a fact which cannot be denied. I did not affert, that thoufands came over "at one time," or "in numbers." The General fuffered Washington to superintend his lines at New York with so much circumspection, as not to suffer the Loyalists to come in in numbers; and when he went to Pennsylvania, the people were ordered, by his declaration, to "re-"main peaceably at their usual places of abode."

But had the fact been denied, it could be fupported by the number of refugees, perfecuted on account of their loyalty, who came from time to time into Philadelphia and New York, many of whom are at this time embodied in corps in his Majefty's fervice. And it appears from the teftimony of Lord Cornwallis (p. 68.), that, while the Britifh army was at Trenton and Bordentown, " three or four hundred of the inhabitants" came in every day for ten days (that is, while the troops ftaid there), and received certificates for their their protection; and he might have faid with truth, that before the taking of Trenton, not lefs than feven thoufand had, in the fpace of three weeks_only, received those certificates. But these certificates were of little use to the unhappy people;—all who were in or near the British lines were plundered, and the faith of the General, pledged to the people by his proclamation, was schamefully violated.

Upon my affertion. That "the foot and caval-"ry fent over to America, amounted to 52,815; "and of that number 40,874 were under the "command of Sir William Howe," the General obferves,

Page 45.] "The Author would here impress the "Reader with an opinion, that, AT THE TIME OF "MY ARRIVAL AT STATEN ISLAND, my army "amounted to 48,874, and the rebel army to 18,000, "militia included."

The conclusion here drawn from my words, I may venture to affert, never was made by any candid and fenfible reader. The words are indefinite as to the time or times when the troops were fent, and convey nothing more, than that all the troops fent over amounted to 52,815, of which troops General Howe had 40,874 under his command. But what could he do? He could not deny the fact; and it was too important an evidence of his indolence and mifconduct to be paffed over in filence; and therefore he refolves

5

to

to torture my general expressions to a particular meaning, in order to divert the reader from reflecting on the superiority of his force to that of the rebels. Indeed, I have often occasion to admire the like skill and ingenuity in the course of his observations: for where he cannot fassely attack in front, he feldom fails to make use of stratagem to get round his opponent. What pity it is ! What millions would have been faved to the nation, what heavy disasters to his country would he have prevented, had he discovered equal skill, or the like stratagem, in furrounding and attacking his inferior and undisciplined enemy in America !

The art here made use of will appear yet more barefaced, when the reader is reminded, that in the Appendix to my Letters, I state his numbers in August 1776, when he was at Staten Island; at not more than 24,000 men. But he contends, that I have exaggerated his numbers; for that when he landed from Staten Island on Long Island, he had only "20,121 rank and file, of which 1677 " were fick." To diminish his real force, he here gives us only the rank and file, omitting the numerous officers, from himfelf down to a drummer, which generally amount, in every corps, to near one fixth part of the whole. I am not a military man; my defign was to lay before my country his real force, that they might form a just judgment of his conduct. I have not therefore wrote in a military dialect; and if I had, few of my readers

readers would have underftood me; and could I believe that I had mifreprefented his real force in any one inftance, through the want of that knowledge, it would give me pain. But this I have not done. His own returns laid before the House of Commons, in the last year, will prove, that he had at Staten Island 24,464 effectives, rank and file, and fit for duty; and, in the whole, 26,980, officers not included, who, when added, will amount to 31,625.

Ibid.] He finds much fault with my eftimate of his real numbers; and adds, " If I were to " follow the Author's mode of computation, when he " ftates the number of men under my command, I " fhould fay, and from better authority, that Gene-" ral Washington had under his command in May " 1776, in the several provinces, an army of 80,000 " men;" and he refers to a return of the rebel ftrength in May 1776, printed at New York.

The General does not lay any firefs on this fham return. He knew its fallacy: It was a return of men raifed, and intended to be raifed, and which were never raifed, calculated to give him a formidable idea of the rebel force; and being ridiculous in itfelf, it is produced to render my effimate of his own force ridiculous and falfe. But to expose his evalion of what he does not venture to deny, I will give the reader his real numbers from his own returns. The first column shall contain the total effectives rank and file; the fecond, fecond, the total army, officers included; the third, that of the American army; that every unprejudiced and impartial reader may compare the British force with that of the rebels. For, after all our inquiries into the minute transfactions of any military command, the first question of a man of fense will be, What was the respective force and discipline of the two contending armies?

1776.	Total Effectives, Rank and File.	Total Army, Officers included.	Total Rebel Force.
Aug. 9.	24,247	29,308	18,000 *
Nov. 22.	26,980	31,755	4,000
Dec.	Quantu		3,300 +
1777. July 17.	30,049	35,047	8,000

Such was the state of the force under Sir William Howe's immediate command, exclusive of the garrison at Rhode Island, which added, amounts, in the whole, to 40,874; and such the numbers

• General Robertson says, in his Examination, the rebel force was only 16,000.

[†] See the General's Narrative, p. 8. Washington attacked Colonel Rhal with his whole force, except Cadwallader's brigade, which did not confist of 500 men; and those were prevented, by the ice, from crossing the Delaware, and attacking Bordentown, at which place Colonel Donop had left only 80 grenadiers. At this time the remains of Lee's corps had joined Washington, who before had not 2800 men.

of his inferior, and truly contemptible, enemy, notwithftanding, as the General confeffes, "eve-" ry compulfory means *" was made use of to increase them; and yet he suffered that enemy, lying in an unfortified post, within twenty miles of his quarters, to harass and distress his troops from January to July, without taking a single step to dislodge them.

The fame unjuftifiable indolence and mifconduct attended his proceedings while in Philadelphia. Here the General lay in his quarters all the winter and fpring, until the month of June; contenting himfelf with fending out occafional parties to cover the loyalifts, who were continually feeding the officers of his army with all the delicacies and luxuries which the country afforded. To fupport this charge, nothing more will be neceffary, than to lay before the public a few facts notorious in America, and to many gentlemen now in England.

Although the General, in page 60, afferts, that his whole force at Philadelphia was no more than 13,799, rank and file, it does appear, by his own returns, that he had, on the 3d of October, after the battle of Brandywine, 15,898 effectives, rank and file; total, rank and file, 17,752; and, when the officers are added, 20,680. With this force,

* See his Letter to Lord George Gérmain, February 12, 1777. See alfo his Letter, March 5, 1778.

a few

a few men loft in the battle of Germantown excepted, he went into winter-quarters in Philadelphia, where he fays it was " well accommo-" dated."

Washington, with about 9000 men, took up his quarters at the Valley Forge, within twenty miles of Philadelphia. Having no houfes for his troops, he was obliged to build uncomfortable huts with round logs, filled in with clay, and covered with loofe ftraw and dirt, in fuch a manner as not to fecure them from the weather. This fituation the General, in his letter of the 5th of March, defcribes in thefe words : " The rebel " army continues in the fame fituation as when I " had laft the honour of writing to your Lordship, " hutted at Valley Forge, where their men suffer " exceedingly from the very inclement weather, which " has induced numbers to defert." The campdiforder raged among his men, which obliged him to establish no lefs than eleven hospitals; and many died, many deferted to their feveral provinces, and near 3000 of them came over to the British army. From these circumstances, his army was reduced, before the month of March, to lefs than 4000 men; and by far the greater part of these were in a manner naked; many without shoes or stockings, and but few, except the Virginians, with the neceffary clothing *.

* Mr. Galloway's Examination, p. 27, 28, 29, 30.

His

His horfes were in a condition yet worfe; they were conftantly exposed to showers of rain, and falls of show, both day and night; many of them died; the reft were so emaciated as to be unfit for labour; and, in addition to this diffressful situation, Washington had not in his camp, at any one time, one week's provisions either for man or horse, and some times his men were totally defititute*.

Washington's camp was by no means difficult of access; far less fo than the posts occupied by him at the Brandywine; and in one part of the front the ascent was fearcely perceptible, and his rear was commanded by higher ground. His ditches were not three feet in depth; nor was there a drummer in the British army, who could not, with the utmost ease, leap over them; and his defences might have been battered down with fix-pounders.

This is not an exaggerated picture of the rebel army, nor of the weakness of its fituation. A brief account of it I have given in my Letters, page 87, which the General, in his Defence, has not denied; indeed, he has thought it prudent to take no notice of it, any more than of many of the most important charges contained in those Letters. Upon these facts I leave the candour of the public to find, if it can be found, a reason why the General did not attack, or furround, and

* See a Letter, in the Appendix, from a Committee of Congrefs appointed to enquire into the State of Washington's army.

F 2

take

take by fiege, Washington's whole army. His numbers were greater than those of the rebels, who furrounded and took a British army, under General Burgoyne, of 4000 veteran troops, in a situation not so distressful as that of Washington.

Numerous are the inflances in which the General has perverted my meaning to his own purpofes. I have charged him with " declining to truft the " faithful and loyal fubjects with arms, or to make " use of the well-affetted force in the Colonies, to " affift him in reducing, or in defending after reduced, " either cities or provinces." In this charge I alluded to his declining to embody the Loyalist, as a militia, in New York, New Jerfey, and Philadelphia. In New York, the militia, which he declined to embody, amounts to 5000 men. In New Jerfey, upwards of fifty of the first men in the county of Monmouth, &c. came in to offer their fervice in difarming the difaffected, and to reftore the province to the peace of the Crown; but they could not procure accefs to the General, although their wilhes were communicated to his Aid de Camp. They returned to their respective families, chagrined and difgusted at the bauteur of the General; fome of them, notwithstanding, are now at New York, under the protection of the British army, having abandoned their property, hazarded their lives, and facrificed the happines of their families. At Philadelphia, at least 3,500 faithful

faithful militia might have been embodied in arms for the defence of that city, while the army operated againft Washington; and had the General at the same time cordially invited the Loyalists to take up arms, as a militia, in their feveral counties, under gentlemen in whom they had a confidence, for the particular purposes of feizing on and disarming the disaffected to Government, and defending their feveral districts, the whole province of Pennsylvania, and the extensive peninfula below, would have been reftored to the peace of the Crown before the end of the campaign.

To parry this charge, the General afferts, page 56, " Many of my proclamations contained invita-" tions to arms, and promises of large encourage-" ment." Where thefe proclamations are to be found, he has not told us; they never were published in Pennsylvania, New York, or New Jerfey; and I verily believe they never were written. The proclamation iffued in 1776, in New Jerfey, contained nothing more than a promife of pardon and protection to those who should come in and take the oaths of allegiance; that at the head of Elk refted only in promifing the people protection from the " depredations of his army," and calling on the difaffected " to remain peaceably at their " ufual places of abode." The General furely cannot here mean the "many proclamations" iffued within his lines, inviting the people to enlift in the regular Provincial fervice? This cannot be; be-8 caufe.

cause, to use his own words, it would be " a quib-" ble which would never have entered into the " head of an English" nor of an American " lawyer."

Before I leave the laft-mentioned proclamation, I cannot, in juffice to the character of Mr. Galloway, avoid taking fome notice of a paragraph in

Page 55.] " As this declaration was calculated "for the meridian of Pennfylvania, of the people "of which province Mr. Galloway profeffed an "intimate knowledge, *I confulted him previoufly* "upon it; I framed it agreeably to his ideas; "when written, it had his full approbation."

Here, I hope, the General's memory has totally The facts relating to this transaction **f**ailed him. are truly thefe. While the fleet lay at the Hook, on its way to the Chefapeak, and not before, Captain Montrefor brought this declaration, in manuscript, from the General, to Mr. Galloway, with a request that he would confider it. Upon the first view, a number of objections arole; he immediately committed them to paper, fupported with his reafons. Thefe he returned, with the declaration, to Captain Montrefor, to be carried back to the General. Upon Captain Montrefor's return, Mr. Galloway asked, what the General faid to his objections. The answer was, that they were not admitted; for the declarations had been already printed off at New York.

This

This is the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. Captain Montrefor, and two other gentlemen, were privy to this transaction, whole honour, I have no doubt, will lead them to confirm it. On this paragraph I shall leave the reader to make his own comment, with only observing, that the General, in every instance where he has taken occasion to mention Mr. Galloway's conduct and opinions, has been guilty of what charity would lead me to hope are only failures of memory.

The General labours hard, in his Narrative, to vindicate his conduct in not fupporting the Northern army. Nor ought we to be furprifed at it, as it was a neglect which ftrikes the mind on the firft reflection; a blunder to which we owe all our prefent misfortunes. His arguments may be comprifed under three heads. Ift, That he had no express orders to support it; 2d, That he had not force sufficient; and, '3d, That his Southern expedition was approved of by the Secretary of State.

In reply to the first argument, should we agree to what he afferts, that the express orders to support the Northern army were never received, yet we know that a letter from Lord George Germain, of the 3d of March, 1777, came to his hands on the 8th of May *. That in this letter he is informed, it was his Majesty's opinion, that " a warm

* See Letter of this date in the Parliamentary Register, 1779. " diversion

" diversion should be made on the coasts of the " Maffachufets ;" and that the " benefits" which " must inevitably refult" from it, are pointed out, viz. " That it will not only tend much to " impede the levies" for the Continental army, but to the fecurity of our trade, and would " keep " the rebels at home," for the internal defence of their own respective districts. A more wife, or a more neceffary diversion, to fave the Northern army, could not have been devifed; and had it been performed at the time General Burgoyne paffed from Ticonderoga towards Albany, it must have produced the effects his Majefty wifely predicted. Levies never were, nor can be made, in the scene and buffle of war; and men will not leave the defence of their fire-fides, their principal fea-ports, and most valuable cities, to fight in a different province, and in diftant woods, where there is nothing to defend.

This diversion, therefore, had it been made, must either have drawn General Gates, with his whole army, to the defence of the capital cities on the fea coast, or at least have detained at home more than one half of those men, which, by this neglect, were enabled to join his army; and in that case the Northern army could not have failed of overcoming, with ease, every possible difficulty.

But I will fuppofe that no fuch diversion had been directed. The General could not but know, that the object of the two armies was the fame, and and that it was the immediate bulinefs of both to form a junction. Was it not then his duty to fee fo large and important a reinforcement in a flate of fafety; at leaft, before he carried his army to a place which deprived him of the power to fupport it? However, it feems, notwithflanding his Majefty's directions, and the weighty importance of the measure, that the General and his Noble Brother " confulted upon the expediency of " the diversion *," yet neither made it, nor took any measures to support the Northern expedition; in confequence of which, a British army passed under the yoke of rebellion.

I have hitherto reafoned upon a fuppolition, that the General had no express orders to co-operate with, and join the Northern army; and I truft, the arguments I have advanced are fufficient to prove, that, in not doing it, he acted contrary to his manifest duty. But, to strip him even of the shadow of an excuse, I will prove that he had those orders, or what fully amounted to them.

On the 25th of September, 1775, he received his commission of Commander in Chief +. On the 9th of October he undertakes to propose the plan of his future operations; recommends the evacuation of Boston, and that a body of 12,000 men be

* Narrative, p. 12.

+ See his Letters to the Earl of Dartmouth, dated the 5th of November, and 9th of October, 1775.

employed

G

employed "from New York, to open the commu-"nication with Canada in the first instance," and that an army of Canadians and Indians from Canada should meet him; and, that "the accom-"plishment of the primary object for opening the "communication, being obtained by the two ar-"mies, these corps might take separate routes "into the province of Massachuset's Bay." And in his letter of the 2d of April, 1777, he points out "the advantages that might arise by securing "Albany and the adjacent country."

Strictly conformable to these ideas of the General, Government proceeded. His own army was reinforced with numbers fo great, that it ftruck him with utter amazement. Another army was formed, and ordered to proceed from Canada to join his troops at Albany. On the 25th of March, the Secretary of State transmitted to Sir Guy Carleton, at Quebec, the plan of operations for both armies; in which he is ordered " to detach Gene-" ral Burgoyne," and to direct him, " fo de-" tached, to proceed with all poffible expedition s to Albany, and put himself under the command of " Sir William Howe;" and further adds, " with " a view of quelling the rebellion as foon as pof-" fible, it is become necessary that the most speedy " junction of the two armies should be effected." An official copy of this letter was transmitted to Sir William Howe for his information and direction. and

and was received by him on the 5th of June, fix weeks before he failed on his wild and unfortunate expedition to the Chefapeak. By thefe letters, the following truths are in full evidence: That the plan of the Northern operations was the General's own, and not the plan of Administration: That he received written orders to " effect a speedy " junction" of the two armies, and that that junction was to be made at Albany. This is fo plain. that it would be an affront to the reader's underftanding to fay more on the fubject. In vain will the General plead, that he never received his orders. For what end was the official copy of the plan of operations fent to him? Was it to answer no purpose? Or was it to fignify his Majesty's pleafure and orders refpecting those operations? How idle ! how truly trifling, then, must this part of his Defence appear, when the junction of the two armies was not only conformable to his own plan, but clearly pointed out by reafon, military duty, and by his Majesty's orders !

As to his fecond objection, that he had not force fufficient, I need only remind my reader, that the General had under his command, on the 17th of July 1777, as appears by his own returns, 40,874 men, officers included. His Southern army confifted of 20,680; the garrifon of Rhode Island required only 2400, as he confesses in his fecret letter of the 2d April, 1777; his remaining force was G 2

was 17,794. In the fame letter, he states as fufficient for the defence of New York and New York Island 3200, of Paulus Hook 300, of Staten Island 1200; in the whole 4700. This number, deducted out of 17,794, will leave 13,094, besides 3000 effectives, of the provincial corps under General Tryon. This will make the force under his command, exclusive of his Southern army, and his neceffary garrifons, 16,094. I will also suppose, which is a very extravagant fuppolition, that every fixth man was an invalid, and it will leave 13,412 effectives, whom he might have applied to the fupport of the Northern army; but, not inclined to fupport that army, he left useles thousands in the garrifon of Rhode Island, and carried with him, in his wild circuitous voyage to the Chefapeak, as many thousands, equally unneceffary; for there was not the most distant prospect that the enemy could ever raife an army, equal, in effective force, to 10,000 veteran troops.

But further. He acknowledges, page 61, that he "left at New York about 8500 rank and file, "fit for duty." I have fhewn, that he thought 4700 were competent to the defence of all his garrifons; why then did he not order the remaining 3800 to make the diverfion on the coafts of New England, as had been directed by his Majefty? a force abundantly more than fufficient, if properly conducted, to have detained the whole militia of that country in the defence of their capital towns

8

and

and valuable fea-ports, which must have enabled General Burgoyne to pass without difficulty to New York.

The third argument remains to be examined. The General, in his Narrative, as well as in his Obfervations, repeatedly declares that the Secretary of State approved of his " expedition to Pennfyl-" vania." This affertion is of the fame complexion with that of Mr. Galloway's approving of a proclamation, at a time he had never heard of it. The facts are: In his letter on the 20th of January, 1777, he proposes " to detach a corps only to " enter the Delaware by fea, and the main body of " the army to penetrate into Pennfylvania by way " of Jersey." This measure was founded in the foundeft policy, and juftified by common fenfe; because, had it been purfued, Washington, having at that time only 8000 men, must have fought, or fled before him out of New Jerfey, over the Delaware. Washington's fafety depended on his taking this route; his military flores and provifions were all on the other fide of the Delaware: cut off from these effentials of war, he must have given up the conteft. Washington once defeated. or driven over the Delaware, the province of New Jerfey might have been immediately reftored to the peace of the Crown. Philadelphia, altogether without defence by land, and very little better by water, must have immediately fallen. A garrifon, more numerous than Washington's whole army, army, might have been left for its defence, and 10,000 men, at least, spared to operate up the North River, or on the New England coafts, in favour of the Northern army. All this might have been accomplished with ease by the latter end of August, although the campaign was not opened until the 12th of June; but had the General commenced the operations on the 12th of May, the most proper month in the whole year for military operations in that part of America, it might have been completed by the latter end of July. This appearing evidently to be practicable, with the force under the General's command, every candid and fenfible man must applaud the council which induced his Majefty to approve of the plan for invading Pennfylvania, " by the way of Jerfey."

This approbation was communicated by Lord George Germain, in his letter of the 3d of March ; but before it could reach * the General, he had altered this judicious plan for one truly ridiculous, and ruinous not only to the Northern army, but his own operations; a plan which must neceffarily have been attended with a great waste of time, immense expence, unneceffary risque, and innumerable difficulties. In his letter of the 2d of April, he informs the Secretary of State, that, * from the difficulties * and delay that would attend the passing the river

* He did not receive it till the 8th of May.

" Delaware,

" Delaware, by a march through Jerfey, I propofe to invade Pennfylvania by fea; and from this arrangement we must probably abandon the Jerfeys, which, by the former plan, would not have been the cafe."

Will the General affert, that the Minister of the American department ever approved of this material, this mischievous change, in his plan? Did he ever approve of his abandoning New Jersey, his embarking on ship-board his whole army, and subjecting them to all the risques and dangers of the fea, without the least necessity or reason? The American Minister is too wise, and too well versed in military science, to have approved of a plan pregnant with such folly and instruation; if he did, the General is called on to produce fome proofs of that approbation.

This being the truth, the General will labour in vain to throw the blame of his own military abfurdities upon the fhoulders of others. The plan was truly *his own*; and it bears fo *ftrong* a refemblance of his other military operations, that no one acquainted with them can poffibly doubt it. For by this wretched project the three ftrong pofts of Brunfwick, Bonumtown, and Amboy, which had been fortified at an immenfe national expence, were to be given up; the province of New Jerfey, which had been fo lately reduced, was to be *abandoned* to an enemy confifting of lefs than one third of his own numbers; and a Britifh British army, both cavalry and foot, was to be embarked in the hot holds of ships, in the hottest months of the year, to pass into a yet more fouthern and fickly climate, and that too at a feason when contrary winds never yet failed to prevail. All these difficulties, together with the dangers and risques of the ocean, were to be encountered in preference to a march of *fifty-eight miles* through a fine open country, interfected by a variety of roads, and flored with every necessary for the accommodation of an army.

But it feems, " the difficulties and delays that " would attend the paffage of the Delaware, and " the want of fufficient means to pass fo large a " river *," were the obstructions to his first plan. What will the reader fay, when he is informed, that this so large, and so much dreaded, river is ever, in the months of June, July, and August, fordable in a variety of places, between Trenton and Coryel's Ferry, on the different roads to Philadelphia? and yet, if it was not fo, that it is not, in many places, 300 yards wide; that the ground on the Jersey fide commands, in many parts, that on the Pennfylvania fide, fo that our army might have been perfectly covered by cannon in its paffage; and that the General had prepared more boats and pontoons, which he carried with him to Brunfwick, than were neceffary for that pur-

* Narrative, p. 16.

pofe.

pofe. Thus circumftanced, and thus prepared, what would an Amherst, a Wolfe, or a Bouquet, have thought of difficulties fo truly infignificant!

As I have now before me Sir William Howe's general plans of operation, I cannot avoid taking notice of his change of opinion. As foon as he was appointed, his plan " was to open a communi-" cation with Canada, in the first instance "." Soon after, with much good fenfe, he refolves to profecute the advantages he had gained in New Jerfey, and to go to Philadelphia by land +. This refolution fuddenly changes for a worfe, to go by fea t. We next fee him alter this refolution for one infinitely worfe ftill, and to be equalled by none, fave that of going to Philadelphia by way of the Weft Indies; for he refolves to go to Philadelphia, by " taking the course of the Chefapeak." And even in this fourth refolution he does not continue long, but changes it for his third, and again determines to go up " the Delaware ;" and that for a very good reafon, " in order to be nearer New York "." And yet, after all this confusion in opinion, we have seen his most judicious plan, approved of

* See his Letter of the 9th of October, 1775.

+ See his Letter of the 20th of January, 1777.

1 See his Letter of the 2d of April, 1777.

|| In the last paragraph of his Letter, of 16th July, 1777, has fays, " I propose going up the Delaware, in order to be nearer " this place (New York) than I should be by taking the course " of Chesapeak Bay, which I once intended, and preferred to " that of the Delaware." by his Majefty, fet afide; and another, founded folely on his own wild ideas, unapproved of by, and uncommunicated and unknown to, any but his Noble Brother and himfelf, and which involved the operations of the campaign in confusion and ruin, ultimately profecuted. He led his army, contrary to his own declared opinion, that he ought to be " near New York," round Cape Charles, and wafted the beft feason for military operations, from June to September, encountering difficulties and dangers, which the plan approved of by his Majefty must infallibly have avoided. Such was the strange and fatal versatility of the General's councils and conduct !

It would be endlefs to take notice of all the mifftated and miftaken facts, and the many difingenuous arguments, adduced by the General in his Vindication. I fhall, therefore, in future, content myfelf with giving brief anfwers to many of them, dwelling only on the groffer blunders in his conduct.

He takes much pains to vindicate himfelf againft the charge of not opening the campaign before the month of June. He begins with contradicting my affertion, in the Letters, that the rebel levies never could join the army before that month; and avers, that their levies " joined early " in the fpring." Now this was not the fact; and it will be eafy to convince the reader of its impoffibility. The rebel army confifted of more than three-fourths Irifh and Scotch, and lefs than oneone-fourth native Americans*. The first generally came from the back parts of Pennfylvania, Maryland, Virginia, North and South Carolina; the fecond, principally from the difaffected New England colonies; fo that at least three-fourths of the new levies had from two, to five and feven hundred miles to march. The inclemency of the feafon prevented them from fetting out from the fouthward until the beginning of April, and later from the northward; and therefore they could not join the army "early in the fpring +." The time of their junction, a fmall part from the Middle Colonies excepted, was about the beginning of June; before which time, however reduced the number of the enemy might be, the General would never begin his principal operations.

But he fays, "We had not forage in quarters; "nor could we have carried any quantities for effen-"tial fervice."

If he had not forage in quarters, on what did his horfes fubfift? they were always in high order. In his campaign of 1777, he might have commanded all that Long Ifland, Rhode Ifland, and

* Mr. Galloway's Examination, p. 22.

+ In his Letter of the 19th of April, he fays, "Their force "has been diminifhed, during the courfe of the winter, by de-"fertion, and by detachments to the back fettlements;" but he does not fay a word of their receiving reinforcements, which a General, fo expert in apologies for his indolence, we may prefume, would have done, had any joined the rebel army at that time.

Staten

Staten Island produced; and in that of 1778, had he chofe to open it before he refigned his command, all that the environs of Philadelphia afforded, which was immenfe. And could he not have carried a fortnight or three weeks forage, or fufficient to enable him to have attacked his enemy, lying not more than a day's march from his quarters? He had horfes and carriages fufficient, and might have had more. Sir Jeffery Amherft carried the provisions for his army from Lancaster to Montreal; General Forbes, from Lancaster to Fort Pitt; and Colonel Bouquet, from Lancafter, upwards of three hundred miles, to Muskingum. But the true anfwer to all these weak apologies for his indolence, is, that the country was, at all feafons of the year, plentifully flored with dry forage; and that a fuperior army may procure it, if the Commander of it chooses, from the same parts and places where it is daily obtained by the inferior; and more especially where the country is generally difaffected to that inferior army : befides, it is well known to the people of that country, that the green forage, with which it abounds, is fufficiently grown to fupport cavalry, by the latter end of April. In a country thus poffeffed of dry and green forage, the General's horfes could have run no rifque. But there was a magnanimity which difcovered itfelf in all his conduct, and which, if the real lovers of their country will not commend, his friends, in the opposition to Government, will support and applaud! He scorned tQ

to imitate the *rafh impetuofity of men*, who, too deeply imprefied with the principles of honour, and defire of fame, regard no difficulties; he therefore would never purfue the enemy whom he had defeated, nor attack him when unprepared; nor would he open the campaign until the levies of his enemy were joined.

So much for the campaign in 1777. He next attempts to justify his indolence in 1778. Here he apprehends that " he need not fay much in his " vindication, because, VERY *early in April*, he rese ceived his *orders to return home.*"

The terms " very early" in April, are founded in miltake. He did not receive a permission from his Majesty to return, until the 14th of that month *; nor did he refign his command until the 24th of May, near fix weeks after. He continued, during that time, shamefully inactive in his winter quarters, notwithstanding, in the fame letter which conveyed the leave to refign, he was ordered by his Majefty, " whilft he continued in " command, to lay hold of every opportunity of " putting an end to the rebellion, by a due exer-" tion of the force under his orders." The only movement of any confequence, during that fix weeks, is not mentioned in his letters; however, as this exploit ought not to be concealed from the public, I will relate it.

* See Parliamentary Register, 1779.

On

On the 10th of May, the Marquis de la Fayette, with the main force of Washington's army, from Valley Forge, croffed a bridge over the Schuylkill, and took poft at Norrington. Intelligence of this movement was immediately communicated to the General. Pretending to fhew a defire to do fomething before his departure, he marched out with a large part of his army, in two columns, as if he really intended to attack the enemy. The first column, unperceived and unfuspected, moved in a circuit round the enemy's post, and got perfectly in his rear, within fight of his corps, and confiderably nearer the bridge, over which only the Marquis could poffibly return, while the other column advanced towards the enemy's front. Thus completely entrapped, the Marquis gave up all as loft; he expected his retreat would have been inftantly cut off. Washington, despairing of the fafety of the flower of his army, immediately prepared to fly, with his remaining non effectives, baggage, and artillery, to be drawn by a few ftarved and emaciated horfes, towards the Sufquehannah; and nothing was wanting but a fmall fhare of military exertion, or, perhaps, inclination, to take or deftroy the chief force of the rebel army. But here again, as at Brunfwick, Trenton, Hillsborough, Brandywine, and German Town, the enemy was fuffered to make a yet more fortunate escape. The first column, instead of pushing towards the bridge, in a good road leading to ir.

it on the right, and cutting off the enemy's retreat, while the other fhould advance, and attack in front, was marched to the left, in a route more diftant from the bridge, and thus, inftead of intercepting the enemy, fell into his rear. The other column, under the immediate command of the General himfelf, *leifurely* advanced in front. The paffage to the bridge was left open; and the Marquis, having recovered from his panic and defpondency, made good his retreat, without lofs, and unmolefted.

The words " orders to return" are difingenuous, and evalive of the fact. They convey the idea. that the General was removed from his command contrary to his wifh; when the truth is, that as foon as he had done as little good, and as much mischief as possible; as soon as he had depressed the spirits of the Loyalists, by his inaccessibility, his injudicious appointments, his neglect of them when rifing in arms in his favour, and the indifcriminate plunder made by his army; as foon as he had revived, by his difgraceful attempts and retreats, that fpirit of rebellion which he had fo lately depressed; as soon as he had, by his manifest breach of military duty and the explicit orders of his Sovereign, facrificed a British army; as foon as he had overcome the hefitation and reluctance of the House of Bourbon, and prevailed on it, by the indolence of his operations, openly and avowedly to fupport the rebellion; I fay, as foon as he had, with

with art and addrefs, brought this load of ignominy on the British arms, and these accumulated evils on his country, and not before, he petulantly infifted on his refignation.

The reafon affigned for his refignation is fo indecent and groundlefs, that I will give it to the " From the LITTLE reader in his own words. " ATTENTION, my Lord, given to my recom-" mendations, fince the commencement of my " command, I am led to hope that I may be re-" lieved from this very painful fervice, wherein I " have not the good fortune to enjoy the neceffary " confidence and fupport of my fuperiors, but " which, I conclude, will be extended to Sir Henry " Clinton, my prefumptive successor. By the return " of the packet, I humbly requeft I may have his " Majefty's permiffion to refign *." In what this want of attention to his recommendations confifted, is not mentioned. If we look into the correspondence between the Secretary of State and the General, we shall not find it there; for in that it will appear, that if the General recommended a favourite to his Majesty, he was fure to receive promotion; and, to incite and oblige him, if honour conferred, and a fense of gratitude could oblige him, to do his duty, the order of Knighthood was beflowed by his Sovereign on himfelf. And when we confider his wanton and extravagant

* See his Letter of the 28th of October, 1778, to Lord George Germain.

8

demands

demands for more force, with the exertions made by Government to gratify him, we fhall find, that his complaint of a want " of the confidence and " fupport of his fuperiors," was made without the leaft foundation.

When the measures for reducing the revolted Colonies were refolved on, and the General was appointed to the command, fuch was the disposition of Government to gratify him in whatever he fhould defire, that the Secretary of State declared, " the measures of force should be the wishes " of the General." The General, who was then in America, and had the flate of the rebellion before him, was the best judge of the force which would be competent to its fuppreffion; on his judgment, therefore, Government relied, and, instead of stinting, surpassed his wishes. In his letter * to the Secretary of State, after long and mature deliberation, he only requires 19,000 men, which, he fays, will be " adequate to an active " offenfive campaign on the fide of New York " and Rhode Ifland." To combat this force, he " apprehended the rebels would not have lefs than " ro,000 men on the fide of Rhode Island, and " 20,000 men to act against General Carleton on " one hand, and the New York corps on the " other." Instead of 10,000 men, he was furnifhed with 31,476; and although he expected to

* See his Letter of the 26th of November, 1775.

I

meet

meet a force of 30,000 men, the whole rebel army did not amount to 18,000. With the force now fent, and which amounted to 11,000 men more than he required, the General appears to be more than fatisfied, and declares his " utter aftonish-" ment at the uncommon exertions" of Government; and yet, in his letter of the 25th of September, 1776, after he had defeated his enemy, and taken, killed, and difperfed more than half of his force, he begins his extravagant requifitions; and, with a manifest defign to distress Government, he requires " ten line of battle ships, with se a number of fupernumerary feamen, for man-" ning boats." Would any perfon fufpect, that, at this time, the Admiral had 65 fhips of war; 13 carrying from 50 to 70 guns, 28 frigates, and 34 floops; and that the whole naval force of America was no more than three frigates and fix floops of war? To what use did the General mean to apply the additional " ten fhips of the line," which could not be performed by the force already under his Brother's command? Was it to batter down the fortifications of the rebel ports and harbours? We know they had none. Was it to penetrate up their shoal and narrow rivers, when he had fo many frigates, and floops of war, only proper for that fervice? Did he want them to defeat the truly contemptible naval force of the enemy, when the Admiral had upwards of 60 veffels of war under his command ?

It will be as impossible for us to conceive to what use the General intended to apply the "fu-" pernumerary seamen." His Noble Brother had not less than 12,000 mariners on board the ships of war and transports then under his command. Surely, out of so great a number, men sufficient might be spared to man his boats, at any time, and upon any occasion; and yet, notwithstanding the unreasonableness of these demands, more ships, and of a better and more useful size, than was asked, were sent over, viz. one ship of 44 guns, 10 of 32, one of 28, and one of 8 guns.

The General, page 41, acknowledges, that, when Mr. Galloway came over to the army, in December 1776 (which was on the first day of that month), " his great fucceffes had intimidated the " leaders of the rebellion, and nearly induced a " general fubmission." And indeed this confeffion, however unwarily made, was strictly true; for further opposition was universally despaired of by all America, except a few desperate men in Washington's army, and that army was reduced to less than 3500 men. And yet at that very period, viz. on the 30th of November, he * makes an additional demand of 15,000 rank and file; and in his letter of the 20th of January, this demand is increased to 20,000; and he declares, that this

* See his Letters of the 30th of November, 1776, and the 20th of January, 1777.

I 2

number

number " would by no means exceed his wants.) " yet 15,000 will give us a fuperiority."

The reader will be as much at a lofs to difcover to what ufe the General would have applied this additional 20,000 men, as he has been in refpect to the ten fhips of the line. Both of thefe demands, when his force is confidered, will appear equally extravagant and unneceffary. He had then, as appears by his own returns, 31,476 men, officers included, and the whole Continental force did not amount to 3500; and yet, in compliance with this extravagant and wanton requifition, fo far as it was poffible to be complied with, 7800 troops were, with all expedition, fent over to him.

The General, it feems, did not make this ex. travagant demand without affigning a reafon for it. Reafons, or what he thought would carry the weight of reafons, were always at hand, when the Minister could receive no state of facts but by the packets under the General's command, and when all letters from America were liable to his in-Perhaps Government never committed fpection. a greater miftake, than that of fuffering the line of intelligence, from countries where its fervants are employed, to be taken out of the hands of the confidential officer. It enables its own fervants to misrepresent the state of the country, the disposition of the people, the numbers of the enemy, and to put what gloss they please on their own misconduct,

misconduct, without a possibility of detection, and from these misrepresentations it has often happened, that men have met with applause, when, in justice, they should have received condemnation and disgrace.

But the reasons affigned were groundless and romantic. In his letter of the 12th of February. he informed the Secretary of State, that " the re-" bels have profpects of bringing an army into the " field of more than 50,000 men. They are most " fanguine in their expectations, and, confcious " that their whole flake depends upon the fuccefs of the next campaign, use every compulsory " means to those who do not enter voluntarily into " their fervice;" and yet, notwithftanding all this fanguinenels of expectation, and thefe " com-" pulfory means," we know, that, inftead of " more than 50,000 men," they were not able to bring into the field, when the General met their force at Hillfborough, more than 8000; and even at the Brandywine not more than 16,000, militia included; after he had, contrary to all policy, given them two months to recruit their feeble army by every poffible exertion. and here here It thus appears, that if the reinforcement fent fell fhort of the force required by the General, the expected reinforcement of the rebels, which was the reason assigned for that requisition, failed in a much greater proportion; more than one-half of the force required was fent, and not more than oneone-fifth of that of the rebels was railed. The account of the force flood thus in 1777: Britifh, 40,874 veteran troops; rebel regular army at Hillfborough, 8000; at Brandywine, 11,000; and, in the fpring 1778, at the Valley Forge, not 4000 undifciplined troops. With what juffice, then, can the General complain of his want of force, and how fhamelefs and bare-faced is his attempt to throw the blame of his own mifconduct on that Administration, which has, by fuch " un-" common exertions," thus gratified him in his extravagant requifitions !

Pages from 50 to 59 are employed in attempting to prove that the people of America are almost univerfally difloyal, and that he did every thing in his power to encourage them to take up arms, without fuccefs.

Here he afferts, that the " only attempt" made by a body of men, to affift in fupprefling the rebellion, was in North Carolina, in 1776. Did the General never hear of two different bodies. who took arms in favour of Government, at different times, in the peninfula between the Delaware and Chefapeak? Did he never fee, or hear of the proclamation iffued by the Congress, to fuppress them? If he did not, all America faw it, and the people of Britain may alfo fee it. Did he never hear, that, in feveral counties above Albany, the Loyalists, being by far the greater number, prevented the difaffected from joining Gates, when 5

when going against General Burgoyne? This was a fact known to thousands within his own lines. Did he never hear of the numerous offers made to Mr. Galloway, while the General was at Philadelphia, by the gentlemen of many counties, to take up arms, to difarm the difaffected, and to reftore their respective districts to the peace of the crown? He certainly did.

" The people of Staten Island, he confesses, " (page 50) testified their loyalty by all the means " in their power;" and General Tryon, and fome other gentlemen, " who had taken refuge on board " ship, informed him of the loyal disposition of " the people of New York and New Jersey, &cc." But it feems General Tryon, who had been many years Governor of the province, and the other gentlemen, who had lived long in New York, were all mistaken.

In order to account for the abfurdity of his extenfive cantonments, he acknowledges, it was to " cover the county of Monmouth, in which there " are many loyal inhabitants." But here again the General found himfelf mifinformed; Governor Tryon, and a number of other gentlemen of New York, had before, as I have mentioned, deceived him. And now General Skinner, whom he warmly recommends to his Majefty's favour, who was the Attorney-general of the province, and from whom he muft, or ought to have taken his information respecting the people of Monmouth, was

was also mistaken. These gentlemen, it seems, knew little about the dispositions of the people of the country in which the most of them were born, and in which they had lived from their infancy; for, fays he, " many, very many of the people of " Monmouth were taken in arms against us, with " my protections in their pockets." Had the General faid some, and but few, of the people of New Jerfey had acted in this manner, he would have been much nearer to the fact. Among the 6000 people who came in and took the oaths, fome were, it may be reasonably supposed, disaffected; but even thefe, we ought to fuppofe, would have kept their oaths, had not the proclamation been fhamefully violated on the part of the General. The plunder was fo indifcriminate, and fo exceffive, that men were robbed of their all; and it was these disaffected men, made desperate by the breach of public faith, and injuries which they had fuftained, who were taken in arms, with his protections in their pockets, and none others. Let Britons, for a moment, fuppole, that the military, who were fent into the city of London to protect their perfons and properties against the violence of the late mob, inftead of affording them that protection, had robbed their houfes, and polluted their wives and daughters; would they have tamely fubmitted to fuch outrages? Would their hearts have felt no difpolition to oppose fuch enormous wickednefs? Their fenfibility will answer thefe queftions.

questions. Indeed it is impossible for language to describe the mischiefs and difgrace which the want of discipline in the British army, in this respect, brought on the service; and men who are acquainted with it, are surprised that it had not produced, what, from many circumstances, it is probable was intended, an universal revolt of all the Colonists.

As another inftance of the difloyalty of the Americans, the General fays, " Several corps were " offered to be raifed, and were accepted, in the " winter 1776, to confift of 6500 men; but in " May 1778, the whole number amounted to only " 3609, including the brigades of Delancy and " Skinner; a little more than half the promifed " complement." The gentlemen who offered to raife these corps, expected that the General would have opened the field for recruiting. When they looked at his force, they faw it was practicable; but they were deceived by the indolence and mifconduct of the General. Brigadier-general Skinner's brigade was to have been raifed in New Jerfey. This province the General had fhamefully given up. Brigadier-general Delancy's corps was to have been raifed in the province of New York, which he expected would have been open to his recruiting parties. But the General contented himfelf with the possession of Long Island, Staten Island, and the island of New York; and, moreover, fuffered his enemy, who had not 6000 effective men, to harafs and beliege K him

him in his quarters, during the whole recruiting feafon. When thefe facts are candidly confidered, together with the fmall number of Americans within the British lines, the man of fense, who will reflect how few men in a fociety are willing to subject their perfons to the dangers of war, and to military discipline, will be surprised at the numbers enlisted under such disadvantages, and within so small a compass of territory; and will confess, that it is a strong proof of the loyalty of the people.

At Philadelphia, the General infinuates that he had made the fame experiment on the loyalty of the people. "Mr. William Allen, a gentleman "who was *fuppofed* to have great family influence in that province; Mr. Chalmers, much refpected "in the three lower counties on Delaware, and in "Maryland; Mr. Clifton, the chief of the Roman "Catholic perfuation, of whom there were faid to be many in Philadelphia, as well as in the rebel army, ferving against their inclinations, were appointed commandants of corps." And what was the fuccefs of thefe efforts ? He tells us, "they only amounted to 800 men, including three troops of light dragoons, confisting of 132 "troopers."

All this is plaufible in appearance, but fallacious in reality. It was the duty of the General to enquire after popular characters for these appointments; but he fought the most unpopular. Mr. Allen was a young gentleman, whose family influence influence was confiderable among the Republican party, before they fubverted the proprietary government, and threatened to feize on the proprietary eftate; but, after these circumstances took place, that influence was loft : he had been alfo a colonel in the rebel fervice, in the Canada expedi-I do not mention these circumstances to tion. prejudice Mr. Allen, becaufe I now believe him, from conviction, to be a loyal fubject; but yet, a character thus circumstanced was not the perfon under whom the General could, in reafon, expect the Loyalists would inlist. Mr. Chalmers, a gentleman from Maryland, who came into the British army at Elk, though much respected in Maryland, was unconnected, and without any influence, in Philadelphia. Colonel Clifton, if poffible, had lefs influence, except among the Roman Catholics; and of these there were not 200 men capable of carrying a musquet : befides, here, as in New York, during the whole feafon for recruiting, he fuffered Washington's parties and detachments to furround his lines, and render it impoffible to recruit in the country. Such were the gentlemen appointed, and fuch the embarrafsments under which the recruiting fervice laboured in Philadelphia; and yet the General acknowledges, that, during his fhort flay in that city, where he found only 4482 males from 18 to 60 years of age, of whom near 1000 were Quakers, he raifed K 2 974

974 rank and file, and, officers included, upwards of 1100.

The General fays nothing of Mr. Galloway's troop of Philadelphia light dragoons; it did not fuit his purpofe. That very unpopular gentleman offered to raife a regiment of horse, but he could procure a warrant for raifing a troop only. This corps was expeditioufly raifed; in two months they were complete, and fo well difciplined as to be reviewed by the General, and greatly applauded for their difcipline. It is known in that country, that his influence among the Loyalifts was fuch, that he could have raifed a regiment in nearly the fame time, notwithstanding the embarrassments under which the recruiting fervice then laboured. But the General declined making use of Mr. Galloway's influence in the recruiting fervice, and preferred to it that of an unpopular country tavern-keeper, for whom he thought his fervants in the kitchen the most proper company. This man received a warrant to raife a troop, and now mixes with gentlemen of rank in the army. Such were the judicious appointments of the Commander in Chief in Philadelphia!

To the charge, that no ftep was taken by the General to embody the friends of Government in New Jerfey, who were anxious and defirous to be employed in difarming the difaffected, and in defending the country when the army fhould proceed in its other neceffary operations, he anfwers,

Page

Page 53.] " I never heard of the anxiety and " readiness here expressed."

If the General never heard of the anxiety and readiness here expressed, it was because he would It is a fact which 1 have mentioned before. not. and will here again repeat, that upwards of fifty gentlemen, of well-known principle and untainted loyalty, fome of them from the difloyal county of Monmouth, came into the lines of Trenton, with defign to offer their fervices in the before-mentioned measures; but the General was inacceffible; they could not, after feveral days attendance, procure Some of them returned home, chaan audience. grined and difgufted, and others are now in New York, taking refuge under the protection of the King's forces. But if the General had really the reduction of the rebellion at heart, why did he not fet up the King's ftandard, and call on the Loyalifts of that country to affift him in arms? Why did he reft on his proclamation, with promifing them protection, and then fuffering that promife to be violated in thousands of instances? Did he expect that the Loyalists would impertinently offer their affiftance to a General who would not deign to afk it, and who fuffered his troops to plunder the inhabitants, to a degree more exceffive than ever was known, under a prudent General, in an enemy's country? Did he believe, that, by fuch measures, he should attach even the well-disposed to the cause he was engaged in? Did he imagine that it was poffible

possible to execute his trust, in reducing a country to extensive, without making use of the well-aftacted force in it, and whilst he was pursuing the most effectual measures to turn that force against himself? If he did, he acted upon principles contradicted by common sense, and the practice of all other Generals who ever deferved the applause and rewards of their country.

In page 54, the General next attempts to prove that the inhabitants of Philadelphia were not *annious* to promote the King's fervice, even *without carrying arms.*"

He tells us, that labourers were wanted, to confiruct the redoubts at Philadelphia; and he applied to Mr. Galloway to procure them. He prefumes Mr. Galloway exerted himfelf; and yet, " with all his " affiduity, and the means made use of by the chief " engineer," the whole number that could be prevailed on to affist him, amounted each day, upon an sverage, to no more than between 70 and 80.

This transaction, like every other mentioned in his Defence, is grofsly milreprefented. Mr. Galloway was applied to, by the chief engineer, to procure the labourers. Near one hundred were immediately procured. The wages offered were 8 d. per diem, and a falt ration; but for these the men would not labour. The common wages in the city were from 5 s. 6d. to 6 s. sterling per diem. Beef was fold at 2 s. 6 d. a 3 s. per pound; mutton at 2 s. a 2 s 6 d. cheefe at 3 s. and bread at a price equally equally high. The men had families to feed. The General was folicited to raife their wages, but he obstinately and inhumanly refused; the men deferted their work, and the officers declared they could not blame them. Very different was the conduct of Lord Cornwallis, when he applied to Mr. Galloway to repair the too long neglected dykes of the Province Island. Mr. Galloway recollecting the former conduct of the General, informed his Lordfhip, that he could not undertake the business, unless the men were to receive reafonable wages; and that he fhould afk no more than he had given for the like work in time of peace, although the price of labour was rifen nearly two-thirds more. His Lordship replied, the work must be done, and, without hesitation, assented to the propofal. Near fifty men were immediately procured, at a Spanish dollar per diem, a salt ration, and a pint of rum; the latter, becaufe they were obliged to work in water. The bufinefs was done in fix days, much to his Lordship's declared fatisfaction; and then, and not till then, could the chief engineer make any confiderable progrefs in crecting the main battery against Mud Island fort.

In pages 59, 60, the General endeavours to extenuate the plunder, and other enormities committed by the foldiery in America. He afferts, that "there "never was less plunder, nor fewer enormities, com-"mitted by any army in the field;" and intimates, that that the newspapers in America, like those of other countries, are the vehicles of invention and calumny, upon which these enormities are grounded.

I fincerely wifh, for the credit of human nature, as well as for the General's credit, that this reprefentation were just; but all, and more than I have faid in my Letters to a Nobleman, respecting indiferiminate and exceffive plunder, is known to thousands within the British lines, and to a number of gentlemen now in England; and in refpect to the rapes, the fact alledged does not depend on the credit of newspapers. A folemn enquiry was made, and affidavits taken, by which it appears that no lefs than twenty-three were committed in one neighbourhood in New Jerfey; fome of them on married women, in the prefence of their helplefs hufbands; and others on daughters, while the unhappy parents, with unavailing tears and cries, could only deplore the favage brutality. Thefe affidavits are on record in America; and printed copies of them are now in London. Such were the measures purfued by the General, to reconcile his Majefty's deluded fubjects to his government, and to encourage the Loyalists to support the cause of their Sovereign !

In page 61, the General adduces the evidence of Major-general Grey, to prove that the Chesapeak expedition occasioned " A POWERFUL DIVERSION IN " FAVOUR OF THE NORTHERN ARMY."

How

How powerful this diversion was, the world already knows; it loft the very army it was intended to fave, if it can be possible that fuch was the intent. But I will more particularly confider the force of the Major general's evidence, in fupport of the fact alledged by the Commander in Chief.

" I think," fays the Major general, " a ftronger diversion could not have been made, than that of drawing General Washington, and the whole Continental army, near 300 miles off."

From this mode of expression, the reader may poffibly apprehend that Washington was drawn 200 miles more diftant from the Northern army. But the Major general could not poffibly mean this; the fact being, that Washington was only drawn, except for a few days, from Quibble Town to the Schuylkill, 50 miles more diftant; and, to perform this truly ridiculous diversion, he carried his army, by fea, at least 700 miles, against trade-winds, and, as he confesses himfelf, through a "very difficult navigation "." The confequences were forefeen by every man of common reflection. The carrying the main British force at fo great a diftance from the Northern colonies, infpired the rebels with new hopes and fpirits, and contributed greatly to increase their numbers, under Gates, against the Northern army. It wasted two months of the campaign, deftroyed many, and

* See his Letter of the 30th of August, 1777.

L

rendered

rendered the remainder of the British horses totally unfit for service; and occasioned the destruction of a number of vessels and stores, which, "it seems," could not be removed from the head of Elk *.

But to fhew the folly of this extraordinary manœuvre, I will candidly lay before the public a true ftate of the British and rebel force at this conjuncture, and their respective positions.

General Burgoyne was on his route from Canada to Albany, where Sir William Howe was ordered to form a junction of the two armies.

Washington was at Quibbletown, 200 miles distant from the place where the Northern army must meet the enemy, and where it was taken; the General's force was at New York, 40 miles nearer, and in a manner between the Northern army and Washington.

Washington's army could not have passed to Albany by water; he had not shipping nor craft; nor could it have marched by land in lefs than a fortnight, and that only by one road, leading through a gap of the mountain. General Howe had an immense fleet of men of war and transports, fufficient to carry his whole force to Albany in one week.

Washington, when at Quibbletown, lay about nine miles from Brunswick, with his front on the Rariton, which, at that time, and in that place,

* Sir William Howe's Letter, October 10, 1777.

was

was not fordable, and his rear was acceffible with eafe. General Howe, at the fame time at Brunfwick, inftead of marching to Hillfborough, on the fouth fide of the Rariton, as if dreading his force, might have paffed in a good road, on the north fide, not more than 14 miles, and perfectly encompaffed his enemy.

Washington's army was composed of new raifed and undificiplined troops, commanded by inexperienced officers; they were a corps which had been defeated in every action, strangers to victory, and dispirited. Sir William Howe's army were Britons and Germans, perfectly disciplined, and commanded by brave and experienced officers, who had carried victory and conquest with them whereever they had trod, whose spirit had been exalted above the effects of fear by numerous and recent fuccess.

Washington commanded, by Sir William Howe's own exaggerated account, only 10,000 men; and, by his own returns, it appears he had under his immediate command, at New York, 40,784; and when we look at his own distribution of the force necessfary for his garrifons, we find 7100 * fufficient for that purpole; fo that 33,684 remained to be led against his enemy.

Upon this state of facts, it is natural to ask the following questions:

* Sir William Howe's fecret Letter, of the 2d of April, 1777.

L 2

Did

Did Sir William Howe imagine that he was taking the neceffary meafures to fulfil his orders to join the Northern army at Albany, by leading his own army round Cape Charles, 350 miles more diftant from Albany than he was at New York?

Did he really imagine that leading Washington, already 200 miles from Saratoga, from Quibbletown to the neighbourhood of Philadelphia, could possibly be a diversion of the least importance to the Northern army? If Washington had intended to have co-operated with Gates against the Northern army, could Sir William Howe think that he should prevent it by hiding his army in the ocean, and by his circuitous route to the Chefapeak, going 600 miles from Saratoga, and leaving Washington within 200 miles of it ?

If the General really intended to prevent Wafhington from affifting Gates, why did he not take a post between them in New Jersey, on the only road and pass through which Washington could march?

If he really intended to put an end to the rebellion, by defeating the main army in the field, why did he not lead 25,000 men from Brunfwick, on the north fide of the Rariton, and attack Washington's 10,000 men in his unfortified camp? Or, if Washington had been so fortified and strong as to render an affault improper, why did he not, with such a superior force, surround, and, by cutting oghis supplies, with which he was very scantily supplied, fupplied, ftarve him? All thefe meafures were pointed out by common fenfe. The benefits which would have accrued from them were obvious to all, and of the greateft importance to the fupprefion of the rebellion; while that which he purfued did not afford the leaft profpect of a fingle advantage to the fervice, and befides was attended with an immenfe unneceffary expence, was pregnant with numerous difficulties, rifques, and dangers, and promifed the ruin of the campaign.

Major-general Grey, in his evidence, further fays, " I do not think there was any one object " which would have tempted General Washing-" ton to rifque a general action fo much as the fear " of lofing the capital of Pennfylvania."

This I believe to be true: but, what does it avail in the defence of the General's conduct? Nothing. It contains a full condemnation of his Chefapeak expedition. For Washington would have fought -between Hilfborough and that city from the fame He engaged Sir William Howe's army motive. at Brandywine for that reafon, and he would have done it in New Jerfey. Why then did not Sir William Howe, having his boats and pontoons with him all prepared at Brunfwick, pafs his army from that place to the Delaware? If Washington had come from his pretended ftrong post to attack the British army, he must have fought his enemy upon equal, if not difadvantageous terms, as Sir William Howe might have chofe his ground. If

8

he

he had remained in his camp, the city of Philadelphia, and all his magazines of military and other ftores, must have fallen without opposition into the General's hands.

To the queftion, " Was there any probability of " bringing the war to a termination that campaign, " without forcing General Washington to a gene-" ral engagement?" the Major-general answers, " Certainly not."

Here the General appears to be fenfible of the great importance of bringing Washington to a battle. Why then did he not take one rational ftep to effect this purpole? Was it poffible that he could imagine, that his taking post on the fouth fide of the Rariton would bring an inferior enemy down from his advantageous poft, across an unfordable river, to attack him? Why did he not march up on the fame fide of the river on which Washington lay, and offer him battle? Washington must have fought in a little time, or starved his army. Or, why did he not make a feint by paffing towards Philadelphia? This must have brought Washington from his post, or he must have given up the " capital of Pennfylvania," for which the General himfelf believed he would fight. Surely any of these measures were preferable to the unpromifing and unfortunate expedition round by fea to the head of Elk.

It will not be thought a digreffion, fhould I here give the reader a defcription of the great advantages

tages which a fuperior army muft have over an inferior, in their operations in New Jerfey. The province is bounded on the eaft and fouch by the North River, New York Bay, and the Ocean; on the weft, by the Bay and River Delaware; and on the north, it runs into the uninhabited mountains, forming a peninfula to the fouth. The waters inclofing it on the eaft, fouth, and weft, are not more than 50 miles diftant from each other, and until the month of June are never fordable : nor even then, except in the Delaware above Trenton. And there are very few countries to be found, lefs difficult and better adapted for military operations. What then is the cafe of an inferior army in a country thus fituated, when a fuperior force is properly led against it? If it should march to avoid its enemy fouthward, it runs into a fnare from whence it cannot escape. If it turns to the north, it must combat every difficulty which mountains deftitute of provisions can afford; and if he attempts either on the east or west to escape, he may be attacked in the moment of croffing a confiderable river. And yet the General, by the indolence of his movements, although he had his truly contemptible enemy in this very country, fuffered him to cross the Delaware with his heavy baggage and artillery in 1776; and in June 1777 shamefully retreated before him, suffering him conftantly to harafs the British rear from Brunswick to Amboy. And what was yet more abfurd in in military policy, he left this fcene of operations, fo full of advantages to himfelf, and mifchievous to his enemy, in order to draw him into a field more extensive, where none of them existed.

The Major-general further fays, "With the "force Sir William Howe had under his com-"mand, I think, if General Washington had a "wish, it was for him to have gone up the North "River."

This is only matter of opinion, and altogether ill-founded. Washington dreaded the army's passing up the North River. He knew too well the difficulties he must have to encounter in following it. He knew the British army would be transported with eafe, and in a fhort time, by water; and that his own must march over mountains, and through ravines and ftrong defiles; and that he must receive his provisions from the fouthern Colonies. And he alfo knew, that it would deprefs the fpirits of the eaftern militia, prevent them in a good degree from joining Gates, and infallibly fave the northern army. Such being his fixed opinion; when it was suggested to him that Sir William Howe was gone to the Chefapeak, he would not believe it, and contended that the measure was too abfurd to be poffible. Agreeably to this opinion he acted. When Sir William Howe with the fleet failed from the Hook fouthward, Washington moved his army from Quibbletown northward, in order to be more conveniently fituated to follow the

the "ritifh General up the North River. He believed the failing from the Hook to be a feint, and expected each day that he should hear of the General's return, and of his failing with his army towards Albany. And as foon as he heard that the British fleet was at the Capes of Delaware, and not before, he marched fouthward; but upon receiving accounts that the fleet had again flood out to fea, still perfuaded that Sir William Howe could not act fo contrary to reafon and obvious policy, as to go up the Chefapeak to Philadelphia, and that he would yet go to the northward, he returned to his northern post, which he did not leave until it was perfectly afcertained that the British fleet was near the head of Elk. This conduct of the rebel General agreed with his declared fentiments, and proves the reverse of the Major-general's opinion.

Page 62.] " My reason for going by sea fully " set forth in my Narrative, page 16."

The only reafons affigned by the General to his Sovereign, in his letters, were the difficulties he fhould meet with in croffing the Delaware. To thefe I have already replied. Since that letter, he has difcovered a number of others, equally illfounded; and for thefe I am referred to the Narrative.

Page 16.] " To have attacked Washington in " that strong post (Quibbletown), I must necessarily " have made a considerable circuit of the country."

М

The

The utmost distance of this circuit would not have exceeded fifteen miles, about fix miles further than to Hillsborough. Neither Quibbletown nor Hillsborough is ten miles from Brunswick; fo that this confiderable circuit of country might have been performed in one day. A circuit which will bear no comparison with the one he adopted in its stead, and which he took by sea and land, to sight Washington at Brandywine on ground equally strong.

Page 16.] " I did not think it adviseable to " lose so much time as must have been employed in " that march during the intense heat of the season."

The time which would have been loft in that march could not have been more than ten hours; the time wasted in his Chefapeak circuit was three months.

Ibid.] " Exclusive of this confideration, our " return must have been through an exhausted " country, where there was no possibility of keeping " up the communication with Brunswick."

The reader, by this account, may be led to think that Quibbletown is one hundred miles from Brunswick, when in fact it is but ten at most; and the communication might have been as easily kept up with Quibbletown, as with Hillsborough;—and befides, as Sir William Howe had only 11,000 men with him, he might have had as many more to have fecured the communication if he had wanted them.

In myLetters I have afferted, that " in the " midît of victory the ardour of his troops was fup-8 " preffed;" " preffed;" and the General fuppofes, page 62, " that the author alludes to his conduct near the " lines of Brooklyn, and introduces the evidence " of Lord Cornwallis and Major-general Grey " to difprove it." Here the General is miftaken. I did not allude to his conduct at Brooklyn, but at the Brandywine, Gofhen, and at Germantown. Men of the first reputation for candour and integrity at New York declare, that this was alfo the cafe at Brooklyn and the White Plains; but, as I have not treated of the General's conduct at those places, I shall take no further notice of his evidence.

In the Letters, I have faid, " that at Brunfwick, " Lord Cornwallis was upon the heels of the ene-" my; the deftruction of a bridge over the Rari-" ton faved them only for a few hours; their " further fecurity was owing to the orders received " by that nobleman to halt at Brunfwick."

To difprove thefe facts, he again, page 65, refers to the teftimony of Earl Cornwallis. On this teftimony I shall make no remarks; but content myself with only observing, that the facts I have alledged are ratified not only by the universal report of the country, but by the General's own letter of the 20th December 1776, written at the time when the transaction happened, to give just information to his Sovereign, whom he ought not to have deceived, and when the General did not think of his Defence. And therefore I prefume,

M 2

the

the Public will give full credit to it. The words of the letter are:

"In the Jerfeys, upon the approach of the van of Lord Cornwallis's corps to Brunfwick, by a forced march on the first instant, the enemy went off most precipitately to Prince Town; and had they not prevented the passage of the Rariton, by breaking a part of Brunswick bridge, so great was the confusion among them, that their army must inevitably have been cut to pieces."

"My first design extending no further than to get possible fion of East New Jersey, LORD CORNWALLIS "HAD ORDERS NOT TO ADVANCE BEYOND BRUNS-WICK, WHICH OCCASIONED HIM TO DISCONTINUE "HIS PURSUIT."

Here every fact I have alledged, and which the General has denied, ftands fully confeffed by himfelf; and when it is known that the Rariton is fordable at Brunfwick at every recefs of the tide, no man can doubt but the fpirit and activity of his Lordfhip would have led him, had not his orders been--"not to " advance beyond Brunfwick," to have purfued, an enemy thus precipitately " flying," thus ready to be " cut to pieces," and having fo " difficult" a river to pafs as the Delaware.

The advantages which muft have been derived from continuing the purfuit were fo critical, fo momentous, and obvious, that we cannot fuppole that an Officer fo active and enterprifing, and who had purfued his enemy more than 90 miles, reducing their numbers from 18,000 to 3,000 men, would would have difcontinued his purfuit at the moment that enemy had before him all the difficulties of croffing a confiderable river.

Page 67.] Washington's force at this time (when he was followed to Trenton) confisted of 6000 men, exclusive of Lee's corps of 4000; General Washington lost no time in crossing his artillery and heavy baggage over the Delaware at Trenton, before we could move from Brunswick. He also crossed part of his troops, keeping a corps on the east fide to observe our motions.

This paragraph contains three miftakes; one of them, I truft, the General himfelf will confess. He here fays that Washington's force consisted of 6000 In his Narrative, page 8, he acknowledges men. that force to be only 3000 when it attacked Colonel Rhal at Trenton. He also afferts that Lee's corps confifted of 4000 men. In his letter * to the Secretary of State, giving an account of Lee's capture, which happened only a few days before, he fays it confifted of 2000 men. Thefe contradictions in his opinions, at the time he was writing to the Secretary of State, and when he is making his defence before the Public, the reader will be at no loss to account for. However, the truth is, that Washington, by his own returns made on the day before he croffed the Delaware, had no more than 3300; and a number of thefe deferted immediately after. Lee's corps did not

* See his Letter, dated Dec. 20th, 1776.

amount

amount to 1500; and on his capture, many of them deferted ; fo that when Washington made his great, and what many thought would be, his last effort to recover his desperate affairs, he could only bring over against Colonel Rhal 2800 men, ordering Cadwallader with his brigade of 500 men to crofs the Delaware, and to attack Bordentown, where only 80 Heffian grenadiers were left by Colonel Donop. The ice in the Delaware prevented this intended manœuvre. And yet Sir William Howe fuffered Washington with this army, then the whole Continental force of America, to take from him all East and West Jersey, except his posts on the Rariton, and that too at a time when he confesses in his Narrative, page 41, that his " great fucceffes had intimidated the leaders of the " rebellion, and nearly induced a general fubmiffion."

Nor is it a fact, that "Washington lost no time in "croffing his artillery over the Delaware at Tren-"ton before we could move from Brunswick." Washington believed that the British General had no defign of moving his army from East to West New Jersey. Upon what Washington's belief was founded, I know not. But it is certain, that he acted as if he was acquainted with the General's first intentions. That he had, if we may credit his own letters to Congress now in Britain, copies of returns of the British army, is a fact. It is therefore highly probable, this resolution might *inadvertently* transpire through the fame channel. Posses with this belief, Washington ton did not leave Princeton until the British van was within three miles of it. Nor had he prepared boats at Trenton to transport his army over the Delaware. The fame boats which Lord Cornwallis fays, in his teftimony, " he had hopes of " finding at Coryel's Ferry," did not get down to Trenton until late in the fame night that the British army flept at Princeton. And therefore it was, that Washington did not begin to transport his baggage and artillery over the Delaware, until twelve o'clock that night; and could not get his baggage and troops over, until a few minutes before the arrival of the British army *, which had loitered feventeen hours within twelve miles of Trenton. For this confidence of Washington in the indolence of the British General, his principal officers who were not in his fecret councils blamed him. And it was upon this occafion that Weeden, a rebel General, wrote the letter I have mentioned in page 48 of the Letters to a Nobleman, declaring, " that General Howe had " a mortgage on Washington's army for some " time, but had not yet foreclofed it."

It is also a mistake, that Washington " croffed a " part of his troops over the Delaware, keeping a

• See Sir William Howe's Letter, 20th Dec. 1776. The truth is, the laft rebel embarkation had not left the Jerfey flowe when the British van appeared in fight. And a Mr. Samuel Morris, one of the rebel officers, whole fervant was taken, nowle not escape on horfeback, because he could not reach the last boat.

" corps

" corps on the eaft fide to obferve our motions;" no corps was croffed over until the morning of the day on which the British army took up their quarters at Trenton.

Page 66.] " The troops of the left column were " not in their cantonments in the evening of the march " to Princeton until dark, and those of the right " column not till some hours after dark."

How illusive is this ! I have afferted that the army arrived at Princeton at four o'clock in the afternoon. This fact the General does not deny; but to evade it, we are told, when the two columns were fettled in their cantonments. How long it was beforé the two columns were in their cantonments. I know not; perhaps the fame indolence prevailed in cantoning the army as in the other operations. But that both the columns were British troops, perfectly relieved from fatigue by their flay at Brunf. wick, and zealous for action, and either of them fuperior in numbers to the flying and panic-ftruck enemy, are truths that cannot be concealed or evaded. And therefore it is evident, that they eafily might have overtaken, in the course of feventeen hours, the enemy, who were within twelve miles of their quarters, and that in the very act of croffing the Delaware; that river, of which the difficulties in croffing have been fo magnified to ferve another purpofe.

Page 67.] The cause of not marching earlier the following day was, that the enemy had broke down the bridge

bridge which could not be sooner repaired, and rendered fit for the passage of artillery.

This bridge was over a creek which an English hunter would leap with ease. It was within a mile of the British head-quarters, its banks floping to the water's edge with the gentleft declivity, and fordable at the high-road, and in twenty other places within half a mile of it. The bridge itself, which was only used occasionally by the inhabitants on heavy falls of rain, might have been repaired in one hour, and at four o'clock in the morning as well as ten o'clock in the day—there was nothing to prevent it. There are people now in London perfectly acquainted with this formidable bridge and creek.

Ibid.] To account for his not croffing the Delaware after his reduced and panic-firuck enemy, at a time when he had brought the country nearly to a "general fubmiffion," he again refers to Lord Cornwallis's evidence, who tells us, " as the Delaware was " not fordable, and we could get no boats, it was cer-" tainly impracticable."

That the Delaware could not have been paffed at this time without boats or rafts, is true. But the General muft tell us in his rejoinder, why he did not conftruct rafts or pontoons, which might have been done in a few days with the materials in Trenton *. This is a queftion to which the Public

* See Mr. Galloway's Examination, p. 42.

N

has

has a right, and will expect, a fatisfactory, and not an evalue answer; especially as the croffing the Delaware at this time was most critical and momentous, and must have put an immediate end to the rebellion.

At this time, the models of Government in the rebel States were fcarcely formed, and their authority by no means established. Every member of the rebel State of New Jerfey had fled out of the province; and the Congress themselves, with the utmost precipitation, had also fled from Pennfylvania into Maryland. A universal panic, with a defire of immediate fubmission, then prevailed throughout all North America. Washington's army was reduced to 2000 men. The city of Philadelphia was diftant no more than two days eafy march; it was intirely defenceles; 3000 British might have been spared to have taken immediate poffeffion of it; while the other, and by far greater part of the British army, might have been em, ployed in the final destruction of the rebel force and magazines. The British fleet, or such a part of it as might be thought neceffary, with transports loaded with ftores and provisions for the army, might have failed round in one week, and, without a fingle obstruction *, have passed up to Philadelphia, which every circumstance demon-

• At this time Mud Island fort was not built, the chevauxde-frize and chain were not made, nor were their fire-rafts or water-guard in any kind of force.

ftrated

ftrated to be the most comfortable and most proper quarters for the army in winter. Had this meafure, which plain common fenfe fo evidently dictated, been purfued, the reader will determine, whether that country, which the General has incautiously confessed, was, by the previous successes of the British arms, brought " nearly to a general " fubmiffion," would not have ended the rebellion, preferved the Northern army, prevented a war with France and Spain, faved the millions which have been expended, and preferved the nation from those imminent dangers and diffress which lately threatened the Independence of the British nation.

Page 68.] The General again adduces the teftimony of Lord Cornwallis and Sir George Ofborne, to vindicate bis conduct in baving taken Trenton into the chain of cantonments, and for posting the Hessian troops, with the 42d regiment, there and at Bordentown, under the command of Colonel Donop.

Here he attempts to evade the force of the charge against him. I have not cenfured his conduct for " taking Trenton into his chain of can-" tonments." Seeing he would not cross the Delaware, this was a prudent and neceffary measure. It was necessary to cover New Jersey, which he had then conquered, and might have been fecured, had he taken one proper ftep for that purpofe. There is not, therefore, a fentiment in the Letters that can bear this meaning : I have only blamed him,

N 2

him, 1000, for giving the command of his frontier cantonments to foreigners, who did not underftand the language or policy of the country; and 2do, and principally, for leaving the weakeft command, or fewest number of men, next to the main force of the enemy, and the strongest and greatest command where there was no enemy to fear.

The reader will here permit me to refer him to a perulal of Lord Cornwallis's evidence, where he will find, that no fact, which his Lordship afferts, tends to contradict any of the charges in this paragraph; all that he fays, relates to the covering of Trenton, which certainly was judicious and neceffary. But had his Lordship been confulted on the quantum of force which ought to have been left at Trenton, or upon the expedience or propriety of placing foreigners in the frontier pofts, I am confident he would have advifed against either of those measures; and had he been asked by the General, whether the greatest or weakest force ought to have been placed in the frontier cantonments, he would, without any hefitation, have advifed the greateft.

Page 69.] Sir George Osborne, whose evidence I have mentioned, tells us, that, after the missortune of Trenton, Colonel Donop acquainted him, that if Colonel Rhal had executed the orders he had delivered him from Sir William Howe, which were, to erest redoubts at the post of Trenton, that his opinion was, it would have been impossible to have forced Rhal^{*}s Rhal's brigade, before he could come to his affiftance from Bordentown.

If this was the declaration of Colonel-Donop. as we must suppose, inasmuch as a gentleman of Sir George Ofborne's eftablished credit has declared it, it can only convince us, when the real facts are known, of the impropriety and ill policy of placing Heffian commanders in the advanced and most dangerous post, with a command fo weak, to oppose the whole force of the enemy. The truth is, that Colonel Donop, when Trenton was affaulted and taken, was drawn down to Mount Holly, twelve miles diftant from Bordentown, and eighteen from Trenton, with his whole corps, except 80 grenadiers, contrary to the information and advice received from Mr. Galloway. This gentleman told him, that the enemy's force at Mount Holly, which he fuppofed, from the information he had received from a number of the difaffected, who had grofsly imposed on him, to be 3000 men, were no more than 450, men and boys, prevailed on to make a show, and to draw him from his post, while Trenton was attacked. This information the Colonel difregarded; the confequence of which was, that Colonel Donop was not at Bordentown, from whence he could support Colonel Rhal; and therefore Colonel Donop told Sir George what was not a fact, to apologife for his own unmilitary conduct.

6

But

But if the Colonel had received orders to direct Colonel Rhal to fortify Trenton, one would imagine the General had taken the fame precaution in respect to Bordentown. And yet we know that Colonel Donop acted the fame indifcreet and unmilitary part with Colonel Rhal, and indeed worfe; for he left his poft, although equally exposed to the enemy, who had boats to cross their whole force over, to the affault of either post, then Washington was not unmindful of unfortified. these military blunders, and therefore fent his contemptible body of new raifed militia, most of them boys, to draw Colonel Donop from his post, while he fhould attack it, as well as Trenton ; and nothing faved Bordentown, at the time Trenton was taken, but the ice in the Delaware, which prevented a corps of 500 men, under Cadwallader, from paffing that river.

Had Colonels Donop and Rhal received orders from the General to fortify their refpective pofts, is it credible that officers of their rank and experience would have prefumed to difobey them? I fhould think not, when their own fafety and honour depended on their obedience. If Colonel Donop had received fuch orders, it was his duty to have feen them executed; if he did not, why did not the General call him to anfwer for fo great a breach of duty? Why did he afterwards intruft a man, who had tranfgreffed the military law in a point fo important, important, and which had brought difgrace and ruin on the British fervice, with the important command against Red Bank? But there are other questions, to which we may call on the General for explicit answers. Were the orders to Colonel Donop in writing, or not? If they were in writing, why are they not produced? If they were not, they certainly ought to have been, in a matter of fo much confequence. But further. Why did not the General fee that those redoubts were built, before he withdrew his force from Trenton? If they were neceffary at all, they were immediately neceffary. The affaults upon Trenton might have been made the next day after the enemy had left it, as well as the eleventh. The General, with his whole army, remained on the spot, from the 8th to the 14th of December *; and in half of that time the redoubts at both pofts might have been completed, and the fubsequent difgraces and misfortunes, to the British fervice, prevented. The General, therefore, must yet find a better apology for those two blunders; of leaving his frontier posts, which were the most exposed, and in fight of the whole force of the enemy, in a ftate altogether defencelefs, and with the finalleft number of troops of any of his cantonments; blunders that would difgrace the weakeft officer in his army.

* See his Letter to Lord George Germain, of the 20th of December, 1776.

Įbid.]

(96)

Ibid.] Washington, after Lee's corps joined bim, bad never less than 8000 men.

General Lee was taken, on the 12th of December, by Colonel Harcourt, at the head of his corps, near Trenton, on their way to join Wafh-A few days after the fcattered remains of ington. that corps, not confifting of 700 men, joined Washington, who, reinforced by that corps. attacked Trenton; and the General confesses, in his Narrative, page 8, when he intends to throw the blame on Colonel Rhal, for fuffering Trenton to be taken, that " he was credibly informed, that "" the numbers of the enemy did not exceed 2000;" but in his Obfervations, when he has another purpole in view, he afferts, that Washington had never less than 8000 men, after the junction of Lee's corps. The General did not recollect. at the time he made use of this argument, that it proves too much for his own reputation; and that, if it vindicates it in one cafe, it more ftrongly condemns it in another. For if Washington's force " was not lefs than 8000" men, when he left Trenton with only 1200, under Colonel Rhal, in a ftate entirely unfortified, to oppole that 8000, did his military knowledge lead him to believe that the post of Trenton was fafe? Did it justify his not leeing that post in a state of defence, at least for one day, before he left it; before he drew the main British army from it? I with fome reflections, yet more to the General's difadvantage than thole

those I have yet enumerated, may not obtrude themselves upon the mind of the candid enquirer into his conduct. If there were 8000 men within fight of the defenceles post of Trenton, did General Howe intend to facrifice that post to the wicked defigns of a faction, combined against the honour of his Sovereign, and the happiness of his country? Or shall we impute it to his ignorance in military fervice?

But yet even this excufe his friend Major-general Grey will not fuffer us to admit. He declares, page 96, that the "division of the army, "before the battle of Brandywine, was a masterly "movement, deceived the enemy, and brought on "an action with almost certainty of fuccess;" we cannot, therefore, impute fo gross a blunder to ignorance. The reader will ascribe it to another cause.

I have afferted, in page 61 of my Letters, that Washington was encamped at Quibbletown, about nine miles from Brunswick, with fewer than 6000 undifciplined and badly appointed troops, which, with a corps of 2000 men, under General Sullivan at Prince Town, composed his whole force. To this the General answers,

Ibid.] From the intelligence I then had, and which I have not fince had reason to doubt, Washington had not less than 10,000 men in his camp, on the hill above Quibbletown.

The General shews no want of ingenuity in stating his own numbers, and those of his enemy.

In

In treating of the former, he gives us only his effective rank and file, exclusive of officers, an important part of his force; but in fpeaking of the rebel army, he always extends his ideas to its whole force. This is artful, and ingenioufly adapted to miflead men unacquainted with fuch calculation. However, allowing him what he contends for, and fuppofing that he had "11,000 " fighting men," and Washington 10,000, yet the former were veteran troops, inured to victory, and eager for action; and the latter were new raifed and undifciplined, and at least one-half militia *. Was he afraid of attacking Washington with fuch men? If he was, why did he not add to their numbers 11,000 more? His own returns will prove, that the numbers then under his immediate command, were not less than 35,000.

Page 70.] His (Washington's) camp was to the full as inaccessible in the rear as in the front, and an attack upon his right flank (from every account 1 could get) would have been still more hazardous.

The furveyor of the county, who knew the fpot on which Washington was encamped, was at New York when the General proceeded to Hillsborough; he was attending on the army to render his fervices. He had drawn a chart of the roads round Washington's camp; and he communicated

* It will occur to the Reader, that Lord Cornwallis, with lefs than 2000 veteran troops, has lately defeated, and totally routed, 7000.

his

his ideas to General Skinner, who had conftant accefs to the General. He was ordered to hold himfelf in readinefs to attend the army in Jerfey; but he was left at New York, without any notice of its movement to Hillfborough. Of these facts, whenever called upon, he will make folemn affidavit; and further, that Washington's camp was acceffible both in the rear and on the right flank, on higher and more commanding ground.

Ibid.] Washington was certainly induced to believe that my intention was to attack him; and had he not been perfectly satisfied with the strength of his post, he would not have remained so long in it.

It was impoffible that Washington could conceive, from the movement of the British army, that the General intended to attack him. It did not in the leaft indicate fuch defign, but manifeftly the reverse; indeed, it rather discovered a fear in the General, of an attack from the rebel army. Could Washington, when General Howe, with all the appearance of caution and fear, in his whole march from Brunfwick to Hillfborough, and during his ftay at that post, kept the Rariton, an unfordable river, between him and the post of his enemy, a fituation from which he could neither attack nor be attacked, poffibly believe he intended to attack him? It was this unmilitary conduct which encouraged Washington to remain in his camp, becaufe he knew he was fafe while Sir William Howe remained thus posted. Had the General wished

ta

to have induced Washington to believe he intended to bring on an action, there was one obvious and infallible mode of doing it. A march of five or fix miles would have carried the army to Wafhington's right flank or rear. It would then have been posted between Washington and all his refources; it would have cut him off from his magazines of provision, his military stores, and his boats, then lying fome within feventeen, and all within thirty miles of the British post. In this cafe, Washington must have deferted his camp, or starved; and if he had moved, the General might have attacked, or purfued him to his boats, to which the British army would have been many miles nearer than Washington, as he must have taken a confiderable circuit to have reached them, and to have avoided an action, fuppofing it to have been practicable. But inftead of this manœuvre, the General did not move his army towards the Delaware, far enough to induce a belief that he intended either to crofs it, to get in the enemy's rear, or to cut him off from his fupplies.

From page 71 to 96, the General has introduced the testimony of Sir Andrew Snape Hammond, to apologize for not going up the Delaware, when he are rived with his fleet at the Capes of that Bay.

It would be a tedious tafk, and little entertaining to the reader, fhould I travel through all the mistakes contained in this testimony, respecting the the numerous " fhoals, and rapidity of the tides" in the Delaware; the force of Washington at Willmington; the narrowness of the channel at Newcastle; the difficulties of landing the troops, and the great strength of the rebel water-guard.

I will, therefore, content myfelf, because I truft the reader will be fatisfied, with a few brief and general obfervations on the whole. The *[boals* are to be feen in Fisher's chart of the Delaware. The tide does not run two miles and an half in an hour. As to the narrownels of the channel at Newcastle, every skilful mariner, who has failed up the Delaware, knows, that from the Pea-patch below, to Marcus Hook above, that town, a diffance of 20 miles, it is at leaft two miles in width. The ftrength of Washington, at Willmington, was perfectly vifionary; becaufe it is known he was not at that time in Pennfylvania : And there are a number of gentlemen, now in London, who can prove that the fort at Mud Island was in an unfinished and defenceles ftate, and poffeffed by 130 militia only; that the water guard was unprepared and unmanned, and the chain not finished; and that there are a variety of places between the Bite of Newcastle and Marcus Hook, perfectly adapted to the landing of an army with the utmost ease. Of this, Sir Andrew, in his crofs examination, notwithstanding all the imaginary difficulties he had before enumerated, makes a full confession; for, in page 87, he candidly declares, that he " never prese tended to deny the practicability of landing an " army 5

^{ec} army in the Delaware." But, to put this matter beyond difpute, I need only remind the Public, that the fame fleet which Sir William Howe has endeavoured to perfuade us would be in danger from the difficulties in the navigation, and the rebel force, by his own orders, fhortly after, when Washington had possession of the country on both fides, did fail up the fame river *uninjured and unmolested*, and in less than half the time it had taken to fail up the Chefapeak, and Washington's troops were in possession of both banks of the river, when the water-guard was prepared, and in complete force.

Pages 104 and 105 are partly employed in an attempt to prove there was no time lost in stopping the banks of Province Island, to enable the workmen to erest the batteries against Mud Island.

To fupport the charge of neglect, I fhall apply to the General's own declarations; by which it will appear, that the city of Philadelphia was in the General's poffeffion on the 26th of September *, and that the batteries were opened againft Mud Ifland on the 15th of November, exactly seven weeks after +. What were the carpenters and working parties employed in during this time? We are told they were repairing the dykes, and ftopping out the tides. If the engineer employed them in that labour, when he had liberty to pro-

* See Sir William Howe's Letter to Lord George Germain, of the roth of October, 1777.

+ See Observations, p. 105.

cure

cure artifts, he was very absurd. This bulinefs is a particular art, and to be performed only by experienced men. Their wages are from 7. s. 6 d. to 10s. per diem, while the wages of an upland ditcher is only 2 s.; and I have known a mafter artist fent for from Virginia, and paid 150 l. per annum falary. Hence it was that the carpenters and working parties, if they were employed in repairing the dykes, laboured in vain, in a bufinefs that they knew nothing about; but the truth is, they were as fruitlefsly employed in mud and water, to erect the batteries. This occasioned the application to Mr. Galloway, by Lord Cornwallis, who, as is before mentioned, had them repaired in fix days. The number of men employed by him, were upwards of forty.

An attempt is made, in page 106, to vindicate the General's conduct, in not attacking the rebel army at White Marsh. " I bad, fays he, the best " intelligence that the enemy's post was not affailable " in the rear."

The guides who attended the General in this truly ridiculous expedition, and who lived from their infancy on the fpot, and many others, will prove, on oath, that the ground in Washington's rear commanded his camp; and it is not lefs true, that he was prepared, at a moment's notice, of the General's movement towards his rear, for flight. His heavy baggage was fent off toward Skippack, and his light was in readines for a precipitate cipitate movement. Men of undoubted reputation, within his lines at the time, have confirmed these facts.

But the General here again calls to his aid the testimony of Major-general Grey, who fays, " I " think an attack on the enemy, fo very ftrongly " fiturated as they were at White Marsh, would " have been highly imprudent." Did the Majorgeneral ever reconnoitre the rear of Washington's camp? Was he ever on, or near that ground? He does not affert it; and the truth is, he never May he not then have been miftaken in his was. opinion? He in the next page as politively afferts, that the war was carried on, " in the ftrongeft " country in the world, with almost an unani-" mous people to defend it;" and in both of thefe opinions, there are now but few men who do not know that he is grossly miltaken.

I have faid, that the General " fupinely fuf-" fered himfelf to be furprifed at Germantown." To difprove this charge, we are referred to Sir George Ofborne's teftimony; and, when we candidly examine what he has faid on the fubject, we find it rather fupports than difproves it. All that Sir George has faid in favour of the General, is, that he ordered him to move in front of the line of infantry; and told him, he " might " expect the enemy at day-break."

This

This only proves, that the General had fome fufpicions of the enemy's defign; but not that he had, in confequence of that fuspicion, given the neceffary orders to the army, to prepare them for receiving the enemy, and to prevent a furprife. If he really believed he fhould be attacked, he is yet more culpable than I had imagined; for it is evident, from the teftimony of his own witnefs, that no fuch orders were given. Four different queftions were put to Sir George, in order to draw from him his opinion on the furprife of the army; all of which he declined to answer. If he did believe the army was not furprifed, would not his honour, and the justice due to the General, have induced him to have declared his opinion? And, as he declined it, is there not what amounts to the ftrongeft prefumption, that he could not deny it without violating his honour and the truth? But if the General really gave the neceffary orders to the feveral officers of his army to prevent a furprife, all his Aides de Camp, and his Secretary, were in London during the examination of his witneffes before the Committee of the House of Commons. why then did he not prove fuch orders by them ? His honour, his military character demanded it: and yet we find he has prudently avoided to examine them on the fubject.

In the Letters to a Nobleman, page 86 to 89, I have faithfully defcribed the diffreffed fituation of the rebel army at the Valley Forge, and charged

P

thę

the General with a high breach of his duty to his Sovereign, in not attacking or befieging it, and by that means faving his country from all its fubfequent misfortunes. As this is a high charge, the Public will excuse me if I repeat it at large, and then confider his answer.

"Here" (at the Valley Forge) "Washington " lay all the winter and fpring, encountering dif-" ficulties which language can fcarcely defcribe, " His army labouring under bad appointments, " almost in every respect; his troops in a manner " naked, in the most inclement feason of the year, " having no falt provisions, and little falt to eat " with their fresh; often on short allowance in refpect to both; rapidly wafting by ficknefs, that " raged with extreme mortality in all his different " hospitals, and without any of the capital medi-" cines to relieve them. His army was likewife " diminished by constant defertions, in companies " from ten to fifty at a time; hence in three " months his number was reduced to lefs than 4000 men, who could not, with propriety, be " called effectives.

"Washington's army continued in this weak and dangerous state from December till May; while the British troops, who had the best appointments, and were in high health and spirits, I ay in Philadelphia, in a great measure inactive, fuffering the rebels to distress the loyal inhabitants on every side of the British lines, to destroy their ** their mills, feize their grain, their horfes, their ** cattle; imprifon, whip, brand, and kill the ** unhappy people, who, devoted to the caufe of ** their Sovereign, at every rifque, were daily fup-** plying the army, navy, and loyal inhabitants ** within the lines, with every neceffary and luxury ** that the country afforded."

To the charge thus made, with fo many circumftances precifely defined, the General, as upon many other occafions, contents himfelf with making only a general anfwer. He does not prefume to deny one of the facts I have afferted; he does not deny the defcription I have given of the weaknefs of the enemy's camp, and of its lines and redoubts; of its numbers of men; of its truly diffreffed ftate, arifing from the want of comfortable lodgings, of provifions, and of clothing; or of the conftant defertions; and extreme mortality raging among his troops. All thefe facts he gently glides over in filence, and artfully refts his defence on the following naked affertion : That

Page 106.] " The Author's plan of belieging " the enemy at the Valley Forge, is in the higheft " degree abfurd. Had I made a division of the " troops in the manner he proposes, I should have " exposed them to be beaten in detail."

Surely this cannot be deemed a fatisfactory anfwer to those numerous facts, should I fay nothing in reply; however, fatigued as I am with the difagreeable task of refuting to many positive affer-P 2 tions. tions, and fuch numerous misrepresentations, I cannot pass it over in filence. On my reader's account, as well as my own, I will be brief. The reader will fee, in the Appendix, a genuine letter from a Committee of Congress, appointed to examine into the caufes of the diffreffed flate of Washington's army, and fitting at the Valley Forge at the time I have mentioned. It is figned Francis Dana, one of the Committee, in behalf of the reft, directed to the Prefident of the Congress, and indorfed in the hand-writing of Mr. Laurens, the then Prefident, from whole trunk, among other interesting papers, it was taken; the authenticity therefore cannot be disputed. From this letter, it will appear that I have been modeft in my defcription of the diffreffes of Washington's army; I truft I have been fo in every other piece of information, which 1 have, from the best of motives, given my country; and I cannot help acknowledging, that I efteem it a fortunate event, that I am thus juftified in a particular which carried with it a greater degree of improbability than any other that I have communicated to the public.

Having perused this letter, the reader will recollect, that the General had under his immediate command near 20,000 veteran troops; that his enemy had not 3000 men, who could with propriety be called effectives; that these were in a manner destitute of almost every necessary; and that he had not horses to carry off his cannon and military

military ftores. What, then, could prevent the General from marching out with 5000 men, and attacking this enfeebled, fickly, and naked enemy, thus deftitute of provisions? Was he afraid that 5000 veteran Britons would be beaten " in detail" by fuch an enemy? Why, then, did he not take his whole army (as there was at that time no other body of men in arms on the whole continent of America), and attack, or furround, and ftarve him into a furrender, agreeably to the plan I have mentioned in the Letters * ? Could any thing be more practicable ? Did not every fense of military duty, the recent lofs of the Northern army, and the critical flate of affairs at that time in Europe, all urgently prefs him to take this measure? Had this been done, the honour of his country, fhamefully loft at Saratoga, would have been regained; all the valuable artillery, and military ftores of the continent, would have fallen into his hands. The Congress, feeing their whole force taken or difperfed, muft have desponded of further opposition; all America must have submitted; and the Court of France must have feen the folly of its new alliance, and receded from it; and thus the General might have faved his country from all its prefent difficulties, embarrassments, and distreffes.

* See Letters to a Nobleman, p. 89, and the chart, flowing the polition of the rebel army, and of the polits proposed to be taken by the British.

If.

If there was not a want of inclination, why was not this done? The General cannot plead want of perfect knowledge of the defpondency and weak ftate of his enemy. If he wanted charts of Washington's quarters, and his redoubts and defences, feveral of them were brought in to him by men of credit, who took them on the fpor. If he wanted intelligence of the state, position, or movements of the army, he received it conftantly from officers. and other perfons confidential in every department of the enemy's army; befides his conftant intelligence from deferters, fpies, and the people of the country, daily coming into his lines. In fhort, there was no movement, or other material circumftance that happened, but what the General was foon acquainted with. The ftate and condition of the rebel army was as much before him as before Washington himself.

It has been problematical with many, what motives could lead an officer, whofe reputation flood high in the opinion of his Sovereign and country, into all this mifconduct. The humane and charitable impute it to his real ignorance in his own profeffion. But these men have taken only a fuperficial view of the General's actions. His plans of the battle of *Long Island* and *Brandywine*, are irrefiftible proofs that, when he intended to gain an advantage over his enemy, or even to cut off his retreat, he posseffed military judgment fufficient ent to infure it. At both of these places, he knew, that if he had been defeated he must have lost his army. Had his troops been routed at Long Island, he could not have escaped in boats to his ships, when purfued by a victorious enemy. Thus circumstanced, his military abilities were exerted; nor would his manœuvres have difgraced a general of the first abilities; he turned his enemy's left flank, unfufpected, by a circuitous route, and killed and took prifoners one-third of his army. At Brandywine, when he thought his fleet had left him, and he had no fafety but in victory, his measures were equally judicious; he fuddenly, and unperceived, hemmed in the whole rebel army between his two columns and impaffable waters. In short, he was never defeated, nor compelled to retreat; and always fucceeded in every attack he thought proper to make, as far as he chofe to fucceed; knowledge, therefore, could not be wanting, whenever inclination called it into action.

There are others, and but few, who imagine that the war was procraftinated from lucrative views. But from this charge I readily acquit the General. His difpolition is liberal; and his particular friends acknowledge, that the love of money is the leaft of all his passions; and therefore, although he fuffered his favourites, while he was profulely wasting the wealth of the nation by *bis inaction and extravagant demands*, to collect much of

(112)

of it into their own coffers, yet little of it found its way into that of the General.

There are others, who, having carefully examined the conduct of the General in America, and compared it with the proceedings of a wicked faction in Britain, are convinced that the defign of both was the fame; and that the General, inftead of accepting the command with an intention to execute the truft repofed in him by his Sovereign and his country, accepted it by the advice, and with defign to facilitate the wicked purposes, of his confederates in Britain. I fincerely with there were no ground for fuch a conclusion. But there are circumstances fo strong, and actions which speak fo loudly in fupport of it, that, when examined, they will amount to politive proof. Indeed, it is impoffible to trace his conduct, by fair and just arguments, from any other motive.

A private letter from Mr. Samuel Kirk, of Nottingham, one of the General's conflituents and colleagues in faction, with his answer, is before the Public *. Mr. Kirk charges him with a breach of promife, in accepting of the command of the forces about to be fent to America for *suppressing* the rebellion; tells him of the "confusion it had " made among his friends;" gives his reasons against it; and concludes with faying, "I do not

* See the detail and conduct of the American war, and the Appendix to this Reply.

" wifh

" with you may fall, as many do, but I cannot fay " I with fuccefs to the undertaking." To this confidential and truly feditious letter, the General returns an anfwer as confidential. He tells Mr. Kirk, that " he had flattered himfelf he had removed all " thofe prejudices he had entertained against him;" " that he had been highly complimented," upon his accepting the command, by those who are " averfe " to the measures of Administration;" and " intreats " him in particular to fuspend bis judgment, until " the event should prove him unworthy of his sup-" port."

These letters, which were not intended for the public eye, furely furnish us with a clue to the dark and heinous conspiracy of the Faction, with which the General was connected. From them it appears, that, before these men had concerted their plan of opposition, he had pledged his honour to his conftituents, that he would not accept of a command which was to *fupprefs the rebellion*; and that notwithstanding, he was led by fome, we must fuppose, powerful motive, to violate his promise.

What that motive was, is likewife fufficiently evident. It was the advice of the men who were thus " averse to the measures of Administration," whose " compliments" he immediately received on accepting the commission, whose approbation he pleads as an excuse to his friend for his breach of promise, and of whose public reputation he was the constant and careful guardian while in Ame-

Q

rica,

rica *. And it further appears, that after he had received his command, to remove the "prejudices" of his particular friend, who had declared "he "could not with him fuccefs" in *fuppreffing the* rebellion, "to *fufpend his judgment*" on the General's conduct, "until the event fhould prove him "unworthy of his *fupport*." Upon thefe plain

* It is an anecdote as true as it is curious, that, when the General was at Philadelphia, a Loyalift was about to publifh a piece reflecting on the conduct of the Minority in Parliament. The General by fome means heard of it: upon which Mr. Galloway received the following billet from his Secretary:

"Captain M'Kenzie's compliments to Mr. Galloway; the General defires he will be pleafed to enquire into the authority by which Mr. Towne publishes his Evening Post, and to make any regulations he thinks neceffary to *fupprefs political pieces*, which may have an evil tendency, from either of the prefses, as it is binted, that fome of this ftamp are defigned for publication."

Mr. Galloway, engaged in other bufinefs, neglected to perform the duty recommended by this billet, not knowing the immediate urgency nor the extreme importance of it; and the piece was published. The Secretary came down to Mr. Galloway, much vexed, and complained of the Printer. The Printer was fent for by the Secretary, and reprimanded for this beinous offence; and the Author of the piece was told, that the General would not fuffer fuch pieces to be published. This anecdote, however trifling it may feem, fully proves, that the General held himfelf bound to preferve the conduct of the Opposition to his Sovereign's measures, from the rude strictures of the Loyalists within his lines; and, for that purpose, even to make use of the power vested in him by his Majesty ; although that very Opposition was conftantly holding up to the view of the people, the conduct of the fervants of the Crown, and even of Majefty itfelf, in terms the most opprobrious and infulting.

facts

facts the Public will determine, whether there is not fatisfactory proof of a refolution in the General to co operate with the defign of a Faction, who were averfe to that measure, a defign as unconftitutional as it was wicked; and which was nothing lefs than to wreft from their Sovereign his conftitutional right to appoint his confidential and executive fervants (a right which, by the conflictution of the British government, is as firmly established in the Crown, as that of electing representatives in Parliament is fixed in the People); to compel him to turn out the present Administration; and to put his own person, his family, and his crown, into the hands of these confpirators.

To accomplifh this defign, all their powers were to be united and exerted. One great line of conduct was to be adopted; Administration was to be proclaimed the authors of all the national misfortunes; and their measures, however honess, wife, or neceffary to the honour and fafety of the empire, were to be opposed and obstructed in Parliament, and the execution of them defeated, if possible.

Men's actions are the ftrongest proof of their fecret defigns. If we examine the conduct of the Faction in Britain, we find that it has strictly corresponded with *these preconcerted measures*. The American rebellion was an event, which these men thought would furnish them with all the means neceffary to the accomplishment of their defign. They faw it would call for the exertions of Govern-

ment,

ment, and that those exertions would afford a large field for oppofition. The real rebel, who wilhed to overturn the government, and the hungry patriot, whofe luft could only be fatisfied by power and places, united therefore in fostering and fupporting it. And, left the wildom of the rebel colonifts should fail in their plans, the measures of fedition from time to time were concerted, and transmitted, by the Faction in Britain, to their confederates in " The non-importation agreement, the America. " union of the Colonies, and the meeting of a Con-" grefs; a folemn league and covenant, under oath, " not to purchase the manufactures of Great Bri-" tain, and to make an united and invincible stand " against the British Government," were all meafures which originated in Britain, and were adopted in America.

Whilft these fecret intrigues against the State were carrying on with the rebels in America, the measures of Government at home were loaded with the most opprobrious epithets. The steps which were taken to support the dignity and authority of the State over the Colonies, were called a "cruel, "tyrannous, and ruinous fystem of policy." And those which were adopted to subdue the most unjustifiable and obstinate rebellion, were styled "an "unjust and ruinous war." Every engine was in motion, and every feditious scribbler was employed to poison the minds of the people, and to render the measures of Administration odious in the eyes of the nation. Those missing and false-

falfehoods, which they thought would most readily captivate the vulgar, were industriously propagated. The preffes poured forth their pamphlets and occalional pieces, to shew the distressed state of the kingdom, the decrease of its inhabitants, the immenfe debt and poverty of the nation, the want of the refources of war, the impoffibility of raifing the neceffary aids, the lawfulnefs of American opposition, and the injustice and cruelty of the war; which, it was boldly afferted, was intended, by the councils in which their Sovereign immediately prefides, to introduce despotic power in the Colonies. Nor were these doctrines confined to Pamphlets and News-papers. They were the conftant themes of inflammatory declamations in both Houfes of Parliament.

Having, by thefe feditious measures, raifed the popular clamour against Government, and prevailed on a confiderable part of the deluded people to fupport them; having diffracted the councils of the State. and induced them to treat with rebels, and to offer to give up the most effential right of the supreme authority, the right to tax those Colonies which it was bound to protest; they advised their colleagues in fedition in America, to reject the propositions, as " unreasonable and insidious." And these opprobrious epithets were transmitted from Westminfter to Philadelphia, and echoed back from the Congrefs to Weftminster again. And afterwards, when through their private intrigues, they had facrificed the Northern Army, involved their country in a war with 7

with France, thrown the nation into a general defpondency, and compelled Administration to offer to the rebels terms of accommodation, little fhort of independence itself; their object not being as yet fecured, their ambition ungratified, the loaves and fifhes unobtained, and the firmnefs and virtue of their Sovereign not yet conquered, they dreaded the profpect of accommodation and peace with America; and therefore they advised the leaders in rebellion to reject even those terms; affuring them, that Administration could not support the war, and that they must soon grant to them independence. How happy is it for Britain, that these feditious men were miltaken, and that the Congress purfued this foolifh advice! foolifh in respect to the views of Congress, as well as those of the Faction in Britain!

They weakly imagined, that his Majefty, alarmed at the profpect of a war with France, and of the lofs of America, would change his confidential fervants, and receive into his bofom those men who were the fole authors of those diffres; who, when in office, by their feditious counfels, had laid the foundation of the rebellion, and, through its whole progres, had encouraged and supported it; who had enjoyed the first offices of the State; and whose honour, integrity, and abilities, when weighed in the balance, had been found wanting; men who had avowedly opposed every measure which his Majefty had wiscly projected to support the authority of the State, and the independence of the nation. But,

But, finding that his Majefty met all the diffreffest which these conspirators had brought on their country, with a virtuous firmnefs, which baffled their expectations, they determined to proceed to yet more infolent and violent measures. They refolved, in their fecret cabals, to impeach his confidential fervants, and by that means to wreft them from his fervice. Such impeachments were impudently and boldly threatened in the great council of the State. While they were thus bringing their plot to maturity in Britain; while the natural refources of this country were cried down, to the great encouragement of our foreign enemies, and a national defpondency in a manner effected; while the Faction was ftrenuoufly advising, and zealoufly contending, in both Houfes of Parliament, for withdrawing the troops from America, and at the fame time oppofing every meafure which was neceffary for the recovery of the revolted Colonies; their arch-agent, the General, with honourable fidelity (for, in fome men's opinion, there is honour even among the confpirators against the public weal), was taking every flep to procraftinate the war; to plunge the nation yet farther in debt, and a more general defpondency; and to render Administration more odious to the people. We have feen, that, although by his " great fucceffes" obtained in lefs than four months, by only one half of his force, he " had nearly induced a ge-" neral fubmiffion" of the rebels; yet, by his indo-

indolence and inaction, he procrastinated the war during the fpace of fixteen months longer; and left the rebellion in more fpirits than when he began his operations. He fuffered his enemy, with lefs than 3500 men, to reconquer a province which he had lately reduced ;---he fuffered that enemy to befiege his whole army in its quarters ;-he wantonly walted the fealon of military operations, giving his enemy time to recruit their reduced force. By various meafures, he continually depressed the spirit of loyalty, and always declined to avail himfelf of its affiftance. He alternately funk and revived the spirit of rebellion, always taking care not to reduce it. He often met his enfeebled enemy, and as often, with his vaftly fuperior force, retreated before it; and, with an unaccountable verfatility, adopted one plan after another, always choosing that which was most expensive to the nation, and ruinous to the fuccess of his own operations.

In addition to all this, with a ftrict confiftency of defign to wafte the public money—to render the nation tired of the American war, and hopelefs of fuccefs,—and to multiply the difficulties of Government in carrying it on; we have feen him, in proportion as his enemy's ftrength and refources decreafed, conftantly increafe his wanton, unneceffary, and extravagant demands for more force, until, conjunctly with his colleagues in faction at home, he had laid the foundation of a war with France and Spain. This done, he immediately refigned.

That the Faction, or the General, incapable of feeling for the diffress of their country, intended to involve it in a mifchief of fo great a magnitude, while it was embroiled in a war with its Colonies, charity forbids me to determine; although their infatiable luft for power, and thirft for the emoluments of office, with the general tenor of their conduct, would perhaps even justify fuch a decision. However, this is evident, that, upon the General's arrival in Britain, with a large retinue of his confidential friends, who were to be the vindicators of his shameful conduct in America, the Faction received him in their arms, and boldly vindicated his conduct both in and out of the fenate. Their force thus collected, they conceived that their plot was brought to its wished-for maturity. They prepared for, and loudly threatened, impeachments and the block. But, previous to this measure, the whole censure and odium of the miscarriages of the American war, of which they them. felves had been the authors, were to be caft on the fervants of the Crown. To effect this, anonymous charges against the General were carried into Parllament, and his character was to be vindicated in the great councils of the State, and no where elfe. In vain did the officers of Government, to whom he was alone accountable, declare, that they had no accufations against him. Inftead of petitioning their Sovereign for a Courtmartial, the only proper court by which he could

R

be

be tried, they inflituted an unprecedented examination in the House of Commons, under the pretence of vindicating the General, when their real defign was to condemn the condust of Administration, and to prepare the way for their threatened impeachments.

In this examination, they hoped to run alone. For a time they did fo; but at length their fecret defign appearing evident, Administration was called on to vindicate the measures of their Sovereign. Many gentlemen of undoubted reputation, perfectly acquainted with the conduct of the war, and the state of America, were fummoned to give evidence refpecting them. Of this the Faction was apprifed. Only two witneffes were examined. But fuch was the credit and force of their evidence, that the Faction shrunk from the enquiry; the great council of the nation was convinced, that the conduct of Administration, in respect to the American war, flood clearly juffified; and the deep-laid plot of the Faction was totally fruftrated.

Such has been the conduct of the men, who, in exact imitation of their confederates in America, have, by their specious and false clamours for liberty, been feducing their unwary and too credulous country to the brink of ruin! And such are the evils, in which they have, by their cabals, with unabating industry, involved the nation! When will Britons, the most wealthy, the most free, free, and the most happy people on earth, difcern their own good! When will the voice of wisdom teach them to support those measures, and that power, which alone can preferve their freedom and independence among nations! When will they cease to be the instruments of faction, and the unhappy dupes of lawless ambition!

Time has been, when the Princes on the throne have paid no regard to law, and broke over the facred bounds of their happy conflictution; when they have deprived the worthieft men, without law, of their perfonal liberty, and robbed the people of their property; and when they would have extended the prerogative to the utmost bounds of arbitrary power. How different, at this day, is the fituation of Britons! They have a Sovereign on the throne, into whofe heart a wifh never yet entered that interfered with the happiness of his subjects; who never yet received a farthing from his people without their confent; who, inftead of attempting to extend the prerogative, has, of his own accord, given up a part of that prerogative to fecure the rights of his people;-a Sovereign, who, when the diftreffes and neceffities arising from their own folly and feduction, lately compelled them to put unlimited confidence and power into his hands, to fave the capital city of their kingdom from immediate destruction, and the nation itself from ruin, exercifed it with more than parental lenity; and, having complied with the wifnes of the virtuous

parț

part of the nation, and faved his country from confusion and ruin, instantly, with a virtuous generofity, gave it up;-a Sovereign, whole heart-felt with, if we may judge from the whole tenor of his conduct, is, to preferve their conftitution of government inviolate, and to fupport its independence, its dignity and glory among nations; to recover the loft dominions of the State; and to reduce his faithlefs and perfidious enemies to justice; which there can be no doubt of his effecting, if not obstructed by the folly of his people, and the lawless and feditious views of a Faction, which has too long diffracted his councils, and prevented the exertions of his power. And yet too many Britons, fascinated by the specious arts and delufive wiles of those political impostors. are conftantly giving them their fupport, in oppolition to the truly patriot measures of their Sovereign; facrificing their own happinefs at the altar of lawless ambition, and precipitating the most powerful and beautiful fabric of civil liberty remaining on the globe, to its final ruin.

APPEN-

A P P E N D I X.

No. I.

To Lieutenant General Sir WILLIAM Howe, K. B.

SIR,

I AVING, in the preceding fheets, travelled in much hafte through your laboured Defence, permit me to pass from the difagreeable, though too often neceffary, office of an accufer, to that of vindicating the accufed. I hoped, that, as a gentleman, you would have followed, in your Obfervations, the example I had fet you in my Letters; in which, with as much delicacy of language as truth would poffibly admit, I had confined my ftrictures to your " professional conduct," without fuffering one fyllable of perfonal abufe, or one hint at the defects in your private moral character, however fair the mark, to escape from my pen. But in this hope, on your own account, I am forry to fay, I am difappointed. Confidering Mr.-Galloway as the Author of "Letters to a Nobleman;" and wifhing, by defaming his perfonal character, to leffen his credit; and that the impositions on the Public,

Public, in your Defence, might more readily pafs for truths; you defert the field of decent and manly argument, and take a mean refuge under the abufe of his private reputation. A conduct of this kind can need no comment; it can have no weight with a candid and fenfible Public; it is the ufual practice of the guilty, and the common weapon made ufe of to wound the innocent.

You do not venture to accule him of, although you ftrongly infinuate his difloyalty :--You deny his influence in the province he lived in :--You boldly charge him with giving you falfe intelligence; and you meanly condefcend to boaft of your liberality towards him. Now, although no man can perceive what relation these matters can posfibly have to a vindication of your " professional " conduct," I shall, on Mr. Galloway's account, examine them.

To give a gloss to your infinuations refpecting his difloyalty, you fay: "This gentleman, in the "beginning of the rebellion, was elected a Mem-"ber of Congress." What, Sir, does this prove to your purpole? It is well known to the Public, that many gentlemen, who had before, and have fince, given the most demonstrative proofs of their loyalty, were elected by the then constitutional Affemblies, and fent to the first Congress, with the most laudable and loyal defigns, — to accommodate the alarming controversy, to establish a more more permanent union between the two countries, and to ftop the rifing fedition. This was exactly the circumftance of that gentleman, who refused the delegation on any other terms *. His Inftructions are long fince before the Public, and prove the fact; and it is known to many gentlemen now in London, from Pennfylvania, that, while in Congress, he faithfully pursued those Instructions +, uniformly exerting his influence and abilities to carry them into execution. That he, boldly, and unawed by the dangers which threatened his perfon, in the tumults of riot and faction which he was oppofing, reprobated and condemned every measure which tended to fedition, and a feparation of the two countries. That when he found he could not ftem the torrent of rebellion, he returned to the Affembly; and there again, as the ultimate measure he could purfue, to fave the province he lived in, he refolutely exerted his influence to induce them to difapprove of the measures of Congress, and totally to fecede from all connexion with it. That having failed in this measure, on the question, by one vote only, he was again elected a Member of the fecond Congrefs, contrary to his own folemn and repeated refufals to ferve. That he continued thus elected until long after that Congress met; but as he did

not

^{*} See Mr. Galloway's Examination, p. 47, &c.

⁺ See Appendix to Letters to a Nobleman.

not attend, another was elected in his room. And yet fuch was the earnest defire of the Congress to obtain his influence and concurrence in their. measures, that Doctor Franklin came up to his feat in the country, to which he had retired, to folicit his union with them, and offered to procure his immediate re-election; all which he refolutely refused. And afterwards, that, although his life was repeatedly threatened by the independent faction, and while his friends trembled for his fafety, he, unawed by his danger, condemned in his publications the measures of Congress, and charged them with views of independence and treafon, at a time when they publicly difavowed them. Could it be poffible for the candour of the Public to afk for further proof of this gentleman's uniform fidelity to his Sovereign, and attachment to the legal conftitution of his country; his having abandoned a very valuable effate, and facrificed the independent happinels of his family to those principles, must certainly be that proof.

But you further add, "When my Brother and "I, in the character of his Majefty's Commif-"fioners for reftoring peace, published a procla-"mation of indemnity, for all those who had taken "part in the rebellion, provided they should fur-"render themselves, and subscribe a declaration "of allegiance within a limited time, Mr. Gal-"loway was among the first who came over to us "from PHILADELPHIA;" thus intimating that he * had taken part in the rebellion, and came over to you to take the benefit of the pardon offered by the proclamation. Now, Sir, although this is all invention, I do not fufpect it is your own. I with. for the fake of your own character, to believe it to be that of your venal dependant, whom you have long fince amply rewarded for writing your Vindication; for you know you was not, where you ought to have been, with your army at Brunfwick, when Mr. Galloway came over to it, but in New York; and, fhould I defcend to follow your example, of attacking private reputation, I could, perhaps, inform the Public what allurement led you thither. However, as this is a practice of which I difapprove, I shall not adopt it, although your own conduct has justified it. But, Sir, the real truth is-Your proclamation is dated 30th November, and was not published within your own lines at Brunfwick, in New Jerley, near 60 miles diftant from Philadelphia, from whence you fay Mr. Galloway came, until the day following; and on this very day, early in the morning, he was within your lines, with General Vaughan, in Brunswick. Driven from his family, by an order of the Convention at Philadelphia for the imprifonment of his perfon, he left Pennfylvania on the 28th, two days before the date of vour proclamation, and eleven days before one of them was fent to the province he left; for you may recollect, that those proclamations were not fent to Pennsylvania

vania until after your arrival at Trenton, on the 8th of December, when your Aid de Camp requefted Mr. Galloway to fend fifty of them to Philadelphia; which he accordingly did, by a perfon on whom he could depend. I have mentioned General Vaughan, who, I have no doubt, will recollect the time of Mr. Galloway's coming into Brunfwick, and that he was the first who shewed to him the proclamation. Thus, Sir, you will perceive into what a dilemma you have brought yourfelf, by trufting to the invention of one who was with you at New York, and could know nothing about the time when Mr. Galloway came over to your lines. But, if you really thought Mr. Galloway " had taken part in the rebellion," why did you afterwards appoint him to fo many places of high truft and importance in his Majefty's fervice, giving him an opportunity daily to betray it? How can you account for a conduct, fo inconfiftent with your manifest duty, either to your Sovereign or Country?

You next meanly descend to mention your liberality to Mr. Galloway. Mean, indeed, it will appear, when that gentleman's fervices and facrifices are confidered, had it flowed from your private purse; and yet meaner still, when it is known you paid it out of the public money. And how much did this profuse liberality amount to? No more than 770 l. in which the wages of a clerk are * included, included, by your own account, for fervices performed during 18 months.

And what were the fervices he performed? He acted as Superintendant of the Police, which he digested, regulated, and established, at your request. In this office was included the prefervation of the order, internal peace, and fafety of the first city in America. He ferved you as Superintendant of the Port; an office established to receive an account of all the cargoes imported for the use of your army, and the people within your lines, and to prevent their being clandestinely carried to the enemy. He ferved you as Superintendant of the prohibited Articles. In this office the utmost care and attention was necessary, to prevent the enemy from being fupplied with them. He alfo fuperintended every avenue of your lines, and nightly received the reports of perfons appointed to attend them. He was conftantly employed by you, from the time of your arrival at the head of Elk, to the day of your refignation, in obtaining for you intelligence of the ftate and movements of the enemy; and gained more important and better intelligence for lefs than 500 l. than you paid for at New York, as your friends, confessed, upwards of 5000 l. He was often applied to by the Commiffaries and Quarter-masters, for his advice and affiftance in procuring forage and provisions for your army. He was inceffantly called on to furnish you with guides and S 2 horfes

horses for your parties. He raised a troop of light horfe, and embodied eighty loyal volunteers, who ferved without pay or clothing ; performing, under his own direction, those many and uncommon fervices mentioned in a note of his Examination, page 80. He alfo furnished you with many maps, delineating the roads for the march of your army; and a principal one, with all the roads between the Delaware and the Sufguehannah, either drawn by himfelf, or under his immediate direction; with a variety of other fervices, totally independent of his public offices; which, had they been done by your favourite officers, would have cost you ten times the amount of the whole fum of your profuse liberality to him. Such are the services of Mr. Galloway, which you have not had the honour to mention. Your liberality, and those fervices, are now before the Public, to whole candid reflections both are fubmitted. I shall only remark, that, had you dealt out the public money, committed to your charge, with the fame æconomy to your favourites, as you did to this gentleman, we should not have seen to many American Nabobs rolling in wealth, and luxurioufly living on the fpoils of their country, as have lately returned from America.

In respect to Mr. Galloway's popularity in the provinces in which he had lived, it is too well afcertained by a variety of facts too notorious to be affected by your negation; but as the charge of of his want of influence does not injure his private and moral character, I shall fay no more on that subject.

Of a very different nature is your next and laft charge. You fay, You " at first paid attention to " his opinions, and relied upon him for procuring "you fecret intelligence; but you afterwards " found your confidence misplaced; his ideas you " difcovered to be vifionary; and his intelligence " was either ill-founded, or fo frequently exagge-" rated, that it would not have been fafe to act " upon it." If these affertions be truths, why did you continue conftantly to employ him in the line of intelligence, to the day of your refignation ? Why was your Aid de Camp almost daily coming down from you to him, defiring him to fend out for intelligence? Why did you not altogether rely on your "other channels of fecret communication?" How unaccountable then must it appear to men of fense, that you should be fo weak as to continue to trust a person, whose " ideas you had discovered to " be vifionary," and whole " intelligence to be " ill-founded, exaggerated," and falle?

But you further add, " Having once detected " him in fending me a piece of intelligence from a " perfon, who afterwards, upon examination, " gave a very different account of the matter, I " immediately changed the channel of fecret com-" munication, and, in future, confidered Mr. " Galloway as a nugatory informer." How dark and

and unmanly is this charge! Against charges fo general, fo perfectly undefined, and fo artfully made, it is impossible for the most innocent perfon to vindicate himfelf; for you have prudently avoided either mentioning the perfon who " gave " a very different account of the matter," or the matter itself. Can you believe, that this stab in the dark, at a private character, will not be condemned by the candour and good fense of the Public? It will foon, Sir, appear, that, to the laft hour of your command, you entertained a high opinion of Mr. Galloway's honour and probity. Did you at the time, or during your command in America, give him the least hint of your suspecting the intelligence he fent you? Had you done this, he would, in all probability, have convinced you that he did not deferve your fuspicion, if, in reality, you ever entertained one; he might have convinced you of his having received the intelligence from the perfon who denied it, and that this perfon had deceived you. This would not, Sir, have been the only inftance in which you were deceived. One I will beg leave to remind you of, in which your favours and confidence were totally misplaced. Mr. Willing, and his partner Mr. Morris, had been, from the beginning of the rebellion, the agents of the Congress for supplying their naval and military ftores. Their difaffection to their Sovereign, and their rebellious principles, were proved by a number of letters, intercepted by your

your Noble Brother; and therefore Mr. Galloway called on Mr. Willing in Philadelphia, by your express order, to take the oaths of allegiance; and although he refused, yet he found so much favour in your sight, as to obtain a countermand of that order, and a dispensation from taking the oath; and even after this, you made him and his flourbroker, Mr. Brown, your confidential hegotiators with the Members of the Congress. The rebel records will support this truth; and further, that both Mr. Willing and his notable broker deceived and betrayed you.

However, dark and infidious as this charge is, it is fortunate for Mr. Galloway, that there is proof abundantly fufficient to convince the unprejudiced, that all you have afferted refpecting his difloyalty, his unpopularity, and deception, is of recent invention, and had no existence in your mind when you left America; it is proof which you yourfelf will not deny the credit of, being no lefs than the testimony of Sir William Howe himfelf, under his own hand, and the feal of his arms.

Six days only before you left Philadelphia, impreffed with the faithful fervices of Mr. Galloway, you not only warmly recommended him to the attention of your fucceffor, but wrote to him the following letter :

SIR,

(136)

"S I R; Philadelphia, May 18, 1778. "T H E falutary effects of the regulations in the establishment of the police in this city, have fo fully justified my choice of the gentlemen in whose hands I placed the *important trust*, that I cannot, either as a public or private man, withhold this testimony of my sense of their services; and I beg, that, to the general respect paid you, as an upright, able magistrate, and friend to the legal constitution of your country, I may be permitted the honour of adding my particular assurance of the great personal esteem with which I am, SIR,

Your most obedient,

humble fervant,

W. Howe?"

Joseph Galloway, Esquire.

Now, Sir, permit me to afk :--If Mr. Galloway was difloyal, how could you give him your teftimony that he was " a friend to the legal conftitu-" tion of his country?" If he was unpopular, how could he poffers " the general refpect as an upright " magistrate?" If he had deceived you, in giving you false intelligence, why could you not very justly, " either as a public or private man, withhold " your fense of his fervices?" And, if he was unworthy of your confidence, how unworthy was it in Sir William Howe to give him particular affurances of his great personal esteem? These are paradoxes which we must leave to be unfolded in your your next attempt to vindicate your conduct in the American war.

Such was your opinion of Mr. Galloway when you left America, and fuch it continued to be for fome time in England; for you was the first gentleman, your own and your Noble Brother's Secretaries excepted, who paid him the honour of a vifit on his arrival in London. Nor was it changed the day before his examination in the Houle of Commons, on the conduct of the American war; for you well remember, that, on that day, your Noble Brother, who was pleading in your defence, and therefore we may presume spoke your sentiments, delivered, when Mr. Galloway was prefent, an high, though fulfome panegyric on his honour and integrity. But how changeable and uncertain are the good opinions of men! Mr. Galloway being examined, the film which had before inverted his Lordship's optics, and represented Mr. Galloway as a man of integrity, became fuddenly removed; and from that inftant he flood metamorphofed from an honourable man into " Shake-" fpear's apothecary ;" and now, by the fame magical influence, you have transformed him from a man worthy of " general respect as an upright " magistrate," into one of no popularity; from an upright man, into a deceiver ; and from a " friend to " the legal conflitution of his country," into a rebel. November 10, 1780,

THE AUTHOR.

No.

(138)

No. II.

Copy of a Letter from SAMUEL KIRK, Grocer, in Nottingham, to General Howe.

SIR,

CANNOT eafily defcribe the difcontent and difappointment which appears among a very great number of your conftituents here, on account of your having accepted a *command in the expedition againft our American brethren*. From the opinion I had of your integrity in general, I voted for you at the late election, notwithftanding you had, in fome recent inftances, acted contrary to my fentiments. I took the liberty to tell you fo, and afked you the following queftions, viz.

Whether you thought our whole army would not be infufficient to conquer America?

If you did not think the Ministry had pushed this matter too far?

Whether, if you should be appointed to a command, you would refuse? And,

Whether you would vote for the repeal of the four Acts of Parliament, which you are now going to enforce?

If I am not mistaken, and I believe that you will allow that I am not, you answered to every one of these Queries in the assurative. This, out of pure regard to your interest here, I have made known known to *numbers*, who were in the fame flate of fufpenfe with myfelf, as to the propriety of our conduct at the election; and it has ferved to remove, in a great measure, the ill *impreffions*, by which you yourfelf was very fenfibly affected while among us.

We are however affured, that General Howe is preparing to embark for America to enforce the Atts. Judge, if you can, the confusion this occasions among your friends. The most plausible excuse that is made among us, is, that the King sent for you, and what could you do?

Now I must beg leave to fay, that I think you might have acted the part of a great man, in refufing to go against this people on many accounts. But to fay nothing of politics, your Brother died there. They have shewn their gratitude to your name and family, by crecting a monument to him, who bled in the caufe of freedom amongst them; to him, who dared to act in opposition to a Court, when his judgment informed him his opposition was right; and yet he died a foldier. Our paffions were wrought upon at the election by the mention of his honoured name, in a paper which you may perhaps remember; and may I not mention it to you, with a wifh that you may follow fo amiable, fo difinterefted, fo revered a character? I believe you have not even an enemy, who would impute your refufing to go, to want of courage; nay, your courage would be made more conspicuous by the refusal.

T 2

If

If you fhould refolve, at all events, to go, I don't with you may fall, as many do; but I cannot fay I wifh fuccefs to the undertaking. Thefe, Sir, are the fentiments of many here, as well as of

Nottingham, Your obedient fervant, Feb. 10, 1775. SAMUEL KIRK.

General Howe to Mr. KIRK.

SIR,

I HAVE read your letter of the 10th, with fo much the greater degree of concern, as I had flattered myfelf I had removed all those prejudices you had entertained against me, when I had the pleafure of being with you at the election. The rancour and malice of those who were not my friends at the election, fill me with aftonishment at the instance you mention of their wishes for my fall in America.

My going thither was not my feeking. I was ordered, and could not refuse, without incurring the odious name of backwardness to ferve my country in distress.—So contrary are men's opinions bere, to fome with you, that, instead of the groffest abuse, I have been most bighly complimented upon the occasion, by those who are even averse to the meafures of Administration.

Every man's private feelings ought to give way to the fervice of the Public at all times; but particularly, when of that *delicate nature* in which our affairs ftand at prefent. Whatever opprobrious names I may be called at Nottingham, I am en-8 couraged couraged to fay, that no fuch epithets will be put on it in any other quarter. I intreat you in particular, to fuspend your judgment in those matters, until the event proves me unworthy of your support.

One word for America: You are deceived, if you fuppofe there are not many loyal and peaceable fubjects in that country. I may fafely affert, that the infurgents are very few, in comparison of the whole people.

There are certainly those who do not agree to a taxation from hence, but who do not wish to sever themselves from the supremacy of this country. This last set of men, I should hope, by their being relieved from *the grievance*, will most readily return to all due obedience to the laws.

With respect to the few, who, I am told, defire to separate themselves from the Mother Country, I trust, when they find they are not supported in their frantic ideas by the more moderate, which I have described, they will, from fear of punishment, subside to the laws.

With regard to trade, this country must now fix the foundation of its stability with America, by procuring a lasting obedience to our laws, without which it can never arrive at that permanency fo abfolutely requisite for the well being of this empire. I am, SIR,

Your faithful and

Queen Street, obedient Servant, Feb. 21, 1775. WILLIAM HOWE.

(142)

No. III.

A Letter from the Committee of Congress to the President, found among the Papers of HENRY LAURENS, E/g.

Camp at Valley Forge, Feb. 12, 1778. SIR. TATE had flattered ourselves, that, before this time, the pleafure of Congress would be made known to us, respecting the Quarter-master's We fear our letter upon this fubject department. has mifcarried, or the confideration of it yielded to other business. You will therefore pardon us, Sir, when we again folicit your attention to it, as an object of the last importance; on which not only the future success of your arms, but the present existence of your army, immediately depend. The influence of this office is fo diffusive through every part of your military fyftem, that neither the wifdom of arrangement, the spirit of enterprise, or favourable opportunity, will be of any avail, if this great wheel in the machine ftops, or moves heavily. We find ourfelves embarraffed in entering on this fubject, left a bare recital of facts fhould carry an imputation (which we do not intend) on those gentlemen who have lately conducted it. We are fenfible,

fenfible, great and just allowances are to be made. for the peculiarity of their fituation, and we are perhaps not fully acquainted with all their difficulties. It is our duty, Sir, to inform you it is not our intention to cenfure; and be affured, nothing but a fenfe of the obligation we are under, to postpone all other confiderations to the public fafety, could induce us to perform the unpleafing tafk .----We find, Sir, the property of the continent difperfed over the whole country; not an encampment, route of the army, or confiderable road, but abounds with waggons, left to the mercy of the weather, and the will of the inhabitants; large quantity of intrenching tools have, in like manner, been left in various hands, under no other fecurity that we can learn, than the honefty of those who have them in poffession. Not less than 3000 spades and shovels, and the like number of tomahawks, have been lately difcovered and collected in the vicinity of the camp, by an order from one of the general officers. In the fame way, a quantity of tents and tent cloth, after having lain a whole fummer in a farmer's barn, and unknown to the officer of the department, was lately difcovered, and brought to camp by a fpecial order from the General.-From these instances, we presume there may be many other stores yet unknown and uncollected, which require immediate care and attention.

When,

When, in compliance with the expectations of Congress, and the wishes of the country, the army was thrown into huts, inftead of retiring to more distant and convenient quarters, the troops justly expected every comfort which the furrounding country could afford. Among these, a providential care in the article of straw, would probably have faved the lives of many of your brave foldiers, who have now paid the great debt of nature. Unprovided with this, or materials to raise them from the cold and wet earth, fickness and mortality have spread through their quarters in an aftonishing degree. Notwithstanding the diligence of the physicians and furgeons, of whom we hear no complaint, the fick and dead lift has increased one-third in the last week's returns, which was one third greater than the week preceding; and, from the present inclement weather, will probably increase in a much greater proportion.-Nothing, Sir, can equal their sufferings, except the patience and fortitude with which the faithful part of the army endure them. Those of a different chan ratter desert in considerable numbers.

We must also observe, that a number of the troops have now some time been prepared for inoculation; but the operation must be delayed, for want of this and other necessaries within the prowidence of this department. We need not point out the fatal consequences of this delay in forming a new army, or the prefervation of this.——Almost every every day furnishes instances of the small pox in the natural way. Hitherto such vigilance and care has been used, that the contagion has not spread; but such is highly incumbent upon us, if possible, to annihilate the danger.

We need not point out the effect this circumftance will have upon the new draughted troops, if not carefully guarded; they are too obvious to need enumeration. In conference with the Foragemafter on this fubject (which, though in appearance trivial, is really important), he acquainted us, that, though out of his line, he would have procured it, if waggons could have been furnished him for that purpose.

The want of borses and waggons for the ordinary as well as extraordinary occasions of the army, preffes upon us, if poffible, with equal force; almost every fpecies of camp transportation is now performed by men, who, without a murmur, patiently yoke themfelves to little carriages of their own making, or load their wood and provisions on their backs -Should the enemy, encouraged by the growing weakness of your troops, be led to make a successful impression upon your camp, your artillery would now undoubtedly fall into their hands, for want of horses to remove it .- But thefe are fmaller and tolerable evils, when compared with the imminent danger of your troops, perishing with famine, or dispersing in search of food. The Commiffaries, in addition to their supplies of live TT

live cattle, which are precarious, have found a quantity of pork in New Jerfey, of which, by a failure of waggons, not one barrel has reached the camp.

The orders were given for that purpose as early as the 4th of January .- In yesterday's conference with the General, he informed us, that fome Brigades had been four days without meat; and that even the common soldiers had been at his quarters to make known their wants .- At prefent, Sir, there is not one gentleman of any rank in this department, though the duties of the office require a conftant and unremitted attention. In whatever view, therefore, the object prefents itfelf, we truft you will difcern, that the most effential interests are connected with it. The feason of preparation for next campaign, is paffing fwiftly away. Be affored, Sir, that its operations will be ineffectual, either for offence or protettion, if an arrangement is not immediately made, and the most vigorous exertions used to procure the neceffary fupplies .- Permit us to fay, that a moment's time should not be lost in placing a man of approved abilities and extensive capacity at the head of the department, who will reftore it to fome degree of regularity and order; whole provident care will immediately relieve the prefent wants of the army, and extend itfelf to those which must be fatisfied, before we can expect vigour, enterprife, or fuccefs .- When your Committee reflect upon the 8 / increafed

increased difficulties of procuring waggons, horses, tents, and the numerous train of articles dependent on this office, without which your army cannot even move; they feel the greatest anxiety, left the utmost skill, diligence, and address, will prove ineffectual to Satisfy the growing demand. All other confiderations vanish before this object; and we most earnestly wifh, Congress may be impressed in a proper degree with its necessity and importance.

A report has reached us, that Col. Lutterlogh is a candidate for the office of Quarter-mafter General; we have therefore been led to make fome inquiry into his character and conduct .--- We should be far from doing injustice to his abilities and experience in a fubordinate line; but, exclutive of the danger of entrufting fo confidential an office to a firanger, whofe attachment to this country must be light and transient, and whose interest may be fo eafily diffinguished from ours, we cannot find that he poffeffes talents or activity equal to this important office .- We find, in the course of the campaign, neceffary tools and ftores have often been wanting; important and fealonable movements of the army delayed; in fome inftances, wholly frustrated; and favourable opportunities The rapid marches of our army, and unforeseen disasters which attended it during the summer season, partly 5.4

U.2

partly claim fome allowances; but that diforder and confusion prevail through the department, which requires fome able hand to reform and reduce it to a certain and melancholy truth.

Unacquainted with the refolution of Congress with refpect to General Schuyler, we have hefitated what further to propofe. Time is fo extremely precarious, that we are unwilling to lofe a fingle unneceffary moment; and have therefore been induced to extend our views to the difapprobation of this gentleman, and make fome provision for that event. A character has prefented itfelf, which, in a great degree, meets our approbation, judgment, and wifhes .- We have opened the fubject to him, and it is now under his confideration. When we are at liberty, we fhall introduce him to your notice; but delicacy forbids our doing it, until he has made up his mind on the fubject, and given his confent to the nomination .--- Another gentleman of extenfive connexions, great activity, and comprehenfive genius, but intirely in civil life, has also been proposed. As he is at a distance, we have not been able to confult him; and are reftrained, by fimilar motives of delicacy, from making his character and name a subject of discuffion, without his confent.

By the time we are favoured with the determination respecting General Schuyler, and he should not (149) not be approved, we hope to be able to announce both these gentlemen for your confideration.

We are, with the greatest regard and respect;

SIR,

Your most obedient, and very humble fervants,

(THE COMMITTEE.)

Signed FRA. DANA.

To the President of Congress.

FINIS.

Lately published,

By G. WILKIE, No. 71, St. Paul's Church Yard,

- I. The EXAMINATION of JOSEPH GALLOWAY, Efq; late Speaker of the Affembly of Pennfylvania, before the House of Commons, in a Committee on the American Papers. With Explanatory Notes. Second Edition. Octavo. Price 2 s.
- II. LETTERS to a NOBLEMAN, on the Strength and Practicability of the Middle Colonies, in respect to Military Operations; on the Disposition of the People in general of the Revolted Colonies; and of the Conduct of the War in the Colonies of New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. With a View of the British and Rebel Force operating in the Middle Colonies in the Years 1776, 1777, and 1778. Illustrated with a Plan of the Operations of the British and Rebel Armies, in the Campaign 1777, and a Plan of Mud-Island Fort, and its Environs. The Fourth Edition. Octavo. Price 2 s.
- III. PLAIN TRUTH: Or, a LETTER to the Author of DISPASSIONATE THOUGHTS on the AMERICAN WAR. In which the Principles and Arguments of that Author are refuted, and the Neceffity of carrying on that War clearly demonstrated. Octavo. Price I s. 6 d.
- IV. COOL THOUGHTS on the Confequences to GREAT BRITAIN OF AMERICAN INDEPENDENCE. On the Expence of GREAT BRITAIN in the Settlement and Defence of the AMERICAN COLONIES. On the Value and Importance of the AMERICAN COLO-NIES and the WEST INDIES to the BRITISH EMPIRE. Octavo. Price 1 s,

BOOKS published by G. WILKIE.

- V. HISTORICAL and POLITICAL REFLEC-TIONS on the Rife and Progress of the AMERICAN REBELLION: In which the Causes of that Rebellion are pointed out, and the Policy and Necessity of offering to the AMERICANS a System of Government, founded in the Principles of the British Constitution, are clearly demonstrated. Octavo. Price 3s.
- VI. A CANDID EXAMINATION of the Mutual Claims of GREAT-BRITAIN, and the COLONIES: With a Plan of Accommodation, on Conflictutional Principles. Octavo. 2 s.