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## PREFACE.

THE very flattering Encouragement the Public have been pleafed to give to my Abridgement of Bruce's Travels, has induced me to prefent them with every Thing curious in the Fragments on Phyfiognomy, written in the German Language by J. C. Lavater.

There is undoubtedly no Subject in the Science of Natural Hiftory more curious, entertaining, and inftructive, to the human Race in general, than that which refpects the Variety of Complexion and Figure among Mankind. Though much has been written to point out the Sources from whence thefe Varieties arife, and to inveftigate the Caufes which certainly produce them, yet hitherto but little accurate Information has been derived from the moft arduous and laborious Refearches of the firft abftract Philofophers of the Age.

The fame thing has happened to Phyfiognomy as to Aftronomy. They have both been degraded and difgraced by the Intrigues and Artifices of interefted Knavery. The firft

A has
has been connected to Palmiftry, by a notorious Set of dufky Impoftors, who, roving up and down in the World, have made a Prey of every credulous Perfon they could meet with; and the other has been traveftied into the Art of divining future Events. Hence have arifen Conjurors, the moft notorious of which, combining the Whole together, have not only found Admirers in the lefs informed Ages of the World, but are even daring enough yet, at the latter End of the eighteenth Century, to hold up their Arguments in Defiance of experimental Philofophy.

Confufed and fophifticated with Falfehoods, termed occult Reafonings, the noble Science of Phyfiognomy has been neglected for near a Century, and deemed by the Judicious a mere farcical Contrivance to fleese the Pockets, and difturb the Brains of the Unwary. Thus even thofe, who have fufpected there might be fome rational Grounds to build Hypothefis upon, have been fearful of venturing to appear even in the flender Form of an Eflay.

From an accurate Survey of all that has hitherto- been written upon this fubject by the fobereft Authors of the preceding Age, it will appear, that very little Knowledge of Man has been derived; and the Falfehoods and Errors, with which their Writings abound,
abound, are daily becoming more evident. Thofe Syftems which were eftablifhed on Authorities fo extremely weak, are now falling into that Contempt and Neglect, which muft neceffarily await every Mode of Reafoning, whofe Axioms are not founded on obvious and derivative Facts, and fupported by phyfical Caufes.

The noble Ardour for difcovering and inveftigating the Connection between the inward and outward Operations of Nature in Man has very lately given Rife, in a neighbouring Nation, to a fplendid and expenfive Work, an Epitome of which is here offered to the Public, arranged, I hope I may fay without Prefumption, with more Order and Method, and divefted of the numerous Repetitions, which the worthy and amiable, but too often rhapfodical, Lavater, in the Warmth of a difinterefted Love of Mankind, introduces at every Turn.

In the prefent State of our Knowledge, a fyftematical View of the phyfiognomonical Science can hardly be expected: a Collection of Obfervations, arranged but with little Attention to Method, is all the indur-' trious M. Lavater promifes, and all we can reafonably expect. However, he furnifhes us with an Inftance, how much may be accomplifhed, even by an Individual, in a Sub. . ject replete with Difficulties, when Genius
and Judgement are aided by Latour, and when the Object is purfued with a fleady Regard to Truth and Veracity. However, it is not my Intention to enter into any Panegyric upon the Labours of M. Lavater: the Public will ever judge for themfelves, and pay the Tribute of Applaufe where it is due.

To preferve the Spirit of Lavater's Reafoning, infpire the Enthufiafm of his Feelings and the Sublimity of his Conceptions, has been the Endeavour of the Editor of the prefent Volume, within the fmall Compafs of which, he flatters himfelf, he has concentered, as in a Focus, all the Difcoveries and Truths contained in the original Work.
S. SHAW.
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## PHYSIOGNOMY.

C HAP I.

## FNTRODUCTION.

Pryyfognomy a Science.-The Truth of Pbyfognom my.-The Advantages of Pbyfognomy.-Its Difadvantages.-The Eafe and Dificulty of fudying Phyfiognomy.-A Word concerning the Author.

IT has been afferted by thoufands, that " though "there may be fome truth in playfiognomy, "ftill it: never can be a fcience." Thefe affertions will be repeated, how clearly foever their objections may be anfwered, and however little they may have to reply. Phyfiognomy is as capable of becoming a feience as any one of the fcien. ces, mathematics excepted. It is a branch of the phyfical art, and includes theology and the belles lettres. Like thefe, it may, to a certain extent; be reduced to rule, and acquire an appropriate character, by which it may be taught.

$$
\text { A. } 5
$$

Whenever

Whenever truth or knowledge is explained by fixed principles, it becomes fcientific, fo far as it can be imparted by words, lines, rules, and definitions. The queftion will ftand fimply thus: Whether it be poffible to explain the undeniable friking differences which exift between human faces and forms, not by obfcure and confufed conceptions, but by certain characters, figns, and expreflions? Whether thefe figns can communicate the ftrength and weaknefs, health, and ficknefs, of the body; the folly and wifdom, the magnanimity and meannefs, the virtue and vice, of the mind ? This is the only thing to be decided; and he who, inftead of inveftigating the queftion, thould continue to declaim againft it, muft either be deficient in the love of truth, or in logical reafoning.

The experimental philofopher can only proceed with his difcoveries to a certain extent; only can communicate them by words; can only fay, " Such and fuch are my experiments, fuch my " remarks, fuch is the number of them, and fuch " are the inferences I draw: purfue the track " that I have explored." Yet, will he not be unable, fometimes, to fay thus much ? Will not his active mind make a thoufand remarks, which he will want the power to communicate? Will not his eye penetrate receffes, which he fhall be unable to difcover to that feebler vifion that cannot difcover for itfelf? . Is any fcience brought to perfection at the moment of its birth? Does not genius continually, with eagle eye and flight, anticipate centuries? How long did the world wait for Wolf? Who, among the moderns, is more fcientific than Bonnet? Who more accurately diftinguifhes falfehood from truth ? Yet to whom
whom would he be able to communicate his fudden perception of the truth; the refult or refources of thofe numerous, fmall, indefcribable, rapid, profound, remarks? To whom could he impart thefe by figns, tones, images, and rules ? Is it not the fame with phyfic, theology, and all the arts and fciences? Is it not the fame with painting, at once the mother and daughter of phyfiognomy.

How infinitely does he, who is a painter or poet born, foar beyond all written rule! But muft he, who poffeffes feelings and power which are not to be reduced to rule, be pronounced unfcientific? So, phyfiognomonical truth may; to a certain degree, be defined, communicated by figns and words, as a fcience. This is the look of contempt, this of innocence. Where fuch figns are, fuch and fuch properties refide.

There can be no doubt of the truth of phyfiognomy. All countenances, all forms, all created beings, are not only different from each other in their claffes, races, and kinds, but are alfo individually diftinct. Each being differs from every other being of its fpecies. However generally known, it is a truth the moft important to our purpofe, and neceffary to repeat, that "there is no rofe perfectly fimilar to another " rofe, no egg to an egg, no eel to an eel, no " lion to a lion, no eagle to an eagle, no man to " a man."

Confining this propofition to man only, it is the firft, the moft profound, moft fecure, and unfhaken foundation-ftone of phyfiognomy, that however intimate the analogy and fimilarity of the innumerable forms of men, no two men can be found, who, brought together, and accurately compared
compared, will not appear to be very remarkably different. Nor is it lefs incontrovertible, that it is: equally impofible to find two minds, as two countenances, which perfectly refemble each other.

Confiderations like thefe will be fufficient to make it received as a truth, not requiring farther demonftration, that there muft be a certain na-cive analogy between the external varieties of the courtenance and form, and the internal varieties of the mind. Anger renders the mufcles protuberant; and fhall not therefore an angry mind and protuberant mufcles be confidered as caufe and: effect.

After repeated obfervation, that an active and vivid eye, and an active and acute wit, are frequently found in the fame perfon, thall it be fup-pofed, that there is no relation between the active eye and the active mind? Is this the effect of acci-dent?. Ought it not rather to be confidered as fympathy, an interchangeable and inflantaneous effect, when we perceive that, at the very moment: the underfanding is moft acute and penetrating, and the wit the moft lively, the motion and fire of the eye undergo, at that moment, the moft vifole alteration?:

But all this is denied by thofe who oppofe the truth of the feience of phyfiognomy. Truth, according to them, is ever at variance with herfelf; eternal order is degraded to a juggler, whofe purpofe is to deceive.

Calm realon revolts, when it is afferted, that the ftrong man may appear perfectly like the weak, the man in full health like another in the laft ftage of a confunuption, or that the rafh and irafcible refemble the cold and phlegmatic. It revolts to hear it affirmed, that joy and grief, pleafure.
pleafure and paid, love and hatred, all exhibit themfelves under the fame traits; that is to fays, under no traits whatever, on the exterior of mans. Yet fueh are the affertions of thofe who maintain, that phyfiognomy is a chimerical fcience. They overturn all that order and combination, by which eternal wifdom fo highly aftonifles and delights the underffanding. It cannot be too emphatically repeated, that blind chance and arbitrary diforder conftitute the philofophy of fools, and that they are the bane of natural knowledge, philofophy, and religion. Entirely to banifh fuch a fyl? tem is the duty of the true inquiser, the fage, and the divine.

It is indifputables, that all men, abfolutely all men, eftimate all things whatever by their phyfit ognomy, their exterior temporary fuperficies. By viewing thofe on every oceafion, they draw their conclufions concerning their internal properties. What merchant, if he be acquainted with the perfon of whom he purchafes, does not eftimate his wares by the phyfiognomy or appearance of thofe wares? If he purchafe of a diftant correfpondent, what ather means does he ufe in judging, whether they are or are not equal to his expectation? Is not his judgement determined by the colour, the finenefs, the fuperficies, the exterior, the phyfiognomy? Does he not judge money hy its phyfiagnomy? Why does te take one guinea and reject another? Why weigh a third in his hand? Does he not determine according to its colour, or impreffion, its outfide; its phyfiognomy? If a Aranger enter his thop, as a buyer or feller, will he not obferve him? Will he not draw conclufions from his countenance? Will henot, almoft before he is out of hearing, pronounce
nounce fome opinion of him, and fay, "This man " has an honeft look-this man has a pleafing, or " forbidding countenance?" What is it to the purpofe, whether his judgement be right or wrong? He judges; and, though not wholly, he depends, in part, upon the exterior form, and thence draws inferences concerning the mind.

The farmer, walking through his grounds, regulates his future expectations by the colour, the fize, the growth, the exterior, that is to fay, by the phyfiognomy of the bloom, the ftalk or the ear of his corn, the ftem and hhoots of his vine tree. -" This ear of corn is blighted-that wood is full " of fap-this will grow, that not," affirms he at the firft or fecond glance.-" Though thefe vine" fhoots look well, they will bear but few grapes." And wherefore? He remarks in their appearance, as the phyfiognomift in the countenances of thallow men, the want of native energy. Does he not judge by the exterior?

Does not the phyfician pay more attention to the phyfiognomy of the fick than to all the accounts that are brought him concerning his patient? Zimmerman, among the living, may be brought as a proof of the great perfection at which this kind of judgement is arrived; and, among the dead, Kæmpf, whofe fon has written a treatife on temperament.

I will fay nothing of the painter, as his art too evidently reproves the childifh and arrogant prejudices of thofe who pretend to difbelieve phyfiognomy. The traveller, the philanthropift, the mifanthropift, the lover, (and who not?) all act according to their feelings and decifions, true or falfe, confufed or clear, concerning phyfiognomy. Thefe feelings, thefe decifions, excite compaffion, difguft,
difguft, joy, love, hatred, fufpicion, confidence, referve, or benevolence.

By what rule do we judge of the 1 ky but by its phyfiognomy? No food, not a glafs of wine, or beer, nor a cup of coffee or tea, comes to table, which is not judged by its phyfiognomy, its exterior, and of which we do not then deduce fome conclufion refpecting its interior good or bad properties. Is not all nature phyfiognomy, fuperficies and contents, body and fpirit, exterior effect and internal power, invifible beginning and vifible ending?

Phyfiognomy, whether underfood in its moft extenfive or confined fignification, is the origin of all human decifions, efforts, actions, expectations, fears, and hopes ; of all pleafing and unpleafing fenfations, which are occafioned by external objects. From the cradle to the grave, in all conditions and ages, throughout all nations, from Adam to the laft exifting man, from the worm we tread on to the moft fublime of philofophers, phyfiognomy is the origin of all we do and fuffer.

Every infect is acquainted with its friend and its foe; each child loves and fears, although it knows not why. Phyfiognomy is the caufe; nor is there a man to be found on earth who is not daily influenced by phyfiognomy; not a man who cannot figure to himfelf a countenance, which fhall to him appear exceedingly lovely, or exceedingly hateful; not a man who does not, more or lefs, the firft time he is in company with a ftranger, obferve, eftimate, compare, and judge of him according to appearances, although he might never have heard of the word or thing called phyfiognomy; not a man, who does not judge of all things that pafs through his hands by their phyfiognomy; that
that is, their internal worth by their external apis pearance.

The act of diffimulation itfelf, which is adduced as fo infuperable an objection to the truth of phyfiognomy, is founded upon phyfiognomy. Why. does the hypocrite affume the appearance of an honeft man, but becaufe that he is convinced, though not perhaps from any fyftematic reflection, that all eyes are acquainted with the characteriftic mark of bonefty ?

What judge, wife or unwife, whether the criminal confefs or deny the fact, does not fometimes in this fenfe decide from appearances? Who can, is, or ought to be, abfolutely indifferent to the exterior of perfons brought before him to be judged? What king would chufe a minifter without examining his exterior, fecretly at leaft, and to a certain extent? An officer will not enlift a foldier without thus examining his appearance, putting bis height out of the queftion. What mafter or miftrefs of a family will chufe a fervant without confidering the exterior No matter that their judgement may or may not be juft, or that it may be exereifed unconfcioully.

I am weary of citing fuch numerous inftances, which are fo continually before our eyes, to prove that men, tacitly and unanimoufly, confefs the in Guence which phyfiognomy has over their fenfations and actions. I feel difguft at being obliged to write thus, in order to convince the learned of truths, which lie within the reach of every child.

Let him fee, who has eỵes to fee; but fhould the light, by being broug to too clofe to his eyes, produce phrenzy, he may burn himfelf by endeavouring to extinguifh the torch of truth. I am zot fond of ufing fuch expreffions; but. I dare to
do my duty, and my duty is boldly to declare, that I believe myfelf certain of what $I$ now and hereafter fhall affirm; and that I think myfelf sapable of convincing all lovers of truth, by prin* ciples which are in themfelves incontrovertible. It is alfo neceffary to confute the pretenfions of certain literary defpots, and to compel them tobe more cautious in their decifions. It is therefore proved, it being an eternal and manifeft truth, that, whether they are or are not fenfible of it, all men are daily influenced by phyfiognomy; nay, there is not a living being, which does not, at leaft after its manner, draw fome inferences from the external to the internal; which does not judge concerning that which is not by that whick is apparent to the fenfes,

This univerfal though tacit confeffion, that the: exterior, the vifible, the fuperficies of objects, indicate their nature, their properties, and that every outward fign is the fymbol of fome inherent quality, I hold to be equally certain and important to: the fcience of phyfiognomy.

When each apple, each apricot, has a phyfiognomy peculiar to itfelf, fhall man, the lord of earth, have none? The moft fimple and inanimate object has its characteriftic exterior, by which it is not only diftinguifhed as a fpecies, but individually; and thall the firft; nobleft, beft harmonized, and moft beautiful being, be denied all characteriftic?

- Whatever may be objected againft the trath and certainty of the fcience of phyfiognomy, by the moft illiterate or the moft learned; how much foever he, who openly profeffes faith in this fcience, may be fubject to ridicule, to philofophic pity. and contempt, it fill cannot be contefled, that
there is no fubject, thus confidered, more impor. tant, more worthy of obfervation, more interefing, than man, nor any occupation fuperior to that of difclofing the beauties and perfections of human nature.

I fhall now proceed to inquire into the Advantages of phyfiognomy. Whether a more certain, more accurate, more extenfive, and thereby a more perfect knowledge of man, be, or be not profitable; whether it be, or be not, advantageous to gain a knowledge of internal qualities from external form and feature, is a queftion moft deferving of inquiry? This may be claffed firft as a general queftion, Whether knowledge, its extenfion, and increafe, be of confequence to man?

Certain it is, that if a man has the power, fa. culties, and will to obtain wifdom, that he fhould exercife thefe faculties for the attainment of wifdom. How paradoxical are thofe proofs, that fcience and knowledge are detrimental to man, and that a rude fate of ignorance, is to be preferred to all that wifdom can teach! I here dare affert, that phyfiognomy has at leaft as many claims of effential advantage as are granted by men, in general, to other fciences.

With how much juftice may we not grant precedency to that fcience, which teaches the knowledge of men? What object is fo important to man as man himfelf? What knowledge can more influence his happinefs than the knowledge of himfelf? This advantageous knowledge is the peculiar province of phyfiognomy.

Whoever would wifh perfect conviction of the advantages of phyfiognomy, let him imagine but for a moment, that all phyfiognomonical knowledge and fenfation were loft to the world. What confufion,
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confufion, what uncertainty and abfurdity, muft take place, in millions of inftances, among the actions of men! How perpetual muft be the vexation of the eternal uncertainty in all which we fhould have to tranfact with each other, and how infinitely would probability, which depends upon a multitude of circumftances, more or lefs diftinctly perceived, be weakened by this privation! From how vaft a number of actions, by which men are honoured and benefited, muft they then defift!

Mutual intercourfe is the thing of moft confequence to mankind, who are deftined to live in fociety. The knowledge of man is the foul of this intercourfe, that which imparts animation to it, pleafure and profit. Let the phyfiognomift obferve varieties, make minute diftinctions, eftablifh figns, and invent words, to exprefs thefe his remarks; from general, abftract propofitions, extend and improve phyfiognomonical knowledge, language, and fenfation, and thus will the ufes and advantages of phyfiognomy progreflively increafe.
Phyfiognomy is a fource of the pureft, the moft exalted fenfations; an additional eye, wherewith to view the manifold proofs of Divine wifdom and goodnefs in the creation, and, while thus viewing unfpeakable harmony and truth, to excite more ecflatic love for their adorable Author. Where the dark, inattentive fight of the inexperienced perceives nothing, there the practical view of the phyfiognomift difcovers inexhauftible fountains of delight, endearing, moral, and fpiritual. With fecret delight, the philanthropic phyfiognomift difcerns thofe internal motives, which would otherwife be firft revealed in the world to come. He diftinguifhes
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diftinguifhes what is permanent in the character from what is habitual, and what is habitual from what is accidental. He, therefore, who reads man in this language, reads him moft accurately.

To enumerate all the advantages of phyfiognomy would require a large treatife. The moft indifputable, though the moft important of thefe its advantages, are thofe the painter acquires, who, if he be not a phyfiognomift, is nothing. The greateft is that of forming, conducting, and improving; the human heart.
I fhall now fay fomething with refpect to the Difadvantages of phyfiognomy.

Methinks I hear fome worthy man exclaim: "O thou, who haft ever hitherto lived the friend 4 of religion and virtue, what is thy prefent pur"pofe? What mifchief thall not be wrought by "this thy phyfiognomy? Wilt thou teach man the "c unbleffed art of judging his brother by the am-"- biguous expreffions of his countenance? Are "there not already fufficient of cenforioufnefs, "fcandal, and infpection into the failings of " others? Wilt thou teach man to read the fecrets. *" of the heart, the latent feelings, and the various " errors of thought?
"Thou dwelleft upon the advantages of the " fcience; fayeft thou fhalt teach men to con"template thie beauty of virtue, the hatefulnefs. " of vice, and, by $t$ efe means. make them vir"tuous; and that thou infpireft us with an abj " horren:ce of vice, by obliging us to feel its ext 4 ternal deformity. And what fhall be the con"fequence? Shall it not be, that for the appear"ance, and not the reality of goodnefs, man " fhall wifh to be good? That, vain as he ald* ready is, acting from the defire of praife, and " wifhing.
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"" wilhing only to appear what he ought determies " nately to be, he will yet become more vain, " and will court the praife of men, not by words " and deeds alone, but by affumed looks and coun"terfeited forms? Oughteft thou not rather to "weaken this already too powerful motive for "human actions, and to ftrengthen a better? " To turn the eyes inward, to teach actual im${ }^{*}$ provement and filent innocence, inftead of $\cdot$ in"ducing him.to reafon on the outward fair expref" fions of goodnefs, or the hateful ones of wic" kednef? ?"

This is a heavy accufation, and with great appearance of truth. Yet how eafy is defence to me, and how pleafant, when my opponent accufes me from motives of philanthropy, and not of fplenetic difpute? The charge is twofold, Cenforioufnefs and vanity. I will anfwer thefe charges feparately; and now proceed to reply to the firft objection.

I teach no black art; no noftrum, the fecret of which I might have concealed, which is a thoufand times injurious for once that it is profitable, the difcovery of which is therefore fo difficulto I do but teach a fcience, the moft general, the moft palpable, with which all men are acquainted, and fate my feelings, obfervations, and their confequences.

It ought never to be forgotten, that the very purport of outward expreffion is to teach what paffes in the mind, and that to deprive man of this fource of knowledge were to reduce him to utter ignorance; that every man is born with a certain portion of phyfiognomonical fenfation, as certainly as that every man, who is not deformed, is born with two eyes; that all men, in their intercourfe
tercourfe with each other, from phyfiognomonical decifions, according as their judgement is more or lefs clear ; that it is well known, though phyfiognomy were never to be reduced to a fcience, moft men, in proportion as they have mingled in the world, derive fome profit from their knowledge of mankind, even at the firft glance, and that the fame effects were produced long before this queftion was in agitation. Whether, therefore, to teach men to decide with more perfpicuity and certainty, inftead of confufedly ; to judge clearly with refined fenfations, inftead of rudely and erroneoully with fenfations more grofs; and, inftead of fuffering them to wander in the dark, and venture abortive and injurious judgements, to learn them by phyfiognomonical experiments, by the rules of prudence and caution, and the fublime voice of philanthropy, to miftruft, to be diffident, and flow to pronounce, where they imagine they difcover evil ; whether this, I fay, can be injurious, I leave the world to determine.

I think I may venture to affirm, that very few perfons will, in confequence of this work, begin to judge ill of others, who had not before been guilty of the practice.

The fecond objection to phyfiognomy is, that " it renders men vain, and teaches them to af" fume a plaufible appearance." The men thou wouldft reform are not children, who are good, and know that they are fo; but men who muft, from experience, learn to diftinguih between good and evil; men who, to become perfect, muft neceffarily be taught their own various, and confequently their own beneficient qualities. Let, therefore, the defire of obtaining approbation from the good, act in concert with the impulfe to goodnefs.
nefs. Let this be the ladder; or, if you pleafe, the crutch to fupport tottering virtue. Suffer men to feel that God has ever branded vice with deformity, and adorned virtue with inimitable beauty. Allow man to rejoice when he perceives that his countenance improves, in proportion as his heart is ennobled. Inform him only, that to be good from vain motives is not actual good, but vanity; that the ornaments of vanity will ever be inferior and ignoble, and that the dignified mien of virtue never can be truly attained, but by the actual poffeffion of virtue, unfullied by the leaven of vanity.

Let me now fay a word or two as to the Eafe and Difficulties attending the fuly of phfiognomy. To learn the loweft, the leaft difficult of fciences, at firft appears an arduous undertaking, when taught by words or books, and not reduced to actual practice. What numerous dangers and difficulties might be ftarted againft all the daily enterprizes of men, were it not undeniable that they are performed with facility! How might not the poffibility of making a watch, and ftill more a watch worn in a ring, or of failing over the vaft ocean, and of numberlefs other arts and inventions, be difputed, did we not behold them conftantly practifed! How many arguments might be urged againft the practice of phyfic! and, though fome of them be unanfwerable, how many are the reverfe!

It is not juft too haftily to decide on the poffible eafe or difficulty of any fubject, which we have not yet examined. The fimpleft may abound with difficulties to him who has not made frequent experiments, and by frequent experiments the molt difficult may become eafy.

Whoever poffeffes the flighteft capacity for, and has once acquired the habit of, obfervation and comparifon, fhould he fee himfelf daily and in. ceffantly furrounded by hofts of difficulties, yet he will certainly be able to make a progrefs. There is no ftudy, however difficult, which may not be attained by perfeverance and refolution.

We have men conftantly before us. In the very fmalleft towns there is a continual influx and reflux of perfons, of various and oppofite characters; among thefe many are known to us without confulting phyfiognomy, and that they are patient or choleric, credulous or fufpicious, wife or foolifh, of moderate or weak capacity, we are convinced paft contradiction. Their countenances are as widely various as their charaters, and thefe variety of countenances may each be as accurately drawn as their varieties of character may be defrribed.

There are men, with whom we have daily intercourfe, and whofe intereft and ours are connected. Be their diffimulation what it may, paffion will frequently, for a moment, fnatch off the mafk, and give us a glance, at leaft a fide view, of their true form.

Has nature beftowed on man the eye and ear, and yet made her language fo difficult, or fo entirely unintelligible? And not the eye and ear alone, but feeling, nerves, internal fenfations, and yet has rendered the language of the fuperficies fo confufed, fo obfcure? She who has adapted found to the ear, and the ear to found ; fhe who has created light for the eye, and the eye for light; fhe who has taught man, fo foon, to fpeak and to underftand fpeech; fhall fhe have imparted invumerable
merable traits and marks of fecret inclinations, powers, and paffions, accompanied by perception, Tenfation, and an impulfe to interpret them to bis advantage; and, after beftowing fuch ftoong incitements, fhall fhe have denied him the poffibility of quenching this his thirf of knowledge? She who has given him penetration to difcover fciences ftill more profound, though of much inferior utility; who has taught him to trace out the paths, and meafure the curves of comets; who has put a telefcope into his hand, that he may view the fatellites of the planets, and has endowed him with the capability of calculating their eclipfes through revolving ages; fhall fo kind a mother have denied her children (her truth-feeking pupils, her noble philanthropic offspring, who are fo willing to admire and rejoice in the majefty of the Moft High, viewing man his mafter-piece) the power of reading the ever prefent, ever open book of the human countenance; of reading man, the moft beautiful of all her works, the compendium of all things, the mirror of the Deity?

Awake, view man in all his infinite forms. Look, for thou mayeft eternally learn; fhake off thy floth, and bebold. Meditate on its importance; take refolution to thyfelf, and the moft difficult thall become eafy.

Let me now mention the Difficulties attending this ftudy. There is a particular circumftance attending the farting of difficulties. There are fome who poffers the peculiar gift of difcovering and inventing difficulties, without number or limits, on the moft common and eafy fubjects. I thall be brief on the innumerable difficulties of phyfiognomy; becaufe it not being my intention to cite them all in this place, as the moft importB
ant will occafionally be noticed and anfwered in the courfe of the work. I have an additional motive to be brief, which is, that moft of thefe diffculties are included in the indefcribable minutenefs of innumerable traits of character, or the impofiibility of feizing, expreffing, and analyzing, certain fenfations and obfervations.

Nothing can be more certain than that the fmalleft fhades, which are fcarcely difcernible to an unexperienced eye, frequently denote total oppofition of character. How wonderfutly may the expreffion of countenance and character be altered by a fmall inflexion or diminifhing, lengthening, or fharpening, even though but of a hair's breadth !

How difficult, how impoffible, muft this variety of the fame countenance, even in the moft accurate of the arts of imitation, render precifion! How often does it happen, that the feat of character is fo hidden, fo enveloped, fo marked, that it can only be caught in certain, and perhaps uncommon pofitions of the countenance, which will again be changed, and the figns all difappear, before they have made any durable impreffion! or, fuppofing the impreffion made, thefe diftinguifhing traits may be fo difficult to feize, that it fhall be impoffible to paint, much lefs to engrave, or defcribe them by language.

It is with phyfiognomy as with all other objects of tafte, literal or figurative, of fenfe, or of fpirit. How many thoufand accidents, great and fmall, phyfical and moral; how many fecret incidents, alterations, paffions; how often will drefs, pofition, light and fhade, and innumerable difcordant circumftances, fhew the cotintenance fo difadvantageoully, or, to fpeak more properly; betray the
the phyfiognomift into a falfe judgement on the true qualities of the countenance and character! How eafily may thefe occafion him to overlook the effential traits of character, and form his judge nent on what is wholly accidental! How furprifingly may the fmall-pox, during life, disfigure the countenance! How may it deftroy, confafe, or sender the moft decifive traits imperceptible!

We will therefore grant the oppofer of phyfiognomy all he can afk, although we do not live without hope, that many of the difficulties fhall be refolved, which, at firf, appeared to the reader and to the author inexplicable ${ }^{*}$.

It is highly incumbent upon me, that I fhould not lead my readers to expect more from me than I am able to perform. Whoever publifhes aconfiderable work on phyfiognomy, gives his readers apparently to underftand, that he is much better acquainted with the fubject than any of his cotemporaries. Should an error efcape him, he expofes himfelf to the fevereft ridicule; he is contemned, at leaft by thofe who do not read him, for pretenfions which, probably, they fuppofe him to make, but which, in reality, he does not make.

The God of trath, and all who know me, will bear teftimony, that from my whole foul I defpife deceit, as I do all filly claims to fuperior wifdom and infallibility, which fo many writers, by a thoufand artifices, endeavour to make their readers imagine they poffefs.

Firf, therefore, I declare, what I have uniformly declared on all occafions, although the perfons who fpeak of me and my works endeavour to conC 2 . ceal

* The following lines, to the end of the Introduction, contain M. Lavater's own remarks on himfelf.
ceal it from themfelves and others; that I uns derftand but little of phyfiognomy; that I have been, and continue daily to be, miftaken in my judgement; but thefe errors are the moft naturat and moft certain means of correcting, confirming; and extending my knowledge.

It will probably not be difagreeable to many of my readers to be informed, in part, of the progrefs of my mind in this ftudy.

Before I reached the twenty-fifth year of my age, there was nothing I fhould have fuppofed more improbable, than that I fhould make the fmalleft inquiries concerning, much lefs that I fhould write a book on, phyfiognomy. I was neither inclined to read nor make the flighteft obfervations on the fubject. The extreme fenfibility of my nerves occafioned me, however, to feel certain emotions at beholding certain countenances. I fometimes inftinctively formed a judgement, according to thefe firft impreftions, and was laughed at, afhamed, and became cautious. Years paffed away before I again dared, impelled by fimilar impreffions, to venture fimilar opinions. In the: mean time, I occafionally fketched the countenance of a friend, whom by chance I had lately been obferving. I had, from my earlieft youth, a propenfity to drawing, and efpecially to drawing of portraits, although I had but little genius or perfeverance. By this practice, my latent feelings began partly to unfold themfelves. The various proportions, fimilitudes, and varieties, of the human countenance, became more apparent. It has happened that, on two fucceflive days, I have drawn two faces, the features of which had a remarkable sefemblance. This awakened my attention; and my aftonifhment increafed when I received certain
proofs, that thefe perfons were as fimilar in character as in feature.

I was afterwards induced, by M. Zimmermann, phyfician to the Court of Hanover, to write my thoughts on this fubject. I met with many opponents, and this oppofition obliged me to make deeper and more laborious refearches, till at length the prefent work on phyfiognomy was produced.
Here I muft repeat the full conviction I feel, that my whole life would be infufficient to form any approach towards a perfect and confiftent whole. It is a field too vaft for me fingly to till. I fhall find various opportunities of confeffing my deficiency in various branches of fcience, without which it is impoflible to ftudy phyfiognomy with that firmnefs and certainty which are requifite. I. fhall conclude by declaring, with unreferved candour, and wholly committing my felf to the reader, who is the friend of truth,
.. That I have heard, froin the weakeft man, remarks on the human countenance more acute than thofe I had made; remarks which made mine appeàr trifling.

That I believe, were various other people to sketch countenances, and write their obfervations, thofe I have hitherto made would foon become of tittle importance.

That I daily meet a hundred faces, concerning which I am unable to pronounce any certain opinion.

That no man has any thing to fear from my infpection, as it is my endeavour to find good in man, nor are there any men in whom good is not to be found.

That

That fince I have begun thus to obferve mant kind, my philanthropy is not diminifhed, but I will venture to fay increafed.
And that now (January 1783), after ten years daily fudy, I am not more convinced of the certainty of my own exiftence, than of the truth of the fcience of phyfiognomy ; or than that this truth may be demonftrated. And that I hold him to be a weak and fimple perfon who fhall affirm, that the effects of the impreffions made upon him by all pofible human contrenances are equal.

## CHAP. II.

On the Nature of Man, which is the Foundation of the Science of Pbyfognomy. Difference between Pbyfiognomy and Pathognomy.

MAN is the moft perfect of all earthly creatures, the moft imbued with the principles of life. Each particle of matter is an immenfity, each leaf a world, each infect an inexplicable compendium. Who, then, fhall enumerate the gradations between infect and man? In him all the powers of nature are united. He is the effence of creation. The fon of earth, he is earth's lord; the fummary and central point of all exiftence, of all powers, and of all life, on that earth which he inhabits.

There are no organized beings, with which we are acquainted, man alone excepted, in which are fo wonderfully united thefe different kinds of life, the
the animal, the intellectual, and the moral. Each of thefe lives is the compendium of various faculties, moft wonderfully compounded and harmonized.

To know, to defire, to act ; or accurately to obferve and meditate, to perceive and to wifh, to poffets the power of motion and refiftance. Thefe combined conftitute man an animal, intellectual, and moral being.
Endowed with thefe faculties, and with this triple life, man is in himfelf the moft worthy fubject of obfervation, as he likewife is himfelf the moft worthy obferver. In him each fpecies of life is confpicuous; yet never can his properties be wholly known, except by the aid of his external form, his body, his fuperficies. How fpiritual, how incorporeal foever his internal effence may be, ftill is he only vifible and conceivable from the harmony of his conftituent parts. From thefe he is infeparable. He exifts and moves in the body he inhabits, as in kis element. This threefold life, which man cannot be denied to poffefs, neceffarily firft becomes the fubject of difquifition and refearch, as it prefents itfelf in the form of body, and in fuch of his faculties as are apparent to fenfe.

By fuch external appearances as affect the fenfes, all things are characterifed; they are the foundations of all human knowledge. Man muft wander in the darkeft ignorance, equally with refpect to himfelf and the objects that furround him, did he not become acquainted with their properties and powers by the aid of their externals; and had not each object a character peculiar to its nature and effence, w:ich acquaints us with what it is, and enables us to diftinguifh it from what it is not.

We

We furvey all bodies that appear to fight under: a certain form and fuperficies; we behold thofe outlines traced which are the refult of their organization. I hope I fhall be pardoned the repetition of common place truths, fince on thefe are built the fcience of phyfiognomy, or the proper ftudy of man.

The organization of man peculiarly diftinguifhes him from all other earthly beings: and his phyfiognomy, that is to fay, his fuperficies and outlines of this organization, fhew him to be infinitely fuperior to all thofe vifible beings by which he is furrounded. We are unacquainted with any form equally noble, equally majeftic, with that of man, and in which fo many kinds of life, fo many powers, fo many virtues of action and motion, unite, as in a central point. With firm fep he advances over the earth's furface, and with erect body raifes his head to heaven. He looks forward to infinitude; he acts with facility and fwiftnefs incorccivable, and his motions are the moft immediate and the moft varied. By whom may their varieties be enumerated? He can at once both fuffer and perform infinitely more than any other creature. He unites flexibility and fortitude, ffrength and dexterity, activity and reft. Of all creatures he can the fooneft yield, and the longeft refift. None refemble him in the variety and harmony of his powers. His faculties, like his form, are peculiar to himfelf.

The make and proportion of man, his fuperior height, capable of to many changes, and fuch variety of motion, prove to the unprejudiced obferver his fuperior eminent ftrength, and aftonifing facility of action. The high excellence, and phyfological unity of human nature are vifible at the firlt
firft glance, The head, efpecially the face, and the formation of the firm parts compared to the firm parts of other animals, convince the accurate obferver, who is capable of invefligating truth, of the greatnefs and fuperiority of his intellectual qualities. The eye, the look, the cheek, the mouth, the forehead, whether confidered in a fate of entire reft, or during their innumerable varieties of motion, in fine, whatever is underfood by phyfiognomy, are the moft expreffive, the moft convincing picture of interior fenfations, defires, paffions, will, and of all thole properties which fo much exalt moral above animal life.

Though the phyfiological, intellectual, and moral life of man mith $_{r}$ wll their fubordinate powers, and their conftitueat parts, fo eminently unite in one being; though thefe three kinds of life do not, like three diftinct families, refide in feparate parts or ftories of the body, but co-exilt in one :point, and by their combination form one whole, yet it is plain, that each of thefe powers of life has its peculiar ftation, where it more efpecially unfolds itfelf and acts.

It cannot be a moment doubted, that, though : phyfiological or animal life difplays itfelf through all the body, and efpecially through all the animal parts, ye it acts more confpicuoully in the arm, from the fhoulder to the ends of the fingers.

It is not lefs evident, that intellectual life, or the power of the underftanding and the mind, make themfelves moft apparent in the circum. ference and form of the folid parts of the head efpecially the forehead; though they will difcover shemfelves, to an attentive and accurate eye, in every part and point of the human body, by the: B. 5 congeniality
congeniality and harmony of the various parts. If there anys occafion to prove, that the power of thinking reffdes neither in the foot, in the hand, nor in the back, but in the head and in its internal parts?

The moral life of man particularly reveals itfels in the lines, marks, and tranfitions of the countenance. His moral powers and defires, his irri tability, fympathy, and antipathy; his facility of attracting or repelling the objects that furround him: thefe are all fummed up in, and painted upon, his countenance when at reft. When any paffion is called into action, fuch paffion is dopisted by the motion of the mufcles, and thefe motions are accompanied by a ftrong palpitation of the heart. If the countenance be tranquil, it ald ways denotes tranquillity in the region of the heart and breaft.

This threefold life of man, fo intimately woven through his frame, is ftill capable of being fudied in its different appropriate parts; and, did we live in a lefs depraved world, we flould find fufficient data for the fcience of phyfiognomy.

The animal life, the loweft and moft earthly, would difcover itfelf from the rim of the belly to the organs of generation, which would become its central or focal point. The middle or moral life would be feated in the breaft, and the heart would be its central point. - Tiie intellectual life, which of the three is fupreme, would refide in the head and have the eye for its center. If we take the countenance as the reprefentative and epitome of the three divifions, then will the forehead to the eyebrows be the mirror or image. of the underfanding ; the nofe. and cheeks the image of the moral
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or fenfitive life; and the mouth and chin the image of the animal life; while the eyes will be to the whole as its fummary and center.

Every thing we have hitherto advanced is fo clear, fo well known, fo univerfal, that we fhould blugh to infift upon fuch common-place truths, were they not, firft, the foundation on which we muft build all we have to propofe; and, again, had not thefe truths (can it be believed by futurity ?) in this our age been fo many thoufand times mif. taken and contefted with the moft inconceivable af. fectation.

The fcience of phyfiognomy; whether underflood in the moit enlarged or moft confined fenfe, indubitably depends on thefe general and incontrovertible principles; yet, incontrovertible as they are, they have not been without their opponents. Men pretend to doubt of the moft ftriking, the mof convincing, the: mont felf-evident truths; though, were thefe deftroyed, neither truth nor knowledge would remain. They do not profefs to doubt concerning the phyfiognomy of other natural objects, yet do they doubt the phyfiognomy of human nature : the firft object the moft worthy of contemplation, and the molt animated the realms of nature contain.

We have already hinted to our readers that they are to expect only fragments on phyfiognomy from us, and not a perfect fyftem. However, what has been faid may ferve as a fketch for fuch a fyftem. We fhall conclude this chapter with fhew o. ing the difference between Pbjyiognomy and Pathog. nomy:

Phyfiognomy is the fcience or knowledge of the correfpondence between the external and interial man, the vifible fuperficies and the invifible con-
tents. Phyficgnomy, oppofed to pathognomy, is: the knowledge of the figns of the powers and in clinations of men-Pathognomy is the knowledgeof the figns of the paffions. Phyfiognomy therefore teaches the knowledge of character at reft, and: pathognomy of character in motion. Character at reft is taught by the form of the folid and the appearance of the moveable parts while at reft. Character impaflionated is manifefted by the moveable parts in motion.

Phyfiognony may be compared to the fum total of the mind; pathognomy to the intereft which is the product of this fum total. The former fhews what man is in general, the latter what he becomes. at particular moments; or, the one what he might be, the other what he is. The firft is the root and ftem of the fecond, the foil in which it is pianted. Whoever believes the latter, and not the former, believes in fruit without a tree, in corn without land.

## CHAP. III.

Signs of bodily StrengtJ and Weakness.-Of Healths
and Sicknefs.

THAT human body is called Atrong which can eafily alter other bodies, without being eafily: altered itfelf. The more immediate it can act, and the lefs immediately it can be acted upon, the greater is its ftrength; and the weaker, the lefs it can act, or withfland the action of the thers. There is a tranquil ftrength, the effence
of which is immobility; and there is an attive Atrength, the effence of which is motion. The one has motion, the other ftability, in an extraordinary degree. There is the ftrength of the rock, and the elafticity of the fpring.

There is the Herculean ftrength, lefs firm, finewy, large; lefs fet, lefs rocky, who yet, when roufed, when oppofed in their activity, will meet opprefion with fo much firength, will refift weight with fuch elaftic force, as fcarcely to be equalled by the moft mufcular frength.

The elephant has native, boney ffrength. Irritated or not, he bears prodigious burdens, and crufhes all on which he treads. An irritated wafp has ftrength of a totally different kind; but both have compactnefs for their foundation, and efpecially the firmnefs of conftruction. All porofity deftroys ftrength.

The ftrength, like the underftanding, of a man, is difcovered by its being more or lefs compact. The elafticity of a body has figns fo remarkable, that they will not permit us to confound fuch body with one that is not elaftic. How manifeft are the varieties of ftrength between the foot of an elephant and a ftag, a wafp and a lly.

Tranquil, firm frrength is thown in the proportions of the form, which ought rather to be fhort than long. In the thick neck, the broad fhoulders, and the countenance, which, in a ftate of health, is rather boney than fleíhy. In the flort, compact, and knotty forehead; , and efpecially when the finus froxtales are vigble, but not too far projeeting; flat in the middle, or fuddenly indented, but not in fmooth cavities. In horizontal eyebrows, fituated near the eye. Deep eyes, and fedfaft look. In the broad firm nofe, boney near the forehead,
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 PHYSIOGNOMY:forehead, efpecially in its ftraight, angular outlinesi In fhort, thick, curly hair of the head, and beard, as well as broad teeth, flanding clofe to each other. In compact lips, of which the under rather projects than retreats.. In the ftrong, promi. nent, broad'chin. In the flrong projecting os occipitio. In the bais voice, the firm ftep, and in fetting fill.

Elaftic ftrength, the living power of irritability, muft be difcovered in the moment of action, and the firm figns muft afterwards be abftracted, when the irritated power is once more at reft. "This " body therefore, which at reft was capable of " fo little, acted and refifted fo weakly, can, "thus irritated, and with this degree of tenfion, " become thus powerful." We fhall find on inquiry, that this ftrength, awakened by irritation, generally refides in thin, tall, but not very tall, and boney, rather than mufcular bodies; in bodies of dark or pale complexions; of rapid motion, joined with a certain kind of ftiffrefs; of hafty and firm walk; of fixed penetrating look; and with open lips, but eafily and accurately to be clofed.

Signs of weaknefs are, difproportionate length of body; much flelh; little bone; extenfion; a tottering frame; a loofe fliin ; round, obtufe, and, particularly, hollow outlines of the forehead and nofe; fmallnefs of nofe and chin; little noftrils, the retreating chin; long, cylindrical neck; the walk very hafty, or languid, without firmnefs: of ftep; the timid afpect; clofing eye-lids; open mouth; long teeth; the jaw-boue long but bent towards the ear, ; whitenefs of complexion; teeth inclined to be yellow or green; fair, long, and tender hair; flarill voice.

Inow come to treat of Medicinal Semeiotics, or the figns of Health and Sickne/s.-Not I, but an experienced phyfician, ought to write on the phyfiognomonical and pathognomonical femeiotica of health and ficknefs, and defcribe the phyfiological character of the body, and its propenfities to this or that diforder. I am beyond defcription ignomant with refpect to the nature of diforders and their figns; ftill may $I$, in confequence of the few obfervations I have made, declare, with fome certainty, by repeatedly examining the firm parts and outlines of the bodies and countenances of the fick, that it is not difficult to predict what are the difeafes to which the man in health is moft liable.

Of what infinite importance would fuch phy: fiognomonical femeiotics, or prognoftics of poffible or probable diforders be, founded on the nature and form of the body ! How effential were it, could the phyfician fay to the healthy, "You naturally "c have, fome time in your life, to expect this or "that diforder. Take the neceffary precautions "againft fuch or fuch a difeafe. The virus of the ". fmall-pox flumbers in your body, and may thus " or thus be putin motion. Thus the hectic, thus "the intermittent, and thus the putrid fever:" Oh! haw worthy, Zimmermann, would fuci a fubject be of thee!

Whoever fhall read this author's works, on experience, will fee how characteriftically.he defcribes various difeafes which originate in the paffions. Some quotations from this work, which will juftify my wifh, and contain the moft valuable femeiotical remarks, cannot be unacceptable to the reader. ..
"The
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"The obferving mind examines the phyfiog. " nomy of the fick, the figns of which extend "over the whole body; but the progrefs and "change of the difeafe is principally to be found " in the countenance and its parts. Sometimes " the patient carries the marks of his difeafe. In " burning, bilious, and hectic fevers; in the chlo" rolis; the commonand black jaundice; in worm "c cafes."-l, who know fo little of phyfic, have feveral times difcovered the difeafe of the tape-worm in the countenance.
" In the furror uterinus; the leaft obfervant can " read the difeafe. The more the countenance "" is changed, in burning fevers, the greater is the "danger. A man, whofe natural afpect is mild " and calm, but who flares at ine, with a florid "complexion, and wildnefs in his eyes, prog" nofticates an approaching delirium. I have " likewife feen a look indefcribably wild, ac"c companied by palenefs, when nature, in an in" flamation of the lungs, was coming to a crifis, " and the patient was becoming exceffively cold "" and frantic. The countenance relaxed, the " lips pale and hanging, in burning fevers, are " bad fymptoms, as they denote great debility; " and, if the change and decay of the counte"' nance be fudden, the danger is great. When "the nofe is pointed, the face of a bad colour, " a:d the lips livid, inflammation has produced " gangrene.
"There is frequently fomething dangerous to " be obferved in the countenance, which cannot " be known from other fymptoms, and which is " very fignificant. Much is to be obferved in the. " eyes. Boerhaave examined the eyes of the paof tient
" tient with a magnifying glafs, that he might " fee if the blood entered the fmaller veffels.
" Hippocrates held, that the avoiding of light,
" involuntary tears, fquinting, one eye lefs than
" the other, the white of the eye inflamed, the
" finall veins inclined to be black, too much fwell-
" ed, or too much funken, were each and all bad
" fymptoms.
"The motion of the patient, and his pofition
" in bed, ought likewife to be enumerated among
" the particular fymptoms of difeafe. The hand
" carried to the forehead, waved, or groping in
" the air, fcratching on the wall, and pulling up.
" the bed clothes, are of this kind. The pofition
" in bed is a very fignificant fign of the internal
" fituation of the parient, and therefore deferves
" every attention. The more unufual the pofition " is, in any inflammatory difeafe, the more cer-
" tainly may we conclude that the anguifh is great,
" and confequently the danger. Hippocrates has
"defcribed the pofition of the fick, in fuch cafes,
" with accuracy that leaves nothing to be defired.
" The beft pofition in ficknefs is the ufual pofition.
" in health.
I fhall add fome other remarks from this phyfician and phyfiognomift, whofe abilities are fuperior to envy, ignorance, and quackery. " Swift " was lean while he was the prey of ambition, " chagrin, and ill-temper; but, after the lofs of " his underftanding, he became fat." His defcription of envy; and its effects on the body, are incomparable. "The effects of envy are vifible, " even in children. They become thin, and " eafily fall into confumptions. Envy takes away * the appetite and heep, and caufes feverifh mo*tion; it produces gloom, fhortnefs of breath, " impatience,
*impatience, refflefsnefs, and a narrow cheft. "The good name of others, on which it feeks to " avenge itfelf by flander, and feigned but not real " contempt, hangs tike the fword fufpended by " a hair over the head of Envy, that continually " wilhes to torture others, and is itfelf conti" nually on the rack. The laughing fimpleton be"comes difturbed as foon as Envy, that worft of " fiends, takes poffeffion of him, and he perceives, "that he vainly labours to debafe that merit " which he cannot rival. His eyes roll, he knits " his forehead, he becomes morofe, peevilh, and " hangs his lips. There is, it is true, a kind of " envy that arrives at old age. Envy in her dark "cave, poffeffed by toothlefs furies, there hoards " her poifon, which, with infernal wickedneff, " fhe endeavours to eject over each worthy per" fon and honourable act. She defends the caufe " of vice, endeavours to confound right and "wrong. She vitally wounds the pureft inno. "cence."

## C H A P. IV.

## The Congeniality of the buman Form.

THE fame vital powers that make the heart beat, give motion to the finger; that which roofs the fcull, arches the finger nail. Art is at variance with herfelf; but that is not the cafe with nature, whofe creation is progreffive. From the head to the back, from the fhoulder to the arm, from the arm to the hand, and from the hand:
hand to the finger; from the root to the ftem, the ftem to the branch, the branch to the twig, the twig to the bloffom and fruit; each depend3 on the other, and all on the root: each is fimilar in nature and form. There is a determinate effect of a determinate power. Through all nature each determinate power is productive only of fuch and fuch determinate effects. The finger of one body is not adapted to the hand of another body. Each part of an organized body is an image of the whole. The blood in the extremity of the finger has the chatacter of the blood in the heart. The fame congeniality is found in the nerves, in the bones. One fpirit lives in all. Each member of the body is in proportion to that whole of which it is a part. As from the length of the fmalleft member, the fmalleft joint of the finger, the proportion of the whole, the length and breadth of the body may be found; fo alfo may the form of the whole from the form of each fingle part. When the head is long, all is long, or round when the head is round, or fquare when it is fquare. One form, one mind, one root, appertain to all. Therefore is each organized body fo much a whole, that without difcord, deftruftion, or deformity, nothing can be added or diminifhed.

Every thing in man is progreflive; every thing congenial ; form, ftature, complexion, hair, ikin, veins, nerves, bones, voice, walk, manner, ftyle, paffion, love, hatred. One and the fame fpirit is manifeft in all. He has a determinate fphere, in which his powers and fenfations are allowed, within which they may be freely exercifed, but beyond which he cannot pais. Each countenance is, indeed, fubject to momentary change, though
not perceptible, even in its folid parts; but thefe changes are all proportionate: each is meafured, each proper, and peculiar, to the countenance in which it takes place. The capability of changeis limited. Even that which is affected, affumed, imitated, heterogeneous, fill has the properties of the individual, originating in the natute of thewhole, and is fo definite, that it is only poffible in this, bat in no other being.

It goes very much againft me to repeat this in the prefent age. What, Pofterity! wilt thou fuppofe, thus to fee me fo often obliged to demonftrate to pretended fages, that nature makes no emendations? She labours from one to all. Her's is not disjointed organization, not Mefaic work. The more there is of the Mofaic is the works of artiffs, orators, or poets, the lefs are they natural ; the lefs do they refemble the copious ftreams of the fountain, the ftem extending itfelf to the nemoteft branch.

The more there is of progreffion, the more there is of truth, power, and nature. The more extenfive, general, durable, and noble, is the effect. The defigus of nature are the defigns of a moment; one form, oile fpirit, appear through the whole. Thus nature forms her leaft plant, and thus her moft exalted man. I. fhall have effected nothing by my phyfiognomonical labaars, if I am not able to deftroy that opinion, fo tafteleis, fo unworthy of tie age, fo oppofite to all found philofophy, that nature patches up the features of various countenances, in order to make one perfect countenance; and I hail think them well rewarded, if the congeniality, uniformity, and agreement of human organization be fo demonftrated,
monfrated, that he who fhall deny it will be declared to deny the light of the fun at noon-day.

The human boidy is a plant, each part of which has the character of the fem. Suffer me to repeat this continually, fince this moft evident of all things is continually controverted, among all ranks of men, in words, deeds, books, and works of art. I therefore find the greateft incongruities in the heads of the greatef mafters. I know no painter, of whom 1 can fay he has thoroughly ftudied the harmony of the human outline; not even Pouffin, no, not even Raphael himfelf. Let any one clafs the forms of their countenances, and compare them with the forms of nature. Let him, for inftance, draw the outlines of their foreheads, and endeavour to find fimilar outlines in nature, and he will find incongruities, which could not have been expected in fuch great mafters.

Chodowiecki, excepting the too great length and extent, particularly of his human figures, perhaps, had the moft exact feeling of congeniality in caricature, that is to fay, of the relative property of the deformed, the humorous, or other characteriftical members and features. For as there is conformity and congeniality in the beautiful, fo is there alfo in the deformed. Every cripple has the diftortion peculiar to himfelf, the effects of which are extended to his whole body. In like manner, the evil actions of the evil, and the good actions of the good, have a conformity of character; at leaft, they are all tinged with this conformity of character.

Little as this feems to be remarked by poets and painters, fill is it the foundation of their art ; for wherever emendation is vifible, there admiration
miration is at an end. Why has no painter yet been pleafed to place the blue eye befide the brown one? Yet, abfurd as this would be, no lefs abfurd are the incongruities continually encountered by the phyfiognomonical eve. The nofe of Venus on the head of Madona. I have been affured by a man of fafhion, that, at a mafquerade, with only the aid of an artificial nofe, he entirely concealed himfelf from the knowledge of all his acquaintance. So much does nature reject what does not appertain to itfelf.

I have never yet met with one Roman nofe, among an hundred circular foreheads in profile. In an hundred other fquare foreheads, 1 have fcarcely found one, in which there was not cavities and prominences. I never yet faw a perpendicular forehead, with ftrongly arched features in the lower part of ${ }^{\prime}$ the countenance, the double chin excepted.

I meet no ftrong-bowed eyebrows confirmed with long perpendicular countenances.

Wherever the forehead is projecting, fo in general are the under lips, children excepted.

I have never feen gently arched, yet much retreating foreheads, combined with a fhort fnub nofe, which, in profile, is fharp and funken.
A vifible nearnefs of the nofe to the eye is always attended by a vifible widenefs between the nofe and mouth.

A long covering of the teeth, or, in other words, a long fpace between the nofe and mouth, always indicates finall upper lips. Length of form and face is generally atcended by well-drawn flefhy lips.

I fhall at prefent produce but one more example, which will convince all, who poffefs acute
phyfiognomonical fenfation, how great is the harmony of all nature's forms, and how much the hates the incongruous.

Take two, three, or four fhades of men, remarkable for underftanding, join the features fo artfully that no defect fhall appear, as far as relates to the act of joining; that is, take the forehead of one, and the nofe of a fecond, the mouth of a third, the chin of a fourth, and the refult of this combination of the figns of wifdom fhall be folly. Folly is perhaps nothing more than the emendation of fome heterogeneous addition. "But let thefe " four wife countenances be fuppofed congruous." Let titem fo be fuppofed, or as nearly fo as poffible, ftill their combination will produce the figns of folly.

Thofe therefore who maintain, that conclufion cannot be drawn from a part, from a fingle fection of the profile, to the whole, would be perfectly right, if unarbitrary nature patched up countenances like arbitrary art ; but fhe does not act on thofe principles. Indeed, when a man, being born with underftanding, becomes a fool, there expreffion of heterogeneoufnefs is the confequence. Either the lower part of the countenance extends itfelf, or the eyes acquire a direction not conformable to the forehead, the mouth cannot remain clofed, or the features of the countenance, in fome other manner, lofe their confiftency. All becomes difcord; and folly, in fuch a countenance, is very manifeft. Let him, who would ftudy phyfiognomy, ftudy the relation of the conftituent parts of the countenance. Not having ftudied thefe, he has ftudied nothing.

He only is an accurate phyfiognomift, and has the true firit of phyfiognomy, who poffeffes fenfe, feeling, and fympathetic proportion of the congeniality

## PHYSIOGNOMY.

niality and harmony of nature; and who hath a fimilar fenfe and feeling for all emendations an ${ }^{4}$ additions of art and conftraint. He is no phyfiognomift, who doubts of the propriety, fimplicity, and harmony, of nature, or who has not this phyfiognomonical effential; who fuppofes nature felects members to form a whole, as a compofitor in a printing-houfe does letters to make up a word; who can fuppofe the works of nature are the patchwork of a harlequin jacket? Not the moft infignificant of infects is fo compounded, much lefs man, the moft perfect of organized beings. He refpires not the breath of wildom, who doubts of this progreffion, continuity, and fimplicity of the ftructure of nature. He wants a general feeling for the works of nature, confequently of art, the imitator of nature. I fhall be pardoned this warmth. It is neceflary. The confequences are infinite, and extend to all things. He has the mafter-key of truth, who has this fenfation of the congeniality of nature, and, by neceffary induction, of the human form.

All imperfections in works of art, productions of the mind, moral actions, errors in judgement ; all fcepticifm, infidelity, and ridicule of religion, naturally originate in the want of this knowledge and fenfation. He foars above all doubt of the Divinity and Chrift, who hath them, and who is confcious of their congeniality. He alfo who, at firft fight, thoroughly underftands and feels the congeniality of the human form, and that from the want of this congeniality arifes the difference obferved between the works of nature and of art, is fuperior to all doubt concerning the truth and divinity of the human countenance.

Every one poffeffed of this fenfe, this feeling, call it which you pleafe, will attribute that only and nothing more to each countenance, which it is capable of receiving. They will confider each according to its kind, and will as little feek to add a heterogeneous character as a heterogeneous nofe to the face. Such will only unfold what nature is defirous of unfolding, give what nature is capable of receiving, and take away that with which nature would not be incumbered. They will perceive in the child, pupil, friend, or wife, when any difcordant trait of character makes its appearance, and will endeavour to reftore the original congeniality, the equilibrium of character and impulfe, by acting upon the fill remaining harmony, by cooperating with the yet unimpaired effential powers. They will confider each fin, each vice, as deftructive of this harmony, will feel how much each departure from truth, in the human form, at leaft to eyes more penetrating than human eyes are, muft be manifeft, muft diftort, and muft become difpleafing to the Creator, by rendering it unlike his image. Who, therefore, can judge better of the works and actions of man, who lefs offend, or be offended, who more clearly develope caufe and effect, than the phyfiognomift, poffeffed of a full portion of this fenfation and knowledge ?

## CHAP. V.

## Defcriptions of Plates I. and II.

WE fhall occafionally introduce fome figures. in order to fupport and elucidate thofe opinions and propofitions which may be advanced. Thefe plates refer to objects that have been already alluded to in the preceding pages.

Defcription of Plate I. Number 1. See the Frontippiece.
This is a boldly fketched portrait of Albert Durer. Whoever examines this countenance carnot but perceive in it the traits of fortitude, deep penetration, determined perfeverance, and inventive genius. At leaft, every one will acknowledge the truth of thefe obfervations, when made.

## Number 2. Moncrief.

Very few men, who are capable of obfervation, will clafs this vifage with the flupid. In the afpect, the eye, the nofe efpecially, and the mouth, are proofs, not to be miftaken, of the accomplifhed gentleman, and the man of tafte.

## Number 3. Johnson.

In this $\mathfrak{k k e t c h}$ of Johnfon, the moft unpractifed eye will eafily difcover the acute, the comprehenfive, the capacious mind, not eafily deceived, and sather inclined to fufpicion than credulity.

## Number 4. Shakespeare.

How deficient muft all outlines be ! Among ten thoufand can one be found that is exact? Where
is the outline that can pourtray genins? Yet who does not read, in this countenance, imperfect as it is, from pure phyfiognomonical fenfation, the clear, the capacious, the rapid mind, all conceiving, all embracing, that, with equal fwiftnefs and facility, imagines, creates, produces.

Number 5. Sterne.
The leaft expert reader in phyfiog nomy will not deny to this countenance all the keen, the fearching penetration of wit, the moft original fancy, full of fire, and the powers of invention. Who is fo dull as not to view, in this couvtenance, fomewhat of the fpirit of poor Yorick?

Number 6. S. Clarke.
Perfpicuity, benevolence, dignity, ferenity, difpaffionate meditation, the powers of conception and perfeverance, are the moft apparent characteriftics of this countenance. He who can hate fuch a face muft laborioully counteract all thofe phyfiognomodnical fenfations with which he was born.

## Defcription of Plate II.

Hitherto we have beheld uature in the moft perfect of her productions; we muft now view the reverfe: we mult proceed to contemplate her in her deformity. In this alfo how intelligibly does the fpeak, to the eyes of all, at the firft glance !

## Number I .

Who does not here read reafon debafed, and ftupidity almof funken to brutality? This eye, thefe wrinkles of a lowering forehead, this projecting mouth, the whole pofition of the head, do they not all denote manifeft dulnefs and dibility?

C 2
Number

Number 2. A Fool.
From the fmall eyes in this figure, the open mouth, particularly from the under part of the countenance, no man whatever will expect penetration, reafoning, or wifdom.

## Number 3.

True or falfe, nature or caricature, this countenance will, to the common fenfations of all men, depict an inhuman and brutal character. It is impoffible that brutality fhould be overtooked in the nofe and mouth, or in the eye, though ftill it deferves to be called a human eye.

## Number 4.

Let us proceed to the characters of paflion, which are intelligible to every child, fo that concerning thefe there can be no difpute, if we are in any degree acquainted with their language. The more violent the paffion is, the more apparent are its figns. The effect of the filler paffions is to contract, and of the violent to diffend, the mufcles. Every one will perceive in this countenace fear mingled with abhorrence.

## Number 5.

No man will expect cheerfulnefs, tranquillity, content, ftrength of mind, and magnanimity, from this countenance. Fear and terror are here ftrongly marked.

## Number 6.

Terror, heightened by native indocility of character, are here ftrongly marked.

Such examples might be produced without end; but to adduce fome of the mofl decifive of the va-
ricus claffes is fufficient. We fhall give fome farther fpecimeus hereafter.

## C HAP. VI.

The univerfal Excellence of the Form of Man.

EACH creature is indifpenfable in the immenlity of God's creations; but each creature does not know it is thus indifpenfable Of all earth's creatures, man alone rejoices in his indifpenfability. No man can render any other man difpenfable. The place of no man can be fupplied by another.

This belief of the indifpenfability and individuality of all men, and in our own metaphyfical indifpenfability and individuality, is one of the unacknowledged, the noble fruits of phyfiognomy; a fruit pregnant with moft precious feed, whence fhall fpring lenity and love. Oh! may pofterity behold them flourifh, may future ages repofe under their thade! The moft deformed, the moft corrupt of men, is ftill indifpenfable in this world of God, and is more or lefs capable of knowing his own individuality and unfuppliable indifpenfability. The wickedeft, the moft deformed of men, is ftill more noble than the molt beauteous and perfect animal. Contemplate, O man! what thy nature is, not what it might be, not what is wanting. Humanity, amid all its diftortions, will ever semain wondrous humanity!

I might inceffantly repeat doetrines like this: Art thou better, more beauteous, nobler, than many others of thy fellow-creatures? If fo, rejoice, and afcribe it not to thyfelf, but to him who,
from the fame clay, formed one veffel for honour, another for difhonour; to him who, without thy advice, without thy prayer, without any defert of thine, cauf d thee to be what thou art.

Yea, to him!" for what haft thou, O man! " that thou didft not receive? Now, if thou didf " receive, why doft thou glory as if thou hadft not " received?"-" Can the eye fay to the hand, I " have no need of thee?"-" He that oppreffeth " the poor reproacheth his Maker."-" God hath " made of one blood all nations of men."-Who more deeply, more internally, feels all thefe divine truths than the phyfiognomift ? the true phyfiognomift, who is not merely a man of literature, a reader, a reviewer, an author, but- a man!

I am ready to acknowledge, that the moft humane phyfiognomift, be who fo eagerly fearches whatever is good, beautiful, and noble in nature, who delights in the ideal, whoduly exercifes, nourifhes, refines his tafte, with humanity more improved, more perfect, more holy, even he is in frequent danger, at leaft, is frequently tempted to turn from the common herd of depraved men; from the deformed, the foolifh, the apes, the hypocrites, the vulgar of mankind; in danger of forgetting, that thefe mihhapen forms, thefe apes, thefe hypocrites, are alfo men; and that, notwithftanding all his imagined, or his real excellence, all his noble feelings, the purity of his views, (and who has caufe to boaft of thefe?) all the firmnefs, the foundnefs of his reafon, the feelings of his heart, the powers with which he is endowed, fill lhe is, very probably, from his own moral defects, in the eyes of his fuperior beings, in the eyes of his much more righteous brother, as diftorted as the moft ridiculous, moft depraved moral or phyfical monfter appears to be in his eyes.

As this is very liable to be forgotten, reminding it neceflary both to the writer and reader of this work. Forget nor, that even the wifeft of men are men. Forget not how much pofitive good may be found even in the worf, and that they are as neceflary, as good, in their place, as thou art. Are they not equaily indifpe:: fable, equally unfuppliable? They poffefs not, either in mind or body, the fmalleft thing exactly as thou doft. Each is wholly, and in every part, as individual as thou art. Confider each as if he was fingle in the univerfe; then wilt thou difcover powers and excellencies in him, which abftractly of comparifon, deferve all attention and admiration. Compare him afterwards with others, his fimilarity, his diffimilarity, to fo many of his fellow-creatures.

How muft this incite thy amazement! How wilt thou value the individuality, the indifpenfability of his being! How wilt thou wonder at the harmony of his parts, each contributing to form one whole; at their relation, the relation of his million-fold individuality, to fuch multitudes of other individuals? Yes, we wonder at and adore the fo fimple, yet fo infinitely varied expreflion of Almighty power inconceivable, fo efpecially, and fo glorioufly revealed in the nature of man.

No man ceafes to be a man, how low foever he may fink beneath the dignity of human nature. Not being beaft, he fill is capable of amendment, of approaching perfection. The worft of faces ftill is a human face. Humanity ever continues the honour and ornament of man.

It is as impoffible for a brute animal to become man, although he may in many actions approach, or almoft furpafs him, as for a man to become a brute, although many men indulge themfelves in
actions, which we cannot view in brutes without abhorrence.

The capacity man has of voluntarily debafing himfelf, in appearance even below brutality, is the privilege of man. This very capacity of imitating all things by an act of his will, and the powers of his underftanding, this very capacity man only has, beafts have not. The countenances of beafts are not fufceptible of any remarkable deterioration, nor are they capable of any remarkable amelioration or beautifying. The worft of the countenances of men may be ftill more debafed, but they may alfo, to a certain degree, be improved and ennobled.

The degree of perfection, or degradation, of which man is capable, cannot be deicribed. For this reafon, the worit countenance has a wellfounded claim to the notice, efteem, and hope, of all good men. Agai:, in every human countenance, however debafed, humanity is ftill vifible, that is, the image of the Deity.

I have feen the worf of men, in their worft of moments, yet could not all their vice, blafphemy, and oppreffion of guilt, extinguith the light of good that flone in their countenances, the firit of humanity, the ineffaceable traits of internal, external perfectibility. The finner we would exterminate, the man we muft embrace. O phyfiognomy! what a pledge art thou of the everlafting clemency of God towards man! O man! rejoice with whatever rejoices in its exiftence, and contemn no being whom God doth not contemn.

## C H A P. VII.

## Of the Forehead.

ISHALL appropriate this and fome of the following chapters to remarks on certain individual parts of the human body. The following are my own remarks on foreheads.

The form, height, arching, proportion, obliquity, and pofition of the k all, or bone of the forehead, fhew the propenfity, degree of power, thought, and fenfibility of man. The covering, or Ikin of the forehead, its pofition, colour, wrinkles, and tenfion, denote the paffions and prefent flate of the mind. The bones give the internal quantity, and their covering the application of power.

Though the fkin be wrinkled, the forehead bones remain unaltered; but this wrinkling varies according to the various forms of the bones. A certain degree of flatnefs produces certain wrinkles; a certain arching is attended by certain other wrinkles; fo that the wrinkles, feparately confidered, will give the arching, and this, vice verfa, will give the wrinkles. Certain foreheads can only have perpendicular, others horizontal, others curved, and others mixed and coufufed wrinkles. Smooth, cornerlefs foreheads, when they are in motion, commonly have the fimpleft and lealt perplexed wrinkles.

I confider the peculiar delineation of the outline and pofition of the forehead, which has been left unattempted by ancient and modern phyfiognomifts, to be the moft important of all the things prefented to phyfiognomonical obfervation. We C 5 may
may divide foreheads, confidered in profile, into three principal clafles, the retreating, the perpendicular, and the projecting. Each of thefe clafles has a multitude of variations, which may eafily again be claffed, and the chief of which are rectilinear; half round, half rectilinear, flowing into each other; half round, half rectilinear, interrupted; curve lined, fimple; the curve lined, double and triple.

I fhall add fome more particular remarks, which I apprehend will not be unacceptable to my readers :
r. The longer the forehead, the more comprehenfion, and lefs activity.
2. The more compreffed, fhort, and firm the forehead, the more compreffion, firmnefs, and lefs volatility in the man.
3. The more curved and cornerlefs the outline, the more tender and flexible the character; the more rectilinear, the more pertinacity and feverity.
4. Perfect perpendicularity, from the hair to the eyebrows, want of underftanding.
5. Perfect perpendicularity, gently arched at the top, denotes excellent propenfities of cold, tranquil, profound thinking.
6. Projecting, imbecility, immaturity, weaknefs, ftupidity.
7. Retreating, in general, denotes fuperiority of imagination, wit, and acutenefs.
8. The round and prominent forehead above, flrait lined below, and on the whole perpendicular, fhews much underfanding, fenfibility, ardour, and -icy coldnefs.
9. The oblique, rectilinear head, is alfo very ardent and vigorous.

10. Arched

10. Arched foreheads appear properly to be feminine.
11. A happy union of ftraight and curved lines, with a happy pofition of the forehead, exprefs the moft perfect character of wifdom. By happy union, I mean when the lines infenfibly flow into each other ; and by happy pofition, when the forehead is neither too perpendicular, nor too retreating.
12. I might almoft eftablifh it as an axiom, that right lines, confidered as fuch, and curves, confrdered as fuch, are related as power and weaknefs, obftinacy and flexibility, underftanding and fenfation.
13. I have hitherto feen no man with fharp projecting eyebrows, who had not great propenfity to an acute exercife of the underftanding, and to wife plans.
14. Yet there are many excellent heads which have not this tharpnefs, and which have the more folidity, if the forehead like a perpendicular wall, fink upon the horizontal eyebrows, and be greatly rounded on each fide towards the temples.
15. Perpendicular foreheads, projecting fo as not immediately to reft upon the nofe, which are fmall, wrinkly, fhort, and fhining, are certainly figns of weaknefs, little underftanding, little imagination, little fenfation.
16. Foreheads with many angular, knotty protuberances, ever denote much vigorous, firm, harth, oppreffive, ardent activity, and perfeverance.
17. It is a fure fign of a clear, found underftanding, and a good temperament, when the profile of the forehead has two proportionate arches, the lower of which projects.
18. Eyebones with defined, marking, eafilydelineated, firm arches, I never faw but in noble and in great men. All the ideal antiques have thefe arches.
19. Square foreheads, that is to fay, with extenfive temples and firm eyebones, fhew circumfpection and certainty of character.
20. Perpendicular wrinkles, if natural to the forehead, denote application and power; horizontal wrinkles, and thofe broken in the middle, or at the extremities, in general, negligence or want of power.
21. Perpendicular, deep indentings, in the bones of the forehead, between the eyebrows, I never met with but in men of found underftanding, and free and noble minds, unlefs there were fome pofitively contradictory feature.
22. A blue vena frontalis, in the form of a $Y$, when in an open, fmooth, well-arched forehead, I have only found in men of extraordinary talents, and of an ardent and generous character.
23. The following are the moft undubitable figns of an excellent, a perfectly beautiful and fignificant, intelligent, and noble forehead.

An excellent proportion to the other parts of the countenance. It muft equal the nofe or the under part of the face in length, that is, one third.

In breadth, it muft either be oval at the top (like the foreheads of moft of the great men of England) or nearly fquare.

A freedom from unevennefs and wrinkles, yet with the power of wrinkling, when deep in thought, afflicted by pain, or from juft indignation.

Above it muft retreat, project beneath.
The eyebones muft be fimple, horizontal, and, if feen from above, muft prefent a pure curve.

There

There fhould be a fmall cavity in the centre, from above to below, and traverfing the forehead fo as to feparate into four divifions, which can only be perceptible by a clear defcending light.

The ikin muft be more clear in the forehead than in the other parts of the countenance.

The forehead muft every where be compofed of fuch outlines as, if the fection of one third only be viewed, it can fcarcely be determined whether the lines are ftraight or circular.
24. Short, wrinkled, knotty, regular, preffed in one fide, and fawcut foreheads, with interefting wrinkles, are incapable of durable friendihip.
25. Be not difcouraged fo long as a friend, an enemy, a child, or a brother, though a tranfgreffor, has a good, well-proportioned, open forehead : there is fill much certainty of improvement, much caufe of hope.

## C H A P. VIII.

## Of the Eye and Eyebrows.

WE may generally confider blue eyes as more fignificant of weaknefs, effeminacy, and yielding, than brown and black. It is, indeed, true, that there are many powerful men with blue eyes; but I find more ftrength, manhood, and thought, combined with brown than with blue. Wherefore does it happen, that the Chinefe, or the people of the Philippine iflands, are very feldom blue-eyed, and that Europeans only, or the defcendants of Europeans, have blue eyes in thofe countries?
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countries? This is the more worthy inquiry, be. caufe there are no people more effeminate, luxurious, peaceable, or indolent than the Chinefe.

Eyes of every colour are common to choleric men, but more brown, and inclined to green, than blue. This propenfity to green is almoft a decifive token of ardour, fire, and courage.

Clear blue eyes are feldom met with in the choleric, and never in the melancholic; but moft in the phlegmatic temperament, which, however, had much activity.

When the under arch defcribed by the upper eyelid is perfectly circular, it always denotes goodnefs and tendernefs, but alfo fear, timidity, and weaknefs.
The open eye, not compreffed, forming a long acute angle with the nofe, I have but feldom feen, except in acute and underftanding perfons.

I have feen no eye hitherto, where the eyelid formed a horizontal line over the pupil, that did not appertain to a very acute, able, fubtle man; but be it underftood, that I have met with this eye in very worthy men, but men of great penetration and fimulation.

Wide open eyes, with the white feen under the apple, I have often obferved in the timid and phlegmatic, and alfo in the courageous and rafh. When compared, however, the fiery and the feeble, the determined and the undetermined, will eafily be diftinguifhed. The former are more firm, more ftrongly delineated, have lefs obliquity, have thicker, better cut, but lefs fkinny eyelids.

## ADDITION.

## From the Gotha Court Calendar, 1771, or rather from Buffon.

" THE colours moft common to the eyes are the orange, yellow, green, blue, grey, and grey mixed with white. The blue and orange are moft predominant, and are often found in the fame eye. Eyes fuppofed to be black are only yellow, brown, or a deep orange; to convince ourfelves of which, we need but look at them clofely, for when feen at a diffance, or turned towards the light, they appear to be black ; becaufe the yellow-brown colour being fo contrafted to the white of the eye, that the oppofition makes it fuppofed black. Eyes alfo of a lefs dark colour pafs for black eyes, but are not efteemed fo fine as the other, becaufe the contraft is not fo great. There are alfo yellow and light yellow eyes, which do not appear black, becaufe the colours are not deep enough to be overpowered by the fhade.
" It is not uncommon to perceive fhades of orange, yellow, grey, and blue, in the fame eye; and, whenever blue appears, however fmall the tincture, it becomes the predominant colour, and appears in ftreaks over the whole iris. The orange is in flakes, round, and at fome little diftance from the pupil ; but is fo ftrongly effaced by the blue, that the eye appears wholly blue, and the mixture of orange is only perceived when clofely infpected.
"Thofe eyes, which we imagine to be black or blue, are the fineft. Vivacity and fire, which are the principal characteriftics of the eyes, are the more emitted when the colours are deep and contrafted, rather than when flightly fhaded. Black
eyes have moft ftrength of expreffion, and moft vivacity; but the blue have moft mildnefs, and perhaps are more arched. In the former there is an ardour uninterruptedly bright, becaufe the colour, which appears to us uniform, every way emits fimilar reflections. But modifications are diftinguihed in the light which animates blue eyes, becaufe there are various tints of colour, which produce various reflections.
" Some eyes are remarkable for having what may be faid to be no colour. They appear to be differently conftituted from others. The iris has only fome fhades of blue, or grey, fo feeble, that they are, in fome parts, almoft white; and the fhades of orange, which intervene, are fo fmall that they fcarcely can be diftinguifhed from grey or white, notwithftanding the contraft of thefe colours. The black of the pupil is then too marking, becaufe the colour of the iris is not deep enough, and, as I muft fay, we fee only the pupil in the centre of the eye. Thefe eyes are unmeaning, and appear to be fixed and aghaft.
"The colour of the iris of fome eyes is almoft green; but thefe are more uncommon than the blue, the grey, the yellow, and the yellow-brown. There are alfo people, whofe eyes are not both of the fame colour.
" The images of our fecret agitations are particularly painted in the eyes. The eye appertains more to the foul than any other organ, feems affected by, and to participate in, all its motions, expreffes fenfations the moft lively, paffions the moft tumultuous, feelings the moft delightful, and fentiments the moft delicate. It explains them in all their force, in all their purity, as they take birth, and tranfmits them by traits fo rapid, as to infure
infufe into other minds the fire, the activity, the very image with which themfelves are infpired. The eye at once receives and reflects the intelligence of thought, and the warmth of fenfibility. It is the fenfe of the mind, the tongue of the underffanding."

Again, "As in nature, fo in art, the eyes are "differently formed in the flatues of the gods, and " in heads of ideal beauty, fo that the eye itfelf " is the diftinguihing token. Jupiter, Juno, and "Apollo, have large, round, well arched eyes, " fhortened in length, in order that the arch may " be the higher. Pallas, in like manner, has large " eyes, but the upper eyelid, which is drawn up, is " expreffive of attraction and languifhment. Such "an eye diftinguifhes the heavenly Venus Urania "from luno ; yet the fatue of this Venus, bearing " a diadem, has for that reafon often been mifta" ken, by thofe, who have not made this obferva"tion, for the ftatue of Juno. Many of the mo"dern artifts appear to have been defirous of ex"celling the ancients, and to give what Homer "calls the ox-eye, by making the pupil project, " and feem to fart from the focket. Such an eye " has the modern head of the erroneoully fuppofed " Cleopatra, in the Medicean villa, and which pre" fents the idea of a perfon ftrangled. The fame " kind of eye a young artift has given to the flatue " of the holy virgin, in the church St Carlo al "Torfo."

I thall quote one more paffage from Paracelfus, who, though an aftrological enthufiaft, was a man of very extenfive genius.
" To come to the practical part, and give pro" per figns, with fome of their fignifications, it is " to be remarked, that blacknefs in the eyes gene-
" rally denotes health, a firm mind, not wavering, " courageous, true, and honouiable. Grey eyes " generally denote deceit, inftability, and indeci" fion. Short fight denotes an able projector, crafty, " and intriguing in action. The fquinting, or falfe" fighted, who fee on both fides, or over and un"der, certainly denotes a deceirful, crafty perfon, " not eafily deceived, miftruffful, and not always " to be trufted; one who willingly avoids labour "where he can, indulging in idlenefs, play, ufury, " and pilfering. Small, and deep funken eyes, are " bold in oppofition; not difcouraged, intriguing, " and active in wickednefs; capable of fuffering " much. Large eyes denote a covetous, greedy " man, and efpecially when they are prominent. " Eyes in continual motion fignify fhort or weak " fight, fear, and care. The winking eye denotes " an amorous difpofition, forefight, and quicknefs " in projecting. The down-caft eye thews fhame " and modefty. Red eyes fignify courage and " ftrength. Bright eyes, flow of motion, befpeak "the hero, great acts, audacious, cheerful, one " feared by his enemies."

It will not be expected I fhould fubfcribe to all thefe opinions, they being moft of them ill-founded, at leaft ill defined.

## The EYEBROWS.

Eyebrows regularly arched are characteriftic of feminine youth; rectilinear and horizontal are mafculine; arched and the horizontal combined, denote mafculine underftanding, and feminine kindnefs.

Wild and perplexed denote a correfponding mind, unlefs the hair be foft, and they then fignify gentle ardour.

Compreffed, firm, with the hairs running parallel, as if cut, are one of the moft decifive figns of a firm, manly, mature underftanding, profound wifdom, and a true and unerring perception.

Meeting eyebrows, held fo beautiful by the Arabs, and, by the old phyfiognomifts, fuppofed to be the mark of craft, I can neither believe to be beautiful, nor characteriftic of fuch a quality. They are found in the moft open, honeft, and worthy countenances. It is true, they give the face a gloomy appearance, and perhaps denote trouble of mind and heart.

Sunken eyebrows, fays Winkelmann, impart fomething of the fevere and melancholy to the head of Antinous.
I never yet faw a profound thinker, or even a man of fortitude and prudence, with weak, high eyebrows, which in fome meafure equally divide the forehead.

Weak eyebrows denote phlegm and debility, though there are choleric and powerful men who have them; but this weaknefs of eyebrows is always a deduction from power and ardour.

Angular, ftrong, interrupted eyebrows, ever denote fire and productive activity:

The nearer the eyebrows are to the eyes, the more earneft, deep, and firm the character.

The more remote from the eyes, the more volatile, eafily moved, and lefs enterprifing.

Remote from each other, warm, open, quick fenfation.

White eyebrows fignify weaknefs, and dark brown firmnefs.

The motion of the eyebrows contains numerous expreffions, efpecially of ignoble paffions, pride, anger, and contempt.

CHAP.

## C H A P. IX.

Of the Nofe.

IHAVE generally confidered the nofe as the foundation or abutment of the brain. Whoever is acquainted with the Gothic arch will perfectly underftand what I mean by this abutment: for upon this the whole power of the arch of the forehead refts, and without it the mouth and cheeks would be oppreffed by miferable ruins.

An ugly countenance will never be found accompanied by a beautiful nofe. An ugly perfon may have fine eyes, but not a handfome nofe. I meet with thoufands of beautiful eyes before one fuch nofe, and wherever I find the latter, it denotes an extraordinary character. The following is requifite to the perfectly beautiful nofe.

Its length fhould equal the length of the forehead. At the top fhould be a gentle indenting. Viewed in front, the back fhould be broad, and nearly parallel, yet above the centre fomething broader. The button, or end of the nofe, muft be neither hard nor flefhy, and its under outline muft be remarkably definite, well delineated, neither pointed nor very broad. The fides feen in front muft be well defined, and the defcending noftrils gently thortened. Viewed in profile, the bottom of the nofe fhould not have more than one third of its length. The noftrils above nouft be poipted; below, round, and have in general a gentle curve, and be divided into two equal parts, by the profile of the upper lip. The fides, or arch of the nofe, muft be a kind of wall. Above it
muft clofe well with the arch of the eyebone; and, near the eye, muft be at leaft half an inch in breadth. Such a nofe is of more worth than a kingdom. There are, indeed, innumerable excellent men with defective nofes, but their excellence is of a very different kind. I have feen the pureft, moft capable, and nobleft perfons with fmall nofes, and hollow in profile; but their worth moft confifted in fiffering, liftening, learning, and enjoying the beautiful influences of imagination; provided the other parts of the form were well organized. Nofes, on the contrary, which are arched near the forehead, are capable of command; can rule, act, overcome, deftroy. Rectilinear nofes may be called the key-ftone between the two extremes. They equally act and fuffer with power and tranquillity.

Boerhaave, Socrates, Laireffe, had, more or lefs, ugly nofes, and yet were great men; but their character was that of gentlenefs and patience.

Whenever I have feen a nofe with a broad back, whether arched or rectilinear, I always found it appertain to an extraordinary man. We may examine thoufands of countenances, and numbers of portraits of fuperior men, before we find fuch a one.

Thefe nofes were poffeffed, more or lefs, by' Raynal, Fauftus Socinus, Swift, Cæfar Borgia, Clepzecker, Anthony Pagi, John Charles von En.. keuberg (a man of Herculean ftrength ), Paul Sarpi, Peter de Medicis, Fraucis Caracci, Caffini, Lucas van Leyden, Titian.

There are alfo nofes that are not broad backed, but fmall near the forehead, of an extraordinary power; but their power is rather elaftic and momentary than productive.

Flat and indented nofes are in general peculiar to the Tartars; the Negroes have broad, and the Jews hawk nofes. The nofes of Englifhmen are feldom pointed, but generally round. The Dutch, if we may judge from their portraits, feldom have handfome or fignificant nofes. The nofe of the Italian is large and energetic. The great men of France, in my opinion, have the characteriftic of their greatnefs generally in the nofe: to prove which, examine the collection of portraits by Perrault and Marin.

Small noftrils are ufually an indubitable fign of unenterprifing timidity. The open, breathing noftril, is as certain a token of fenfibility, which may eafily degenerate into fenfuality.

## C H A P. X.

Of the Mouth and Lips.

THE contents of the mind are communicated to the mouth. How full of character is the mouth, whether at reft or fpeaking, by its infinite powers!

Whoever internally feels the worth of this member, fo different from every other member, fo infeparable, fo not to be defined, fo fimple, yet fo various; whoever, I fay, knows and feels this worth, will fpeak and act with divine wifdom. Oh! wherefore can I only, imperfectly, and tremblingly, declare all the honours of the mouth; the chief feat of wifdom and folly, power and debility, virtue and vice, beauty and deformity of
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the human mind; the feat of all love, all hatred, all fincerity, all falfehood, all humility, all pride, all diffimulation, and all truth !

Oh ! with what adoration would I fpeak, and be filent, were I a more perfect man! Oh! difcordant, degraded humanity! Oh! mournful fecret of my mifinformed youth! When, Omnifcience, fhalt thou fland revealed? Unworthy as I am, yet do I adore. Yet worthy I fhall be; worthy as the nature of man will permit : for he who created me, gave me a mouth to glorify him!

Painters and defiguers, what fhall I fay that may induce you to ftudy this facred organ, in all its beauteous expreffions, all its harmony and proportion?

Take plafter impreffions of charafterific mouths, of the living and the dead; draw after, pore over them, learn, obferve, continue day after day to ftudy one only; and, having perfectly ftudied that, you will have ftudied many. Oh! pardon me, my heart is oppreffed. Among ten or twenty draughtfmen, to whom for three years I have preached, whom I have influtied, have drawn examples for, not one have I found who felt as he ought to feel, faw what was to be feen, or could reprefent that which was evident. What can I hope?

Every thing may be expected from a collection of characteriftic plafter impreffions, which might fo eafily be made, were fuch a collection only once formed. But who can fay, whetuer fuch obfervations might not declare too much? The human machine may be incapable of fuffering to be thus analyzed. Man, perhaps, might not endure. fuch clofe infpection; and, therefore, having eyes, he fees not.-I fpeak it with tears, and why I
weep thou knoweft, who with me inquireft into the worth of man. And you, weaker, yet candid, though on this occafion unfeeling readers, pardon me!

Obferve the following rules: Diftinguiffin each mouth the upper lip fingly; the under lip the fame; the line formed by the union of both when tranquilly clofed, if they can be clofed without conftraint ; the middle of the upper lip, in particular, and of the under lip; the bottom of the middle line at each ead; and, laftly, the extending of the middle line on both fides. For, unlefs you thus diftinguifh, you will not be able to delineate the mouth accurately.

As are the lips, fo is the character. Firm lips, firm character ; weak lips, and quick in motion, we $k$ and wavering characters.

Well defined, large, and proportionate lips, the middle line of which is equally ferpentine on both fides, and eafy to be drawn, though they may denote an inclination to pleafure, are never feen in a bad, mean, common, falfe, crouching, vicious countenance.

A liplefs mouth, refembling a fingle line, denotes coldnefs, induftry, a love of order, precifion, houfewifery; and if it be drawn upwards at the two ends, affection, pretenfion, vanity, and which may ever be the production of cool vanity, malice.

Very flefhy lips muft ever have to contend with fenfuality and indolence. The cut-through, fharpdrawn lip, with anxiety and avarice.

Calm lips, well clofed, without conftraint, and well delineated, certainly betoken confideration, difcretion, and firmnefs.


A mild overhanging upper lip generally fignifies goodnefs. There are innumerable good perfons alfo with projecting under lips ; but the goodnefs of the latter is rather cold fidelity, and well-meaning, than warm active friendhip.

The under lip hollowed in the middle denotes a fanciful character. Let the moment be remarked, when the conceit of the jocular man defcends to the lip, and it will be feen to be a little hollow in the middle.

Courage and fortitude are always denoted by 2 clofed mouth, not fharpened, not affected; and the open mouth always clofes where courage is indifpenfable. Opennets of mouth fpeaks complaint, and clofenefs endurance.
Though phyfiognomifts have as yet but little no ticed, yet much might be faid concerning the lip. improper, or the flefhy covering of the upper teeth, on which anatomifts have not, to my knowledge, yet beftowed any name, and which may be called the curtain, or pallium, extending from the beginning of the nofe to the red upper lip proper.
If the upper lip improper be long, the proper is always fhort ; if it be fhort and hollow, the proper will be large and curved : another certain demonftration of the conformity of the human countenance. Hollow upper lips are much lefs common than flat and perpendicular: the character they denote is equally uncommon.

## C H A P. XI.

## Of the Teeth and Cbin.

THAN the chara\{teriftics of the teeth, and the manner in which they difplay themfelves, nothing is more flriking, or continually vifible. The following are the obfervations I have made thereon:

Small, fhort teeth, which have generally been held by the old phyfiognomifts to denote weaknefs, $I$ have remarked in adults of extraordinary ftrength; but they feldom were of a purer white.

Long teeth are certain figus of weaknefs and pufillanimity. White, clean, well arranged teeth, vifible as foon as the mouth opens, but not projecting, nor always entirely feen, 1 have never met with in adults, except in good, acute, honeft, can. did, faithful men.

I have alfo met foul, uneven, and ugly teeth, in perfons of the above grod character; but it was always either ficknefs, or fome mental imperfection, which gave this deformity.

Whoever leaves his teeth foul, and does not attempt to clean them, certainly betrays much of the negligence of his character, which does him no honour.

As are the teeth of man, that is to fay, their form, pofition, and cleanlinefs, (fo far as the latter depends on himfelf) fo is his tafte.

Wherever the upper gum is very vifible, at the firft opening of the lips, there is generally much cold and phlegm.

Much, indeed, might be written upon the teeth, though they are generally neglected in all hiftorical
paintings.
paintings. To be convinced of this, we need but obferve the teeth-of an individual during the courfe of a fingle day, or contemplate an apartment crowded with fools. We fhould not then, for a moment, deny that the teeth, in conjunction with the lips, are very characteriftic, or that phyfiognomy has gained another token, which triumphs over all the arts of diffimulation.

## The Cbin.

Numerous experiments have convinced me, that the projecting chin ever denotes fomething pofitive, and the retreating fomething negative. The prefence or abfence of ftrength in man is often fignified by the chin.

I have never feen fharp indentings in the middle of the chin but in men of cool underftandings, unlefs when fomething evidently contradictory appeared in the countenance.

The pointed chin is generally held to be a fign of acutenefs and craft, though 1 know very worthy perfons with fuch chins. Their craft is the craft of the beft dramatic poetry.

The foft, fat, double chin generally points out the epicure; and the angular chin is feldom found but in difcreet, well difpofed, firm men.

Flatnefs of chin fpeaks the cold and dry ; fmallnefs, fear; and roundnefs, with a dimple, benevolence.
$\mathrm{D}_{2}$ CHAP.

## C H A P. XII.

Of Sculls.

WHAT a fund for inquiry will not the mere fcull of man afford the anatomit! How much more the phyfiognomift!ad ftill how much more to the man who is both anatomift and phyfiognomift ! I blufh when I think how much I ought to know, and of how much I am ignorant, while writing on a part of the body of man, which is fo fuperior to all that fcience has yet difcovered; to all belief, to all conception.

I confider the fyftem of the bones as the great outline of man, and the fcull as the principal part of that fyftem. I pay more attention to the forming and arching of the fcull, as far as I am acquainted with it, than all my predeceffors; and I have confidered this moft firm, leaft changeable, and far beft defined, part of the human body, as the foundation of the fcience of phyfiognomy. I fhall therefore be permitted to be particular in my obfervations on this member of the human body.

I honeflly confefs, that I fcarcely know where to begin, where to end, what to fay, or what to omit. I think it advifeable to premife a few words concerning the generation and formation of human bones.

The whole of the human foetus is at firft fuppofed to be only a foft mucilaginous fubflance, homogeneous in all its parts, and that the bones themfelves are but a kind of coagulated fluid, which afterwards become membraneous, then cartilaginous, and at laft hard bone.

As this vifcous congelation, originally fo tranfparent and tender, increafes, it becomes thicker and more opaque, and a dark point makes its ap, pearance different from the cartilage, and of the nature of bone, but not yet perfectly hard. This point may be called the kernel of the future bone, the center round which the offification extended.

We muft, however, confider the coagulation attached to the cartilage as a mafs without fhape, and only with a proper propenfity for affuming its future form. In its earlieft, tendereft flate, the traces of it are expreffed upon the cartilage, though very imperfectly.

With refpect to the boney kernels, we find differences which feem to determine the form of the fature bones. The fimple and fimaller bones have each only one kernel, but, in the more grofs, thick, and angular, there are feveral, in different parts of the original cartilage; and it muft be remarked, that the number of the joining bones is equivalent to the number of the kernels.

In the bones of the $\mathfrak{f k u l l}$, the round kernel firft is apparent, in the center of each piece; and the offification extends itfelf, like radii from the center, in filaments, which increafe in length, thicknefs, and folidity, and are interwoven with each other, like net-work. Hence thefe delicate, indented futures of the fcull, when its various parts are at length joined.

We have only hitherto fpoken of the firft fage of offification. The fecond begins about the fourth or fifth month, when the bones, together with the reft of the parts, are more perfectly formed, and, in the progrefs of offification, include the whole. cartilage, according to the more or lefs life of the
creature, and the original different impulfe and power of notion in the being.

Agreeable to their original formation through each fucceeding period of age, they will continue to increafe in thicknefs and hardnefs. But on this fubject anatomifts difagree.-So let them. Future phyfiognomifts may confider this more at large. I retreat from conteft, and will travel in the high road of certainty, and confine myfelf to what is vifible.

It is, however, thus far certain, that the activity of the mufcles, veffels, and other parts which furround the bones, contribute much to their formation, and gradually increafe in hardnefs.

The remains of the cartilaginous, in the young bones, will, in the fixth and feventh month, decreafe in quantity, harden, and whiten, as the boncy parts approach perfection. Some bones obtain a certain degree of firmnefs in much lefs time than others; as, for example, the fcull bones, and the fall bones within the ear. Not only whole bories, but parts of a fiegle bone, are of various degrees of hardnefs. They will be hardeft at the place where the kernel of offification began, and the parts adjacent, and the rigidity increafes more flowly and infenfibly the harder the bones are, and the older the man is. What was cartilage will become bone; parts that were feparate will grow together, and the whole bones be deprived of moifture.

Anatomifts divide the form into the natural or the effential, which is generally the fame, in all bones, in the human body, how different foever it may be to other bodies ; and into the accidental, which is fubject to various changes in the fame individual,
individual, according to the influence of external objects, or efpecially of the gradation of age.

The firft is founded in the univerfality of the nature of parents, and the circumftances which naturally and invariably attend propagation. Anatomifts confider only the defignation of the bones individually; on this, at leaft, is grounded the agreement of what they call the effential form, in diftinct fubjects. This therefore only fpeaks to the. agreement of human countenances fo far as they have each two eyes, one nofe, one mouth, and other features thus or thus difpofed.
This natural formation is certainly as different as human countenances afterwards are; which difference is the work of nature, the original deftination of the Lord and Creator of all things. The phyfiognomif diftinguifhes between original form and deviations.
Each bone hath its original form, its individual capacity of form. It may, it does continually alter; but it never acquires the peculiar form of another bone, which was originally different. The accidental changes of bones, however great, or different from the original form, are yet ever governed by the nature of this original individual form; nor can any power of preffure ever fo change the original form, but that, if compared to another fyftem of bones that has fuffered an equal preffure, it will be perfectly diftinct. As little as the Ethiopian can change his 1 kin, or the leopard his fpots, whatever be the changes to which they may be fubject, as little can the original' form of any bone be changed into the original form of any other bone.

Veffels every where penetrate the bones, fupplying them with juices and marrow. The younger

[^0]the bone is the more are there of thefe veffels, confequently the more porous and flexible are the bones; and the reverfe. The period when fuch or fuch changes take place in the bones cannot eafily be defined; it differs according to the nature of men and accidental circumftances.

Large and long and multiform bones, in order to facilitate their offification and growth, at firft, confift of feveral pieces, the fmaller of which are called fupplemental. The bone remains imperfect till thefe become incorporated. Hence their poffible diftortion in children, by the rickets, and other incidental diforders.

## C H A P. XIII.

Suggeftions to the Pbyfiognomift cancerning the Scull.

THE diftortion of the bones, efpecially thofe of the head, ought to claim the attention of the fcientific phyfiognomift. He ought to learn acinrately to remark, compare, and define, the firft form of children, and the numerous relative deviations. He ought to have attained that precifion that fhould enable him to fay, at beholding the head of a new-born infant, of half a year, a year, or two years old, "Such and fuch will be the " form of the fyftem of the bones, under fuch and "fuch limitations;" and on viewing the fcull at ten, twelve, twenty, or twenty-four years of age, "Such or fuch was the form eight, ten, or twenty "years ago; and fuch or fuch will be the form " eight, ten, or twenty years hence, violence ex"cepted."
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" cepted." He ought to be able to fee the youth in the boy, and the man in the youth; and, on the reverfe, the youth in the man, the boy in the youth, the infant in the boy, and, laftly, the embryo in its proper individual form.

Let us, O ye who adore that Wiflom which has framed all things, contemplate, a moment longer, the human fcull! There are, in the bare fcull of man, the fame varieties as are to be found in the whole external form of the living man.

As the infinite varieties of the external form of man is one of the indeftructible pillars of phyfiognomy, no lefs fo, in my opinion, muft the infinite varieties of the fcull itfelf be. What I have hereafter to remark will, in part, fhew that we ought particularly to begin by that, if, inftead of a fubject of curiofity or amufement, we would wih to make the fcience of phyfiognomy univerfally ufeful.

I fhall fhew, that from the fructure, form, outline, and properties, of the bones, not all, indeed, but much may be difcovered, and probably more than from all the other parts.

## Objection and Anfwer.

What anfwer thall I make to that objection, with which a certain anti-phyfrognomif has made himfelf fo merry?
"In the catacombs near Rome (fays he), a number of ikeletons were found, which were fuppofed to be the relics of faints, and, as fuch, were honoured. After fome time, feveral learned men began to doubt, whether thefe had really been the fepulchres of the firt Chriftians and martyrs ; and even to fufpect, that malefactors and banditti might have been buried there. The piety of the
faithful was thus much puzzled; but, if the fcience of phyfiognomy be fo certain, they might have removed all their doubts by fending for Lavater, who, with very little trouble, by merely examining and touching them, might have diftinguifhed the bones of the faints from the bones of the banditti, and thus have reftored the true relics to their juff and original pre-eminence."
"The conceit is whimfical enough (anfwers.a cold and phlegmatic friend of phyfiognomy), but; having tired ourfelves with laughing, let us examine what would have been the confequence had this ftory been fact. According to our opinion, the phyfiognomift would have remarked great differences, in a number of bones, particularly in the fculls, which, to the ignorant, would have appeared perfectly fimilar; and, having claffed his heads, and fhewn their immediate gradations, and the contraft of the two extremes, we may prefume, the attentive fpectator would have been inclined to pay fome refpect to the conjectures on the qualities and activity of brain, which each formerly contained.
"Befides, when we reflect how certain it is, that many malefactors have been poffeffed of extraordinary abilities and energy, and how uncertain it is whether many of the faints, who are honoured with red-letter days in the calendar, ever poffeffed fuch qualities, we find the queftion fo intricate, that we fhould be inclined to pardon the poor phyfiognomift, were he to refufe an anfwer, and leave the decifion to the great infallible Judge."

## Further Reply.

Let us endeavour farther to invefigate the queftion; for, though this anfwer is good, it is infuf-
ficient.
ficient. Whoever yet pretended to diftinguifh faints from banditti, by infpecting only the fcull?

To me it appears, that juftice requires we fhould, in all our decifions concerning books, men, and opinions, judge each according to their pretenfions, and not alcribe pretenfions which have not been made to any man.
I have heard of no phyfiognomift who has had, and I am certain that I myfelf never have had, any fuch prefumption. Notwithftanding which I maintain, as a truth moft demonftrable, that, by the mere form, proportion, hardnefs, or weaknefs of the fcull, the ffrength or weaknefs of the general character may be known with the greateft certainty. But, as hath been often repeated, ftrength and weaknefs are neither virtue nor vice, faint nox malefactor.

Power, like riches, may be employed to the advantage or detriment of fociety, the fame as wealth may be in the poffeffion of a faint or demon; and, as it is with wealth or arbitrary pofitive power, fo it is with natural innate power. As in an hundred rich men there are ninety-nine who are not faints, fo will there fcarcely be one faint among an hundred men born with this power.

When, therefore, we remark in a fcull great original and percuffive power, we cannot indeed fay, this man was a malefactor; but we may affirm, there was this excefs of power, which, if it were not qualified and tempered during life, there is the higheft probability it would have been agitated by the fpirit of conqueft, would have become a general, a conqueror, a Cæfar, a Cartouch. Under certain circumftances he would probably have acted in a certain manner, and his actions would have varied according to the variation of circum-
ftances, but he would always have acted with ar. dour, tempeftuoully, always as a ruler and a conqueror.

We may alfo affirm of certain other fculls, which, in their whole ftrueture and form, difeover tendernefs, and a refemblance to parchment, that they denote weaknefs; a mere capability of perceptive without percuffive, without creative power. Therefore, ander certain circumftances, fuch perfons would have acted weakly. They would not have had the native power of withftanding this or that temptation, of engaging in this or that enterprize. In the faftionable world, they would have acted the fop, the libertine in a more confined circle, and the enthufiaftic faint in a convent.

Oh! how differently may the fame power, the fame fenfibility, the fame capacity, act, feel, and conceive, under different circumftances! And hence we may, in part, comprehend the poffibility of predefination and liberty in one and the fame fubject.

Take a man of the commoneft underfanding to a charnel houfe, and make him attentive to the difference of fculls; in a fhort time he will either pèrceive of himfelf, or underftand when told, here is frength, there weaknefs; here obftinacy, and there indecifion.

If thewn the bald head of Cæfar, as painted by Rubeno or Titian, or that of Michael Angelo, what.man would be dull enough not to difcover that impulfive power, that rocky comprehenfion, by which they were peculiarly characterifed; and that more ardour, more action, muft be expected than from a fmooth, round, flat head!

How characteriftic is the fcull of Charles XII.! How different from the fcull of his biographer Voltaire !
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Voltaire! Compare the fcull of Judas with the fcull of Chrift, after Holbein, difcarding the muf. cular parts, and I doubt, if afked, which was the wicked betrayer, which the innocent betrayed, whether any one would hefitate.

It muft be acknowledged, that when two determinate heads are prefented to us, with fuch friking differences, and the one of which is known to be that of a malefactor, the other that of a faint, it is infinitely more eafy to decide; but he who can diftinguif between them, fhould not therefore affirm, he can diftinguifh the foulls of faints from the fculls of malefactors.

To conclude this chapter. Who is unacquainted with the anecdote in Herodotus, that it was pofible, many years afterwards, on the field of battle, to diftinguifh the fculls of the effeminate Medes from thofe of the manly Perfians? I think I have heard the fane remark made of the Swifs and the Burgundians. This at leaft proves it is granted, that we may perceive, in the foull only, a difference of ftrength and manners, as well as of nations.

## C H A P. XIV.

Of the Difference of Sculls as they relate to Sex, ard particzlarly to Nations.-Of the Sculls of Children.

AN Eflay on the difference of bones, as they relate to fex, and particularly to nations, has been publithed by M. Fifcher, which is well deferving
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ferving of attention. The following are fome thoughts on the fubject, concerning which nothing will be expected from me, but very much from M. Kamper.

Confideration and comparifon of the external and internal make of the body, in male and female, teaches us, that the one is deftined for labour and flrength; and the other for beauty and propagation. The bones particularly denote mafculine ftrength, in the former; and, fo far as the ftronger and the prominent are more eafy to defribe than the lefs prominent and the weaker, fo far is the male fkeleton and the fcull the eafieft to define.

The general ftructure of the bones in the male, and of the fcull in particular, is evidently of ftronger formation than in the female. The body of the male increafes, from the hip to the fhoulder, in breadth and thicknefs; hence the broad fhoulders and fquare form of the ffrong. Whereas the female fkeleton gradually grows thinner and weaker from the hip upwards, and by degrees appears as if it were rounded.

Even fingle bones in the female are more tender, fmooth, and round ; have fewer harp edges, cutting and prominent corners:

We may here properly cite the remark of Santorinus concerning the difference of fculls, as they relate to fex. "The aperture of the mouth, the palate, and in general the parts which form the voice, are lefs in the female; and the more fmall and round chin, confequently the under part of the mouth, correfpond."

The round or angular form of the fcull may be very powerfully and effentially turned to the advantage of the phyfiognomift, and become a fource
of innumerable individual judgements. Of this the whole work abounds with proofs and examples.

No man is perfectly like another, either in external conftruction or internal parts, whether great or fmall, or in the fyftem of the bones. I find this difference not only between nations, but between perfons of the neareft kindred; but not fo great between thefe, and between perfons of the fame nation, as between nations remote from each other, whofe manners and food are very different. The more confidently men converfe with, the more they refemble each other, as well in the formation of the parts of the body, as in language, manners, and food; that is, fo far as the formation of the body can be influenced by external accidents. Thofe nations, in a certain degree, will refemble each other, that have commercial intercourfe, they being aeted upon by the effect of climate, imitation, and habit, which have fo great an influence in forming the body and mind; that is to fay, the vifible and invifible powers of man; although national character fill remains, and which character, in reality, is much eafier to remark than to defcribe.

To fome fuch perfon as Kamper, we fhall leave more extenfive inquiries and obfervations concerning this fubject, and refrain, as becomes us, not having obtained fufficient knowledge of the fubject to make remarks of our own, of fufficient impertance.
With refpect to ftrength, firmnefs, fructure, and proportion of the parts, differences are certainly vifible in all the bones of the fkeletons of different nations; but moft in the formation of the countenance, which every where contaius the peculiar expreffions of nature, of the mind.
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The fcull of a Dutchman, for example, is in general rounder, with broader bones, curved, and arched in all its parts, and with the fides lefs flat and comprefled.

A Calmuc fcull will be more rude and grofs; flat on the top, prominent at the fides; the parts firm and compreffed, the face broad and flat.

The fcull of the Ethiopian is fteep, fuddenly elevated; as fuddenly fmall, fharp, above the eyes; beneath ftrongly projecting; circular, and high behind.

In proportion as the forehead of the Calmuc is flat and low, that of the Ethiopian is high and narrow ; while the back part of an European head has a much more protuberant arch, and fpierical form behind, than that of a negro.

## Of Sculls of Cbildren.

The fcull, or head, of a child, drawn upon paper, without any additional circumftance, will be generally known, and feldom confounded with the head of an adult. But, to keep them diftinct, it is neceffary the painter fhould not be too hafty and incorrect in his obfervations of what is peculiar, or fo frequently generalize the particular, which is the eternal error of painters, and of fo many pretended phyfiognomifts.

There are certain conftant figns, notwithftanding individual variety, proper to the head of a child, which as much confift in the combination and form of the whole as in the fingle parts.

It is well known, that the head is larger, in proportion to the reft of the body, the younger the perfon is; and it feems to me, from comparing the fcull of the embryo, the child, and the man,
that the part of the fcull which contains the brain is proportionably larger than the parts that compofe the jaw and the countenance. Hence it happens that the forehead in children, efpecially the upper part, is fo prominent.

The bones of the upper and under jaw, with the teeth they contain, are later in their growth, and more flowly attain perfect formation. The under part of the head generally increafes more than the upper, till it has attained full growth. Several procefles of the bones, as the preceffus mamillares, which lie behind and under the ears, form themfelves after the birth; as do alfo, in a great meafure, various hidden finuffes, or cavities, in their bones. The quill-form of thefe bones, with their various points, ends, and protuberances, and the numerous mufcles that are annexed to them, and continually in action, make the greater increafe more poffible and eafy than can happen in the fpherical boney covering of the brain, when once the futures are entirely become folid.

This unequal growth of the two principal parts of the fcull muft neceffarily produce an effential difference in the whole, without enumerating the obtufe extremities, the edges, fharp corners, and fingle protuberances, which are chiefly occafioned by the action of the mufcles.

The countenance below the forehead becomes more protuberant as the man grows; and as the fides of the face, that is to fay, the temple bones, which are alfo llow in coming to perfection, continually remove farther from each other, the fcull gradually lofes that pear form, which it appears to me to have had in embryo.

The finus frontales firlt form themfelves after birth. The prominence at the bottom of the forehead
head between the eyebrows, is likewife wanting in children. The forehead joins the nofe without any renarkable curve. This latter circumftance may alfo be obferved in fome grown perfons, when the finus frontales are either wanting or very fmall; for thefe cavities are found very different in different fubjects.

The nofe, during growth, alters exceedingly; but I am unable to explain in what manner the bones contribute to this alteration, it being chiefly cartilaginous. Accurately to determine this, many experiments on the heads and fculls of children, and grown perfons, would be neceffary; or, rather, if we could compare the fame head with itfelf, at different ages, which might be done by the means of fhades, fuch gradation of the head or heads would be of great utility to the phyfiog. nomift.

## CHAP. XV. <br> Defcription of Plate III.

## Number 1.

THIS outline, from a buft of Cicero, appears to me an almoft perfect model of congeniality. The whole has the character of penetrating acutenefs, an extraordinary, though not a great profile. All is acute, all is fharp. Difcerning, fearching, lefs benevolent than fatirical, elegant, confpicuous, fubtle.

Number 2.
Another congenial countenance. Too evidently nature, for it to be miftaken for ideal, or the invention and emendation of art. Such a forehead does not betoken the rectilinear but the nofe thus bent. Such an upper lip, fuch an open eloquent mouth. The forehead does not lead us to expect high poetical genius; but acute punctuality, and the ftability of retentive memory. It is impoffible to fuppofe this a common countenance.

## Number 3.

The forehead and nofe not congenial. The nofe hews the very acute thinker. The lower part of the forehead, on the contrary, efpecially the diftance between the eyebrow and eye, do not betoken this high degree of mental power. The ftif pofition of the whole is at much variance with the eye and mouth, but particularly with the nofe. The whole, the eyebrow excepted, fpeaks a calm, peaceable, mild character.

Number 4.
The harmony of the mouth and nofe is felf-evident. The forehead is too good, too comprehenfive, for this very limited under part of the countenance. The whole befpeaks a harmlefs character; nothing delicate, nor fevere.

## Number 5 .

We have here a high bold forehead, with a fhort-feeming blunt nofe, and a fat double chin. How do thefe harmonize! It is almoft a general law of nature, that, where the eyes are ftrong drawn, and the eyebrows near, the eyebrows muft alfo
alfo be ftrong. This countenance, merely by its harmony, its prominent congenial traits, is expreffive of found, clear underftanding: the countenance of reafon.

## Number 6.

The perfect countenance of a politician. Faces which are thus pointed from the eyes to the chin always have lengthened nofes, and never poffefs large, open, powerful, and piercing eyes. Their firmneifs partakes of obftinacy, and they rather follow intricate plans than the dictates of commos fenfe.

## G H A P. XVI.

## The Pbyfognomift.

MOST men have talents for moft things, yet we may venture to affert, that very few have the determinate and effential talents. All men have talents for drawing. They can all learn to write, well or ill; yet not an excellent draffeman will be produced in ten thoufand. The fame may. be affirmed of eloquence, poetry, and phyfiognomy. All men, who have eyes and ears, have talents to become phyfiognomifts; yet not one in ten thoufand can become an excellent phyfognomift.

It may not therefore be improper to fketch the character of the true phyfiognomift, that thofe who are deficient of the requifite talents may be deterred from the fudy of phyfiognomy. The pretended phyfiognomift, with a foolifh head and a wicked heart, is certainly one of the moft contemptible
temptible and mifchievous creatures that crawls on the face of the earth.

No one, whofe perfon is not well formed, can become a good phyfioguomift. Thofe painters were the beft, whofe perfons were the handfomeft. Reubens, Vandyke, and Raphael, poffefling three gradations of beauty, poffeffed three gradations of the genius of painting. The phyfiognomits of the greateft fymmetry are the beft. As the moft virtuous can belt determine on virtue, and the juft on juftice, fo can the moft handfome countenances on the goodnefs, beauty, and noble traits, of the human countenance, and confequently on its defects and ignoble properties. The fearcity of hu* man beauty is the reafon why phyfiognomy is fo much decried, and finds fo many opponents.

No perfon, therefore, ought to enter the fanctuary of phyfiognomy who has a debafed mind, an ill-formed forehead, a blinking eye, or a diftorted mouth. "The light of the body is the eye; if, therefore, thine eye be fingle, thy whole body fhall be fulloflight ; but if thine eye be evil, thy whole body fhall be full of darknefs : If, therefore, that light that is in thee be darkneis, how great is that darknefs?"

Any one who would become a phyfiognomift cannot meditate too much on this text. O fingle eye ! that beholdeft all things as they are, feeft nothing falfely, with glance oblique, nothing overlookeft! O moit perfect image of reafon and wif. dom!-Why do I fay image! Thou art reafon and wifdom themfelves! Without thy refplendent light would all that appertains to phyfiognomy beconse dark!

He who does not, at the firt afpect of any man, feel a certain emotion of affection or dillike, attraction
traction or repulfion, never can become a phyfiognomif.

He who ftudies art more than nature, and prefers what the painters call manner to the truth of drawing; he who does not feel himfelf moved almoft to tears, at beholding the ancient ideal beauty, and the prefent depravity of men and imitative art ; he who views antique gems, and does not difcover enlarged intelligence in Cicero, enterprifing refolution in Cæfar, profound thought in Solon, invincible fortitude in Brutus, in Plato god-like wifdom; or, in modern medals, the height of human fagacity in Montefquieu, in Haller the energetic contemplative look, and moft refined tafte: the deep reafoner in Locke, and the witty fatirift in Voltaire, even at the firf glance, never can become a phyfioguomit.

He who does not dwell with fixed rapture on the afpect of benevolence in action, fuppofing itfelf unobferved; he who remains unmoved by the voice of innocence, the guiltlefs look of unviolated chaftity, the mother contemplating her beauteous fleeping infant; the warm preffure of the hand of a friend, or his eye fwimming in tears; he who can lightly tear himfelf from fcenes like thefe, and turn them to ridicule, might much eafier commit the crime of parricide than become a phyfiognomift.
If fuch be the cafe, what then is required of the phyfiognomift? What fhould his inclination, talents, qualities, and capabilities be?

In the firft place, as hath been in part already remarked, his firft of requifites fhould be a body well proportioned, and finely organized; accuracy of fenfation, capable of receiving the moft minute outward impreffions, and eafily tranfmit-
ting them faithfully to memory; or, as I ought rather to fay, impreffing them upon the imagination, and the fibres of the brain. His eye, in particular, muft be excellent, clear, acute, rapid, and firm.

The very foul of phyfiognomy is precifion in obfervation. The phyfiognomift muft poffefs a moft delicate, fwift, certain, moft extenfive fpirit of obfervation. To obferve is to be attentive, fo as to fix the mind on a particular object, which it felects, or may felect, for confideration, from a number of furrounding objects. To be attentive is to confider fome one particular object, exclufively of all others, and to analize, confequently to diftinguifh what is fimilar, what diffimilar, to difcover proportion, and difproportion, is the office of the underftanding.

If the phyfiognomift has not an accurate, fuperior, and extended underftanding, he will neither be able rightly to obferve, nor to compare and clafs his obfervations, much lefs to draw the neceffary conclufion. Phyfiognomy is the higheft exercife of the underftanding, the logic of corporeal varieties.

To the cleareft and profoundeft underftanding, the true phyfiognomift unites the moft lively, ftrong, comprehenfive imagination, and a fine and rapid wit. Imagination is neceffary to imprefs the traits with exactnefs, fo that they may be renewed at pleafure; and to range the pictures in the mind as perfectly as if they ftill were vifible, and with all poffible order.

A keen penetration is indifpenfable to the phyfiognomift, that he may eafily perceive the refemblance that exifts between objects. Thus, for example, he fees a head or forehead poffeffed of certain
tain characteriftic marks: thefe marks prefent themfelves to his imagination, and a keen panetration difcovers to what they are fimilar. Hence greater precifion, certainty, and expreffion, are imparted to his images. He mult have the capacity of uniting the approximation of each trait that he remarks, and be able to define the degree of this approximation. No one, who is not inexhauftibly copious in language, can become a phyfiognomift; and the higheft poffible copioufnefs is . poor, comparatively with the wants of phyfiognomy. All that language can exprefs, the phyfingnomift muft be able to exprefs. He muft be the creator of a new language, which muft be equally precife and alluring, natural and intelligible.

Every production of art, tafte, and mind; all vocabularies of all nations, all the kingdoms of nature, muft obey bis command, muff fupply his neceffities.
The art of drawing is indifpenfable, if he would be precife in his definitions, and accurate in his decifions. Drawing is the firft, moft natural, and unequivocal language-of phyfiognomy; the beft aid of the imagination, the only means of preferving and communicating numberlefs peculiarities, thades, and expreffions, which are not by words, or any other mode to be defcribed. The phyfiognomitt, who cannot draw haftily, accurately, and characteriftically, will be unable to make, much lefs to retain, or communicate, innumerable obfervations.

The knowledge of anatomy is indifpenfable to him; as alfo is phyfiology, or the fcience of the human body in health; not only that he may be able to remark any difproportion, as well in the folids as in the mufcular parts, but that he may
likewife
likewife be capable of naming theefe parts in his phyfiognomonical language. He muft alfo be xcquainted with the temperament of the human body. Not ouly its differe t colours and appearances, viecafioned by the mixture of the blood, but alfo the conftituent parts of the blood itfelf, and their different proportions. Still more efpecially muft be underftood the external fymptons of the conflitution, relative to the nervous fytem; for on this depends more than even on the knowledge of the blood.

What an extenfive knowledge ought he to have of the human heart, and the manners of the world! How thoroughly ought he to infpect, to feel himfelf! That moft effential, yet moft difficult of all knowledge, to the phyfiognomift, ought to be poffeffed by him in all poffible perfecion. In proportion only as he knows himfelf will he be enabled to kiow others.

Not only is this felf-knowledge, this fudying of man, by the fludy of his owa heart, with the genealogy and confanguinity of inclinations and paffions, their various fyinptoms and changes, neceffary to the phyfiognomift, for the foregoing caules, but alfo for an additional reafon.
" The peculiar hades (I here cite the words of one of the critics on my firf effay), the peculiar thades of feeling, which moft aff ct the obferver of any object, frequently have telation to his own mind, and will be fooneft remarked by him in proportion as they fympathize with his own powers. They will affect him moft, according to the manner in which he is accuftomed to furvey the phyfical and moral world. Many, therefore, of his obfervations are applicable only to the obferver himeelf; and, however ftrongly they may be conE ceived
ceived by him, he cannot eafily impart them to others. Yet thefe minute obfervations influence his judgement. For this reafon, the phyfiognomitt muft, if he knows himfelf, which he in juftice ought to do before he attempts to know others, once more compare his remarks with his own peculiar mode of thinking, and feparate thofe which are general from thofe which are individual, and appertain to himfelf." I fhall make no commentary on this important precept. I thall here only repeat, that an accurate and profound knowledge of his own heart is one of the moft effential qualities in the character of the phyfiognomift.

Reader, if thou haft not often bluhed at thyfelf, even though thou thouldeft be the beft of men, for the beft of men is but man; if thou haft not often ftcod with downcaft eyes, in prefence of thyfelf and others; if thou haft not dared to confefs to thyfelf, and to confide to thy friend, that thou art confcious the feeds of every vice are latent in thy heart; if, in the gloomy calm of folitude, having no witnefs but God and thy own confcience, thou haft not a thoufand times fighed and forrowed for thyfelf; if thou wanteft the power to obferve the progrefs of the paffions, from their very commencement; to examine what the impulfe was which determined thee to do good or ill, and to avow the motive to God, and thy friend, to whom thou mayeft thus confefs thyfelf, and who alfo may difclofe the receffes of his foul to thee; a friend, who fhall ftand before thee the reprefentative of man and God, and in whofe eftimation thou alfo fhalt be invefted with the fame facred character; a friend, in whom thou mayeft fee thy very foul, and who fhall reciprocally behold himfelf in thee; if, in a word, thou art not a man of worth,
worth, thou never canfl learn to obferve, or know men well; thou never canft be, never will be, worthy of being a good phyfiognomift. If thou wifheft not, that the talent of obfervation fhould be a torment to thyfelf, and an evil to thy brother, how good, how pure, how affectionate, how expanded, ought thy heart to be! How mayeft thou ever difcover the marks of benevolence and mild forgivenefs, if thou thyfelf act deftitute of fuch gifts? How, if philanthropy does not make thine eye active, how mayeft thou difcern the impreffions of virtue, and the marks of the fublimeft fenfations? How often wilt thou overlook them in a countenance disfigured by accident! Surrounded thyfelf by mean paffions, how often will fuch falle obfervers bring falfe intelligence! Put far from thee felf-intereft, pride, and envy, otherwife " thine " eye will be evil, and thy whole body full of dark" nefs.". Thou wilt read vices on the forehead whereon virtue is written, and wilt accufe others of thofe errors and failings of which thy own heart accufes thee. Whoever bears any refemblance to thine enemy, will by thee be accufed of all thofe failings and vices with which thy enemy is loaded by thy own partiality and felf-love. Thine eye will overlook the beauteous traits, and magnify the difcordant. Thou wilt behold nothing but caricature and proportion.

But, to draw to a conclufion, the phyfiognomift fhould know the world, he fhould have intercourfe with all manner of men, in all various ranks and conditions; he fhould have travelled, fhould poffefs extenfive knowledge, a thorough acquaintance with artifts, mankind, vice, and virtue, the wife and the foolifh, and particularly with children ; together with a love of literature, and a tafte for
painting, and the other imitative arts. I fay, car it need demonftration, that all thofe and much more are to him indifperfable? To fum up the whole : to a well formed, well organized body, the perfect phyfiognomift muft unite an acute fpirit of obfervation, a lively fancy, an excellent judgement, and, with numerous propenfities to the arts and fciences, a ftrong, benevolent, enthufiaftic, innocent heart; a heart confident in itfelf, and free from the paffions inimical to man. No one, certainly, can read the traits of magnanimity, and the high qualities of the mind, who is not himfelf capable of magnanimity, honourable thoughts, and fubline actions.

Thus have I pronounced judgement agaiuft myfelf in writing thefe characteriftics of the phyfiog. nomift. Not falfe modeli $y$, but confcious feeling, impels me to fay, that I am as diftant from the true phyfiognomift as hearen is from earth. I am but the fragment of a phyfiognomift, as this work is but the fragment of a fyftem of phyfiognomy.

## C H A P. XVII.

Lavater's own Remarks on National Phyfiognomy.

I
T is undeniable, that there is national phyfiognomy, as well as national character. Wi:oever doubts of this can never have oblerved men of different nations, nor have compared the inhabitants of the extreme confines of any two. Compare a Negro and an Englifhman, a native of Lapland and an Italian, a Frenchman and an inhabitant of

Terra

Terra del Fuego. Examine their forms, countenances, characters, and minds. Their difference will be eafly feen, though it will, fometimes, be very difficult to defcribe it fcientifically.

It feems to me probable, that we fhall difcover what is national in the countenance better from the fight of an individual at firft, than of a whole peosple; at leaft, fo it appears to me from my own experience. Individual countenances difcover more the characteriftic of a whole nation, than a whole pation does that which is national in individuals. The following infinitely little is what I have hitherto obferved from the foreigners with whom I have converfed, and whom 1 have noticed, concerning national character.

I am leaft able to characterife the French. They have no trait fo bold as the Englifh nor fo minute as the Germans. 1 know them chiefly by their teeth and their laugh. The Italians I difcover by the nofe, fmall eyes, and projecting chin. The Englifh by their foreheads and eyebrows. The Dutch by the rotundity of the head, and the weaknefs of the hair. The Germans by the angles and wrinkles round the eyes and in the cheeks. The Ruffians by the frub nofe, and their light-coloured or black hair.
I fhall now fay a word concerning Englifhmen in particular. Englifhmen have the fhorteft and beft arched foreheads; that is to fay, they are arched only upwards, and, towards the eyebrows, sither gently recline, or are rectilinear. They very feldom have pointed, but often round, full, medullary nofes ; the Quakers and Moravians excepted, who, wherever they are found, are generally thin lipped, Englifhmen have large, well defined, beautifully curved lips. .They have alfo
a round full chin ; but they are peculiarly difingu hed by the eyebrows and eyes, which are ftrug, open, liberal, and ftedfaft. The outline of their countenance is, in general, great, and they never have thofe numerous, infinitely minute traits, angles, and wrinkles, by which the Germans are fo efpecially diftinguifhed. Their complexion is fairer than that of the Germans.

All Englifh women, whom I have known perfonally or by portrait, appear to be compofed of marrow and nerve. They are inclined to be tall, alender, foft, and as diftant from all that is harb, rigorous, or flubborn, as heaven is from earth.

The Swifs have generally no common phyfiognomy, or national character, the afpect of fidelity excepted. They are as different from each other as nations the moft remote. The French Swifs peafant is as diffinct as poffible from the peafant of Appenzel. It may be, that the eye of a foreigner would better difcover the general character of the nation, and in what it differs from the French or German, than that of the native.

1 find characteriftic varieties in each canton of Switzerland. The inhabitants of Zurich, for in flance, are middle fized, more frequently meagre than corpulent, but ufually one or the other. They feldom have ardent eyes, and the outline is not often grand or minute. The men are feldom handfome, though the youth are incomparably fo; but they foon alter. The people of Bern are tall, ftraight, fair, pliable, and firm, and are moft diftinguifhed by their upper teeth, which are white, regular, and eafily to be feen. The inhabitants of Balle, or Bafil, are more rotund, full, and tenfe of countenance, the complexion tinged with yellow, and the lips open and flaccid. Thofe of Schaf haufen

Schaf haufen are hard boned. Their eyes are feldom funken, but are generally prominent. The fides of the forehead diverge over the temples, the cheeks flefhy, and the mouth wide and open. They are commonly ftronger built than the people of Zurich, though in the canton of Zurich, there is fcarcely a village, in which the inhabitants do not differ from thofe of the neighbouring village, without attending to drefs, which, notwithftanding, is alfo phyfiognomonical.

I have feen many handfome, broad-fhouldered, ftrong, burden-bearing men, round Wadenfchweil and Oberreid. At Weiningen, two leagues from Zurich, I met a company of well-formed men, who were diftinguifhed for their cleanlinefs, circumfpection, and gravity of deportment.

An extremely interefting and inftructing book might be written on the phyfiognomonical character of the peafants of Switzerland. There are confiderable diftricts, where the countenances, the nofe not excepted, are moft of them broad, as if preffed flat with a board. This difagreeable form, wherever found, is confiftent with the character of the people. What could be more inftructive than a phyfiognomonical and characteriftic defcription of fuch villages, their mode of living, food, and occupation.

## C H A P. XVIII.

## Extradts from Buffon on National Pbyfognomy.

TRAVERSING the furface of the earth, and beginning in the north, we find, in Lapland, and on the northern coaft of Tartary, a race of men, fmall of ftature fingular of form, and with counterarices as favage as their manners.

Thefe people have large flat faces, the nofe broad, the pupil of the eye of a yellow brown, inclining to a black, the eyelids retiring towards the ten ples, the cleeks extrenely high, the mouth very large, the lower part of the face narrow, the lips foll and high, the voice fhrill, the head large, the hair black and leck, and the complexion brow: or tanned. 'I hey are very fmall, and fquat, though meagre. Moft of them are not above four fect, and hardly any exceed four feet and a half. The Borandians are ftill fmaller than the Laplanders. The Sanioiedes more fquat, with large heads 2 id nofes, and darker complexions. Their legs are thorter, their knees more turned outwards, their hair is longer, and they have lefs beard. The complexion of the Greenlanders is darker ftill, and of a deep olive colour.

The women, among all thefe nations, are as ugly as the men; and not only do thefe people refen ble each other in uglinefs, fize, and the colour of their eyes and hair, but they have fimilar inclinations and manners, and are all equally grofs, fuperftitious, and ftupid. Moft of them are idolaters; they are more rude than favage, wanting courage, felfrefpect, and modefty.

If we examine the neighbouring people of the fong flip of land which the Laplanders inhabit, we fhall find they have no relation whatever with that race, excepting only the Oftiachs and Tongufians, The Samoiedes and the Borandians have no refem, blance with the Ruflians, nor have the Laplanders with the Finlanders, the Goths, Daves, or Norwegians.' The Greenlanders are alike different from the favages of Canada. The latter are tall and well made; and, though they differ very much from each other, yet they are fill more infinitely: different from the Laplanders. The Oftiachs feem to be Samoiedes fomething lefs ugly, and dwarfif, for they are fmall and ill formed.

All the Tartars have the upper part of the countenance very large and wrinkled, even in youth, the nofe fhort and grofs, the eyes finall and funken, the cheeks very high, the lower part of the face narrow, the chin long and prominent, the upper jaw funken, the teeth long and feparated, the eyebrows large, covering the eyes, the eyelids. thick, the face flat, their kin of an olive colour, and their hair black. They are of a middle fta ture, but very ftrong and robuft; have little beard, which grows in fmall tufts, like that of the Chi-nefe, thick thighs, and fhort legs.

The Little or Nogais Tartars have loft a part of. their uglinefs by having intermingled with the Circaffians. As we proceed eaftward, into free or independent Tartary, the features of the Tartars become fomething lefs hard, but the efiential characteriftics of their race ever remain. The Mogul Tartars, who conquered China, and who were the moft polifhed of thefe nations, are, at prefent, the leaft ugly and ill made; yet have they, like the others, fmall eyes, the face large and flat, little E 5 beard;
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beard, but always black or red, and the nofe fhort and compreffed.

Among the Kergifi and Teheremifi Tartars there is a whole nation, or tribe, among whom are very fingularly beautiful men and women. The manners of the Chinefe and Tartars are wholly oppofite, more fo than are their countenances and forms. The limbs of the Chinefe are well proportioned, large, and fat. Their faces are round and capacious, their eyes fmall, their eyebrows large, their eyelids raifed, and their nofes little and compreffed: They only have feven or eight tufts of black hair on each lip, and very little on the chin.

The natives on the coaft of New Holland, which lies in fixteen degrees fifteen minutes of fouth latitude, and to the fouth of the inle of Timor, are perhaps the moft miferable people on earth, and of all the human race moft approach to the brute animal. They are tall, upright, and flender. Their limbs are long and fupple, their heads great, their forehead round, their eyebrows thick, and their eyelids half fhut. This they accquire by habit in their infancy, to preferve their eyes from the gnats, by which they are greatly incommodated; and, as they never much open thitir eyes, they cannot fee at a diftance, at leaft, not unlefs they raife the head as if they wifhel to look at fomething above them. They have large nofes, thick lips, and wide mouths. It fhould feem that they draw the two upper fore teeth, for neither man nor woman, young nor old, have thefe teeth. They have no beard; their faces are long, and very difagreeable, without a fingle pleafing feature; their hair not long, and fleek, like that of moft of the Indians, But flort, black, and curly, like the hair of the Negroes.

## PHYSIOGNOMY.

Negroes. Their $\mathbb{1}$ sin is black, and refembles that of the Indians of the coaft of Guinea.

Let us now examine the natives inhabiting a more temperate climate, and we fhall find, that the people of the northern provinces of the Mogul empire, Perfia, the Armenians, Turks, Georgians, Mingrelians, Circaflians, Greeks, and all the inhabitants of Europe, are the handfomeft, wifeft, and the beft formed of any on earth; and thar, though the diftance between Cachemire and Spain, or Circaffia and France, is very grert, there is ftill a very fingular refemblance between people fo far from each other, but fituated in nearly the fame latitude. The people of Cachemire are renowned for beauty, are as well formed as the Europeans, and have nothing of the Tartar countenance, the flat nofe, and the fmall pig's eyes, which are fo univerfal among their neighbours.

The complexions of the Georgians is ftill more beautiful than that of Cachemire; no ugly face is found in the country, and nature has endowed moft of the women with graces, which are no where elfe to be difcovered. The men alfo are very handfome, have natural underftanding, and would be capable of arts and fciences, did not their bad education render them exceedingly ignorant and vicious; yet, with all their vices, the Georgians are civil, humane, grave, and moderate ; they feldom are under the influence of anger, though they become irreconcileable enemies, having once entertained hatred.
The Circaffians and Mingrelians are equally beautiful and well formed. The lame and the crooked are feldom feen among the Turks. The Spaniards are meagre, and rather fimall; they are well fhaped, have fine heads, regular features,
good
good eyes, and well arranged teeth; but their complexions are dark, and inclined to yellow. It has been remarked, that in fome provinces of Spain, as near the banks of the river Bidaffoa, the peoplehave exceedingly large ears.

M, Lavater here makes this digreffion: Can large ears liear better than fmall? I know one perfon, with large, rude ears, whofe fenfe of hearing is acute, and who has a good underfanding; but, him excepted, I have particularly remarked large. ears to betoken folly; and that, on the contrary, ears inordinately fmall appertain to very weak, effeminate characters, or perfons of too great fenfi-bility.-Thus far Lavater, let us now return to, Buffon.
Men with black or dark-brown hair begin to berather uncommon in England, Flanders, Holland, and the northern provinces of Germany; and few fuch are to be found in Denmark, Sweden, and. Poland. According to Einnæus, the Goths are very tall, have fleek light-coloured, filver hair, and blue eyes. The Findlanders are mufcular and flefhy, with long and light yellow hair, the iris of the eye a deep yellow.
If we colleet the accounts of travellers, it will appear, that there are as many varieties among the race of Negroes as the whites. They alfo have their Tartars and their Circaffians. The Blacks on the coaft of Guinea are extremely ugly, and emit an infufferable fcent. Thofe of Sofala and Mozambique are handfome, and have no ill fmell. Thefe two fpecies of Negroes refemble each other rather in colour than features. Their hair, fkin, and odour of their bodies, their manners and propenfities, are exceedingly different. Thofe of Cape V.erd have by no means fo difagreeable a fmell as
the natives of Angola. Their kin alfo is more fmooth and black, their body better made, their features lefs hard, their tempers more mild, and their fhape better.
The negroes of Senegal are the beft formed, and beft receive inftruction. The Nagos are the moft humane, the Mondongos the moft cruel, the Mimes the moft refolute, capricious, and fubject to defpair.

The Guinea Negroes are extremely limited in their capacities. Many of them appear to be wholly ftupid; or, never capable of counting more than three, remain in a thoughtlefs fate if not acted upon, and have no memory ; yet, bounded as is their underftanding, they have much feeling. have good hearts, and the feeds of all virtue.

The Hottentots have all very flat and broad nofes; but thefe they would not have, did not their mothers fuppofe it their duty to flatten the nofe fhortly after birth. They have alfo very thick lips, efpecially the upper; the teeth white, the eyebrows thick, the head heavy, the body meagre, and the limbs fiender.

The inhabitants of Canada, and all thefe confines, are rather tall, robuft, ftrong, and tolerably well made, have black hair and eyes, very white teeth, tawny complexions, little beard, and no hair, or almoft none, on any other part of the body. They are hardy and indefatigable in marching, fwift of foot, alike fupport the extremes of hunger, or excefs in feeding ; are daring, courageous, haughty, grave, and moderate. So ftrongly do they refemble the eaftern Tartars in complexion, hair, eyes, the almoft want of beard, and hair, as well as their inclinations and manners, that we fhould fuppofe them the defcendants of that nation, did.
did we not fee the two people feparated from each other by a vaft ocean. They alfo are under the fame latitude, which is an additional proof of the influence of climate on the colour, and even on the form of man.

## C H A P. XIX.

Some of the moft remarkable Pafages from an excellent Eday on National Phyfiognomy, by Profelor Kant of Konig/berg.

THE fuppofition of Maupertuis, that a race of men might be eftablifhed in any province, in whom underftanding, probity, and ftrength, fhould be hereditary, could only be realized by the poffibility of feparating the degenerate from the conformable birth; a project which, in my opinion, might be practicable, but which, in the prefent order of things, is prevented by the wifer difpofitions of nature, according to which the wicked and the good are intermingled, that by the irregularities and vices of the former, the latent powers of the latter may be put in motion, and impelled to approach perfection. If nature, without tranfplantation or foreign mixture, be left undifturbed, fhe will, after many generations, produce a lafting race that fhall ever remain diftinct.
If we divide the human race into four principal claffes, it is probable that the intermediate ones, however perpetuating and confpicuous, may be immediately reduced to one of thefe. I. The race of Whites. 2. The Negroes. 3. The Huns (Monguls.
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(Monguls, or Calmucs.) 4. The Hindoos, or people of Hindoftan.

External things may well be the accidental, but not the primary caufes of what is inherited or affimilated. As little as chance, or phyfico-mechanical caufes can produce an organized body, as little can they add any thing to its power of propagation; that is to fay, produce any thing, which fhall propagate itfelf by having a peculiar form, or proportion of parts.

Man was undoubtedly intended to be the inhabitant of all climates, and all foils. Hence the feeds of many internal propenfities muft be latent in him, which fhall remain inactive, or be put in motion, according to his fituation on the earth. So that, in progreffive generations, he fhall appear as if born for that particular foil in which he feems planted.

The air and the fun appear to be thefe caufes, which moft influence the powers of propagation, and effect a durable developement of germ and propenfities; that is to fay, the air and the fun may be the origin of a diftinct race. The variations which food may produce mult foon difappear on tranfplantation. That which affects the propagating powers muft not act upon the fupport of life, but upon its original fource, its firft principle, animal conformation, and motion.

A man tranfplanted to the frigid zone muft decreafe in ftature, fince, if the power or momentum of the heart continues the fame, the circulation muft be performed in a fhorter time, the pulfe become more rapid, and the heat of the blood increafed. Thus Crantz found the Greenlanders not only inferior in ftature to the Europeans, but allo. that they had a remarkably greater heat of body.

The very difproportion between the length of the body and the fhortnefs of the legs, in the northern people, is fuitable to their climate; fince the ex: tremes of the body, by their diftance from the heat are more fubject to the attacks of cold.

The prominent parts of the countenance $e_{2}$ which can lefs be guarded from cold, by the care of nature for their prefervation, have a propenfity to: become more flat. The rifing cheek bone, the half-clofed, blinking eyes, appear to be intended for the prefervation of fight againft the dry, cold air, and the effufrons of light from the fnow, (toguard againft which the Efquimaux ufe fnow fpectacles) thongl they may be the natural effect of the climate, fince they are found only in a fmaller degree in milder latitudes. Thus gradually are produced the beardlefs chin, the flatted nofe, thin lips, blinking eyes, flat countenances, red-brown complexion, black hair, and, in a word, the face of the Calmuc. Such properties, by continued propagation, at length, form a diftant race, which continues to remain diftinct, even when tranfplanted into warmer climates.

The copper colour, or red-brown, appears to be as natural an effect of the acidity of the air, in cold climates, as the olive brown of the alcaline and bilious juices in warm; without taking the native difpofition of the American into the eftimate, who appears to have loft half the powers of life, which may be regarded as the effect of cold.

The growth of the porous parts of the body muft increafe in the hot and moift climates. Hence the thick fhort noie and projecting lips. The fkin mult be oiled, not only to prevent exceffive perfpiration, but allo imbibing the putrefcent particles of the moilt air. The furplus of the ferrugineous,
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or iron particles, which have lately been difcovered to exift in the blood of man, and which, by the evaporation of the phofphoric acidities, of which all Negroes finell fo ftrong, being caft upon the retiform membrane, occafions the blacknefs which appears through the cuticle; and this ftrong retention of the ferrugineous particles feems to be neceffary, in order to prevent the general relaxation of the parts. Moift warath is peculiarly favourable to the growth of animals, and produces the Negro, who, by the providence of nature, perfectly adapted to lis climate, is ftrong, mufcular, agile; but dirty, indolent, and tiffing.

The trunik, or ftem of the root may degenerate; but this having once taken root, and ftiffed other germs, refifts any future change of form, the character of the race having once gained a preponderance in the propagating powers.

## C H A P. XX.

Extracts from other Writers on National Pbyfog-nomy.-From Winkelinann's Hiftory of Art.From the Recherches Philofophiques fur les Americains, by M. de Pauw.-Oblervations by Lintz.

- From a Letter writton by M: Fuefsli.-From. a Letter written by Profeffor Kamper.

From Winkelmann's Hiftory of Art.

WITH refpect to the form of man, our eyes convince us, that the character of nation, as well as of mind, is vifible in the countenance. As
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As nature has feparated large diftricts by mountains and feas, fo likewife has the diftinguifhed the inhabitants by peculiarity of features. In countries far remote from each other, the difference is likewife vifible in other parts of the body, and in ftature. Animals are not more varied, according to the properties of the countries they inhabit, than men are; and fome have pretended to remark, that animals even partake of the propenfities of the men.

The formation of the countenance is as various as language, nay, indeed, as dialects, which are thus or thus various in confequence of the organs of fpeech. In cold countries, the fibres of the tongue muft be lefs flexible and rapid than in warm. The natives of Greenland, and certain tribes of America, are obferved to want fome letters of the alphabet, which muft originate in the fame caufe. Hence it happens, that the northern languages have more monofyllables, and are more clogged with confonants, the connecting and pronouncing of which is difficult, and fometimes impoffible, to other nations.

A celebrated writer has endeavoured to account for the varieties of the Italian dialects, from the formation of the organs of fpeech. "For this reafon (fays he), the people of Lombardy, inhabiting a cold country, have a more rough and cony cife pronunciation. The inhabitants of Florence and Rome fpeak in a more meafured tone, and the Neapolitans, under a ftill warmer 1 ky , pronounce the vowels more open, and fpeak with more fulnefs."

Perfons well acquainted with various nations, can diftinguifh them as juftly from the form of their countenance as from their fpeech. Therefore, fince
fince man has ever been the object of art and artifts, the latter have conftantly given the forms of face of their refpective nations; and that art, among the ancients; gave the form and countenance of man, is proved by the fame effect having taken place among the moderns. German, Dutch, or French, when the artifts neither travel nor ftudy foreign forms, can be known by their pictures as perfectly as Chinefe or Tartars. Reubens, after refiding many years in Italy, continued to draw his figures as if he had never left his native land.

## Another Paflage frou Winkelmann.

The projecting mouths of the Negroes, which they have in common with their monkies, is an excefs of growth, a fwelling, occafioned by the heat of the climate; like as our lips are fwelled by heat or fharp faline moifture, and alfo, in fome men, by violent paffion. The fmall eyes of the diftant northern and eaftern nations are in confequence of the imperfection of their growth. They are fhort and flender. Nature produces fuch forms the more fhe approaches extremes, where the has to encounter heat or cold. In the one the is prompter and exhaufted, and in the other crude, never arriving at maturity. The flower withers in exceffive heat, and, deprived of fun, is deprived of colour. All plants degenerate in dark and confined plases.

Nature forms with greater regularity the more fhe approaches her center, and in more moderate climates. Hence the Grecian and our own idea of beauty, being derived from more perfect fymmetry, muft be more accurate than the idea of thofe,
in whom, to ufe the expreffion of a modern poet, the image of the Creator is half defaced.

From the Recherches Pbilofophiques fur les Americains, by M. de Pauw.

None of the Americans have any beards, and many of them no eyebrows; yet we mult not infer, that they are enfeebled, in the organs of generation, fince the Tartars and Chinefe have aluoft the fame characteriftics. They are far, however, from being very faithful, or mucl addicted to love. True it is, the C linefe and Tartars are not abfolutely beardlefs. When they are about thirty, a fmall pencilled kind of whifker grows on the upper lip, and fome feattered hairs at the end of the chin.
Exclufive of the Efquimaux, who differ in gait, form, features, and manners, from other favages of North America, we may likewife call the Akanfans a variety, whom the French bave generally named the handfome men. They are all tall and ftraight, have good features, without the leaft appearance of beards; have regular eyelids, blue eyes, and fine fair hair; while the neighbouring. people are low of flature, have abject countenances, black eyes, the hair of the head black as ebony, and of the body thick and rough.

Though the Peruvians are not very tall, and ge-. nerally thick fet, yet they are tolerably well made. There are many, indeed, who, by being diminutive, are monftrous. : Some are deaf, dumb, blind, and idiots, and others want a limb when born. In all probability, the exceffive labour to which they have been fubjected, by the barbarity of the Spaniards, has produced fuch numbers of defective
men. Tyranny has an influence on the very phyfical temperament of flaves. Their nofe is aquiline, their forehead narrow, their hair black, frong, fmooth, and plentiful; their complexion an olive red, the apple of the eye black, and the white not very clear. They never have any beard, for we cannot beftow that name on fome fhort ftraggling hairs, which fprout in old age; nor have either men or women the downy hair, which generally appears after the age of puberty. In this they are diffinguifhed from all people on earth, even from the Tartars and Chinefe. As in eunuchs, it is the character of their degeneracy.

If we were to judge by the rage which the Americans have to mutilate and disfigure themfelves, we fhould fuppofe they were all difcontented with the proportions of their limbs and bodies. Not a fingle nation has been difcovered in this fourth quarter of the globe, which has not adopted the cuftom of artificially changing, either the form of the lips, the hollow of the ear, or the fhape of the head, by forcing it to affume an extraordinary and ridiculous figure.

The heads of fome of the favages are pyramidal, or conical, with the top termiuating in a point. Others have flat heads, with large foreheads, and the back part flattened. This caprice feems to have been the moft fafhionable, at leaft, it was the moft common. Some Canadians had their heads perfectly fpherical. Though the natural form of the head really approaches the circular, thefe favages, who, by being thus diftorted, acquired the appellation of bowl or bullet-head, do not appear lefs difgufting, for having made the head too round, and perverted the original purpofe of nature, to which nothing can be added, from which nothing
nothing can be taken away, without fome effential error being the refult, which is deftructive to the animal.

In fhort, on the banks of the Maragnon, we have feen Americans with fquare or cubical heads; that is to fay, flattened on the face, the top, the temples, and the occiput, which appears to be the laft ftage of human extravagance.
It is not eafy to conceive how it was poffible to comprefs and mould the bones of the fcull into To many various forms, without moft effentially inju, ring the feat of fenfe, and the organs of reafon, or occafioning either madnefs or idiutifm, fince we fo often have examples, that violent contufions in the region of the temples have occafioned lunacy; and deprived the fufferers of intellectual capacity. It is not true, as ancient narratives have affirmed, that all Indians with flat or fugar-loaf heads were really idiots. Had this been the cafe, there muft have been whole nations in America either foolifh or frantic, which is ridiculous to fuppofe.

## Obfervations by Lintz.

To me it appears very remarkable, that the Jews fhould have taken with them the marks of their country and race to all parts of the world; I mean their fhort, black, curly hair, and brown complexion. Their quicknefs of fpeech, hafte, and abruptnefs in all their actions, appear to proceed from: the fame caufes. I imagine the Jews have more gall than other men.

## Estract from a Letter written by M. Fuefsli, dated at Prefourg.

My obfervations have been directed (fays this great defigner and phyfiognomift), not to the countenance of nations only, being convinced, from numberlefs experiments, that the general form of the human body, its attitude, and manner, the funken or raifed pofition of the head, between or above the fhoulders, the firm, the tottering, the hafty, or flow walk, may frequently be lefs deceitful figns of this or that character, thar the countenance feparately confidered. I believe it poffible fo accurately to characterife man, from the calmeft ftate of reft, to the higheft gradation of rage, terror, and pain, that, from the carriage of the body, the turn of the head, and geftures in general, we fhall be able to diftinguifh the Hungarian, the Sclavonian, the Illyrian, the Wallachian, and to obtain a full and clear conception of the actual, and, in general, the prominent characteriftics of this or that nation.

## Extract of a Letter from Profeffor Camper.

It would be very difficult, if not impoffible, to give you my particular rules for delineating various nations and ages, with mathematical certainty, elpecially if I would add all that I have had occa. fion to remark concerning the beauty of the antiques. Thefe rules I have obtained by conftant obfervations on the fculls of different nations, of which I have a large collection, and by a long ftudy of the antiques.

To draw any head accurately in profile takes me much time. I have diffected the fculls of people lately
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lately dead, that I wight be able to define the lines of the countenance, and the angle of thefe lines with the horizon. I was thus led to the difcovery of the maximum and minimum of this angle. I began with the monkey, proceeded to the Negro and the European, till 1 afcended to the countenances of antiquity, and examined a Medufa, an Apollo, or a Venus de Medicis. This concerns only the profile. There is another difference in the breadth of the cheeks, which I have found to be largeft among the Calmucs, and much finaller among the Afiatic Negroes. The Clinefe, and inhabitants of the Molucca, and other Afiatic iflands, appear to me to have broad cheeks, with projecting jaw-bones ; the under jaw-bone, in particular, very high, and almoft forming a right angle, which among Europeans, is very obtufe, and fill more fo among the African Negroes.

I have not hitherto been able to obtain a real fcull of an American, and therefore cannot fay any thing on that fubjec.

I am almoft alhamed to confefs, that I have not yet been able accurately to draw the countenance of a Jew, although they are fo very remarkable in their features; uor have I yet obtained precifion in delineating the Italian face. It is generally true, that the upper and under jaw of the European is lefs broad than the breadth of the fcull; and that among the Afiatics they are nuch broader; but 1 have not been able to determine the fpecific differ. ences between European nations.

By phyfiognomonical fenfations, I have very. frequently been able to diftinguifh the foldiers of different nations, the Scotchman, the Irihman, and the native of England; yet I have never been able to delineate the diftinguifhing traits. The-
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people of our provinces are a mixture of all nations: but, in the remote and feparated cantons, I find the countenance to be more flat, and extraordinasily high from the eyes upwards.

## C H A P. XXI.

Wxtracts from the Manufcript of a Man of Literature at Darmfadt, on National Pbyjognomiy.

ALL tribes of people, who live in uncultivated countries, and confequently are paftoral, not affil uilated in towns, would never be capable of an equal degree of cultivation with Europeans, though they did not live thus fcattered. Were the flackles of flavery taken off, ftill their minds would eternally flumber; therefore whatever remarks we can make upon them muft be pathognomonical (or phyfiognomonical) and we muft confine ourfelves to their receptive powers of mind, not being able to fay much of their expreffion.

Such people as do not bear our badges of fervitude are not fo miferable as we fufpect. Their fpecies of flavery is more fupportable in their mode of exiftence. They are incomparably better fed than German peafants, and have neither to contend with the cares of providing, nor the exceffes of labour. As their race of horfes exceeds ours in ftrength and fize, fo do their people thofe among us who have, or fuppofe they have, property. Their wants are few, and their underftanding fufficient to fupply the wants they have. The Ruffian or Polifh peafant is, of neceffity, carpenter,
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 PHYSIOGNOMY.tailor, floemaker, mafon, thatcher, \&c. and, when we examine their performarces, we may eafily judge of their capacities. Hence their aptitude at mechanical and handicraft profeffions, as foon as they are taught their principles. Invention of what is great they have no pretenfions to; their mind, like a machine, is at reft when the neceffity that fet it in motion no longer impels.

Of the numerous nations fubject to the Ruffian fceptre, I fhall omit thofe of the extenfive Siberian diftricts, and confine myfelf to the Ruffians, properly fo called, whofe countries are bounded by Finland, Eafland, Livonia, and the borders of Afia. Thefe are diftinguifhable by prodigious ftrength, firm finews, broad breaft, and coloffal neck, which, in a whole thip's crew, will be the fame, refembling the Farnefian Hercules; by their black, broad, thick, rough, ftrong hair, head and beard; their funken eyes, black as pitch; their fhort forchead, comprefled to the nofe, with an arch. We often find thin lips, though in general they are pouting, wide, and thrck. The women have high cheek bones, hollow tem ples, fnub nofes, and retreating arched foreheads, with very few traits of ideal beauty. The power of propagation exceeds belief, and at a certain period of life, both fexes become frequently corpulent.

The Ukranians, of whom moft of the regiments of Coffacs are formed, dwell in the center. They are diftinguifhed among the Ruffians almoft as the Jews are among Europeans. They generally have aquiline nofes, and are nobly formed ; amorous, yielding, crafty, and without ftrong paffions; probably becaufe, for fome thoufands of years, they have followed agriculture, have lived in fociety, bad a form of government, and inhabit a moft fruitful
fruitful country, in a moderate climate, refembling that of France. Among all thefe people, the greateft activity and frength of body are initer. They are as different from the German boor as guickfilver is from lead, and how our anceltors could fuppofe them to be ftupid is a matter not eafily to be accounted for.

Thus too the Turks refemble the Rufilans. They are a mixture of the nobleft blood of Aina Minor with the more material and grofs Tartar. The Natolian, of a fpiritual nature, feeds on meditation. He will for days contemplate a fingle object, feat himfelf at the chefs board, or wrap himfelf up in the mantle of taciturnity. The eye, void of paffion, or great enterprife, abounds in all the penetration of benevolert cunning. The mouth eloquent ; the hair of the head and beard, and the fmall neck, declare the flexibility of the man.

The gait of an Engliflaman is erect, and he generally ftands as if a ftake were driven through his body. His nerves are ftrong, and he is the beft runner. He is diftinguifhed from all other men by the roundnefs and fmoothnefs of the mufcles of his face. If he neither fpeak nor move, he feldom declares the capability and mind he poffeffes in fo fuperior a degree. His filent eye feeks not to pleafe. His hair, coat, and character, are alike fmooth. Not eunning, but on his guard; and, perhaps, but little coloiring is neceffary to deceive him on any occafion. Like the bull-dog, he does not bark; but, if irritated, rages. As he wifhes not for more efteem than he merits, fo be detefts the falfe pretenfions of his neighbours, who would arrogate excellence they do not poffefs. Defiroks of private happinefs, he difregards public opinion, add obtains a character of fingularity. His ima-
gination, like a fea-coal fire, is not the fplendour that enlightens a region, but expands genial warmth. Perfeverance in ftudy, and pertinacity, for centu. ries, in fixed principles, have raifed and maintained the Britifh fpirit, as well as the Britifh government, trade, manufactures, and marine. He has punctuality and probity, not triffing away his time to eftablifh falfe principles, or making a parade with a vicious hypothefis.

The French clafs is that of the fanguine in the temperanent of nations. Frivolous, benevolent, and oftentatious, the Frenchman forgets not his inoffenfive parade till old age has made him wife, At all times difpofed to erjoy life, he is the beft of companions. He pardons himfelf much, and therefore pardons others, if they will but grant that they are foreigners, and he is a Frenchman. His gait is dancing, his fpeech without accent, and his ear incurable. His imagination purfues the confequence of fmall things with the rapidity of the fecond-hand of a ftop-watch, but feldom gives thofe loud, ftrong, reverberating ftrokes, which proclaim new difcoveries to the world. Wit is his inheritance. His countenance is open, and at firt fight fpeaks a thoufand pleafant, amiable things. Silent he cannot be, either with eye, tongue, or feature. His eloquence is often deafening; but his good humour cafts a veil over all his failings. His form is equally diftinct from that of other nations, and difficult to defcribe in words. No other man has fo little of the firm, or deep traits, or fo much motion. He is all appearance, all gefture ; therefore the firt impreffion feldom deceives, but declares who and what he is. His imagivation is incapable of high flights, and the fublime in all arts is to him offence. Hence his dillise
difike of whatever is antique, in art or literature; his deafnefs to true muffic; his blindnefs to the higher beauties of painting. His laft, moft marking trait is, that he is aftonifhed at every thing, and cannot comprehend how it is poffible men fhould be other than they are at Paris.

The countenance of the Italian is foul, his fpeech exclamation, his motion gefticulation. His form is the nobleft, and his country the true feat of beauty. His fhort forehead, his frong, marked eyebones, the fine contour of his mouth, give a kindred claim to the antiquities of Greece. The ardour of his eyes denotes, that the beneficent fun brings forth fruit more perfect in Italy than beyond the Alps. His imagination is ever in motion, ever fympathizing with furrounding objects, and, as in the poem of Ariofto, the whole works of creation are reflected, fo are they generally in the national fpirit. That power; which could bring forth fuch a work, appears to me the general reprefentative of genius. It fings all, and from it all things are fung. The fublime in arts is the birthright of the Italian. Modern religion and politics may have degraded and falfified his character, may have rendered the vulgar faithlefs and crafty, but the fuperior part of the nation abounds in the nobleft and beft of men.

The Dutchman is tranquil, patient, confined, and appears to will nothing. His walk and'eye are long filent, and an hour of his company will fcarcely produce a thought. He is little troubled by the tide of paffions, and he will contemplate unmoved the parading ftreamers of all nations failing before his eyes. Quiet and competence are his gods; therefore, thofe arts alone, which can procure thefe bleffings, employ his faculties. His F3 laws,
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 PHYSIOGNOMY.laws, political and commercial, have originated in that fpirit of fecurity, which maintains him in the poffeffion of what he has gained. He is tclerant in all that relates to opinion, if he be but left peaceably to enjoy his property, and to affemble at the meeting-houfe of his fect. The character of the ant is fo applicable to the Dutch, that to this, literature itfelf conforms in Holland. All pcetical powers, exerted in great works or fmall, are foreign to this nation. They endure pleafure from the perufal of poetry, but they produce none. I fpeak of the United Provinces, and not of the Flemings, whofe jovial character is in the midway between the ltalian and French. I believe the characteriffic of a Dutchman is a high forehead, half open eyes, full nofe, harging cheeks, wide open micuth, flehy lips, broad chin, and large ears.

To know every thing, and dread nothing fo. much as to be thought a fool, feem to be the principal wifh of a German. Probity often makes him appear a blockhead. Of nothing is he fo proud as of honeft, moral underftanding. According to modern tactice, he is certainly the beft foldier, and the teacher of all Europe. He is allowed to be the greatelt inventor, and often with fo litt'e oftentation, that foreigners have, for centuries, unknown to him, robbed him of his glory. From the age of Tacitus, a willing dependent, he has excrted ffculties for the fervice of his mafters, which others only exert for freedom and property. His countenance does not, like a painting in Frefoo, fpeak at a diffance, but he muft be fought ard ftudied. His good rature and benevolence are cften concealed under apparent morofenefs, and a third perfon is always neceffary to draw off the veil, and
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Shew him as he is. He is difficult to move, and, without the aid of old wine, is filent. He dares not fufpect his own worth, and wonders when it is difcovered by others. Fidelity, induftry, and fecrecy, are his principal characteriftics. Not having wit, he indulges his fenfibility, and moral good is the colouring which he requires in all acts. His epic and lyric fpirit waik in unfrequented paths. Hence his great, and frequently gigantic fenfe, which feldom permits him the clear afpect of enthufiafm, or the glow of fplendour. Moderate in the ufe of this world's delights, he has little propenfity to fenfuality and extravagance; but he is therefore formal, and lefs focial than his neighbour.

## CHAP. XXII.

## Defrription of Plate IV.

## Number $\mathbf{x}$.

WE may certainly call nofes arched and pointw ed like this witty; but the wit is reftrained and moderated by the acute underftanding of the frehead, the fincere religion of the eye, and the phlegm of the chin.

## Number 2.

The defcent from the nofe to the lips, in the phlegmatic countenance is unphlegmatic, "and heterogeneous; nor does the curvature of the upper eyelid fufficiently agree with the temperament. $\mathrm{F}_{4}$

The

The outlines of the phlegmatic are relaxed, obtufe, and hanging; the outline of the eye oblique. Be it underftood, that there are other tokens, and that all phlegmatic perfons have not thefe figns, although whoever bas them is certainly phlegmatic. If the projecting under lip, which is itfelf a fign of phlegm, fince it is evidently a fuperabundance. and not a want of matter, be angular, and fharply delineated, then it is a fign of choleric phlegm; that is to fay, of the ebullition of humidity. If it be flexible, obtufe, powerlefs, and drooping, it is. then pure phlegm. The forehead, nofe, chin, and hair, are here very phlegmatic.

## Number. 3,

The choleric ought to have a more angularly pointed nofe, and lips more fharply delineated. The character of choler is much contained in the drawing of the eyes, either when the pupil projects, and much of the under part of the white is vifible, or when the upper eyelid retreats, fo that it fcarcely can be perceived; when the eyes open, or when the eyes are fanken, and the outlines are very definite and firm, without much curvature. In this example, the forehead, eyebrows, nofe, chin, and hair, are very choleric ; but the upper part of the countenance more fo than the under.

## Number 4.

The fanguine needs but little correction, except that the nofe ought to be a little farther from the mouth, and the eyes not fo choleric. The levity of the fanguine temperament waves, flutters upon the lip, which, however, at the bottom, is toa phlegmatic.

Number

## Number 5 .

There ought to be a deeper cavity above the nofe, and alfo of the jaw-bone, befide the ear, in this melancholic countenance. I have obferved, in many melancholic perfons, that the nofe declines towards the lips, nor have I feen this in any who wene not fometimes inclined to the melancholic, who likewife have projecting under lips, and fmall, but not very round, nor very flefhy chins. There are melancholy perfons with very fanguine temperaments; men of fine irritability, and moral feelings, who are hurried into vices which they deeply abhor, and which they have not the power to withftand. The gloomy and difpirited character of fuch is perceptible in the eye that fhuns examination, and the wrinkles of the forehead ftanding. oppofite to each other. Perfons of a real melancholic temperament generally have their moutbs fhut, but the lips are always fomewhat open in the midale. Many melancholic perfons have finall noftrils, and feldom well arranged, clean, white teeth. .

## Nùmber 6.

Strength and ardour, enterprife, courage, contempt of danger, fortitude of the irritated and irritable. This ftrength is rather oppreffive than patient and enduring; it proclaims its own qualities, refpectable in a ftate of reft, terrible when roufed.

$$
\mathrm{F}_{5} \quad \text { CHAP. }
$$

## C H A P. XXIII.

## Refenblance between Parents and Cbildren:

NOTHING is more commonly remarked that the refemblance between parents and children. Family phyfiognomy is as undeniable as national. . To doubt this is to doubt what is felf-evident; to wifh to interpret it is to wifh to explore the inexplicable fecret of exiftence. Striking and frequent as the refemblance between parents and children is, yet have the relations between the characters and countenances of families never been inquired into. No one has, to my knowledge, made any regular obfervations on this fubject. I muft alfo confefs, that I have myfelf made but few, with that circumftantial attention which is neceffary. All I have to remark is as follows:

When the father is confiderably fupid, and the mother exseedingly the reverfe, then will mof of the children be endued with extraordinary underftanding.

When the father is truly a good man, the children will in general be well-difpofed, at leaft moft of them will be benevolent.

The fon generally appears to inherit moral goodnefs from the good father, and intelligence from the intelligent mother; the daughter feems to partake of the character of the mother.

If we wifh to find the moft certain marks of refemblance between. parents and children, they fhculd be obferved within an hour or two afterBirth. We may then perceive whom the child mof refembles in its formation. The moft effential:

fential refemblance is ufually afterwards loft, and diees not, perhaps, appear again for many years.

When children, as they increate in years, vilibly increafe in the refemblance of form and features to their parents, we cannot doubt but there is an increafing refemblance of character. How much foever the characters of children may appear unlike that of the parents they refemble, yet will this diffimilarity be found to originate in external circumftances, and the variety of thefe muft be great indeed, if the difference of character is not, at length, overpowered by the refemblance of form.

I believe, that from the ftrongly delineated father, the firmnefs and the kind ( $I$ do not fay the form, but the kind) of bones and mufcles are derived; and from the ftrongly delineated mother the kind of nerves and form of the countenance, if the imagination and love of the mother have not fixed themfelves too deeply in the countenance of the man.

It frequently happens, that certain forms of countenance, in children, appear for a time undecided, whether they fall take the-refemblance of the father or of the mother. In this cafe I will grant, that external circumftances, preponderating love for the father or mother, or a greater degree of intercourfe with either, may influence the form.

Children, who fometimes long retain a remarkable refemblance to the father, at length change, and become more like the mother. I do not undertake to expound the leaft of the difficulties that occur on this fubject; but the moft modeft philcfophy may be permitted to compare uncommon cales with thofe whieh are known, even though
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 PHYSIOGNOMY.they were inexplicable; and this, I believe, is all that philofophy can and ought to do.

All longings, or mothers marks, and whatever may be confidered as of the fame nature, we very well know, do not proceed from the father, but from the imagination of the mother. We alfo know, that children moft refemble the father only when the mother has a very lively imagination, and. love for, or fear of the huband. Therefore, as hath been before obferved, it appears, that the matter and quantum of the power, and of the life, proceed from the father; and from the imagination of the mother, fenfibility, the kind of nerves, the form, and the appearance.

There are certain forms and features of countenance, which are long propagated, and others. which as fuddenly difappear. The beautiful and the deformed (I do not fay forms of countenances, but is generally fuppofed to be beauty and deformity) are not the moft eafily propagated; neither. are the middling and infignificant; but the great and the minute are eafily inherited, and of long, duration.

Parents with fmall nofes may have children. with the largeft and ftrongeft definied; but the father or mother feldom, on the contrary, have a very ftrong, that is to fay, large-boned nofe, which is not communicated, at leaft, to one of their children, and which does not remain in the family, efpecially when it is in the female line. It may feem to have been loft for many years, but foon or late, will again make its appearance, and its refemblance to the original will be particularly vifible... a day or two after death.

Where any extraordinary vivacity appears in: the eyes of the mother, there is almoft a certainty
that
that the ${ }^{\text {te }}$ eyes will become hereditary; for the imagination of the mother is delighted with no thing fo much as with the beauty of her own eyes. Phyfiognomonical fenfation has been, hitherta, much more generally directed to the eye than to the nofe and form of the face; but if women fhould once be induced to examine the nofe, and: form of the face, as affiduoufly as they have done their eyes, it is to be expected, that the former will be no lefs ftrikingly hereditary than the latter.

Well arched and fhort foreheads are eafy of inheritance, but not of long duration; and here the proverb is applicable, ${ }^{\text {Quod }}$ cito fit, cito prrit. (Soon got, foon gone.)

Equally inexplicable and certain it is, that fome remarkable phyfiognomies, of the moft fruitful perTons, have been wholly lof to their pofterity; and it is as certain and inexplicable, that others are never loft: Nor is it lefs remarkable, that certain -ftrong countenances, of the father or mather, dif.appear in the children, and perfectly revive in thegranduchildren.

As a proof of the powers of the imagination of the mother, we fometimes fee, that a woman shall have children by the fecond hufband, which fhall refemble the firft, at leaft in the general appear-ance. The Italians, howexer, are manifeftly too extravagant, when they fuppofe children, who ftrongly refemble their father, are bale born. They fay that the mother, during the commiffion of a crime fo fhameful, wholly employs her imagination concerning the poffibility of furprife by, and the image of, her hufband. But, were this fear fo to act, the form of the children muft not only have the very image of the hufband, but alfo his appearance of rage and revenge, without which
the adulterous wife could not imagine the being furprifed by, or image of, her hurband. It is this appearance, this rage, that fhe fears, and not the man.

Children of illegitimate birth generally refemble one of their parents more than they who are born in wedlock.

The greater the degree there is of individual love, of pure, faithful, mild affection, the more this love is reciprocal and unconftrained between the father and mother, which reciprocal love and affection implies a certain degree of imagination, and the capacity of receiving impreffions, the more will the countenances of the children appear to be compofed of the features of the parents.

Of the temperaments, the fanguine is the mot eafily inherited, and with it volatility; and, being once introduced, much induftry and fuffering will be neceffary to exterminate this volatility.

The mother may eafily, by her natural timidity, communicate the melancholy temperament of the father. Be it underfood that this is eafy, if, in the decifive moment, the mother be fuddenly feized by fome predominant fear ; and that it is lefs communicable, when the fear is lefs hafty and more reflective. Thus we find thofe mothers, who, during the whole time of their pregnancy, are moft in dread of producing monftrous or marked children, becaufe they remember to have feen objects that excited abhorrence, generally have the beft formed, and freeft from marks; for the fear, though real, was the fear of reafon, and not the fulden effect of an object exciting abhorrence rifing. inftantaneoufly to fight.

When a deep root is given by both parents to the choleric temperament in a family, it may pro-

Bably be fome centuries before it be again moderated. Phlegm is not fo eafily inherited, even though both father and mother fhould be phlegmatic ; for there are certain moments of life when. the phlegmatic acts with its whole power, though it acts thus but rarely, and thefe moments may; and muft have their effect; but nothing appears more eafy of inheritance than activity and induftry, when thefe have their origin in organization, and the neceflity of producing alteration. It will be long before an induftrious couple, to whom not only a livelihood, but bufinefs is in itfelf neceffary, thall not have a fingle defcendant with the like qualities, as fuch mothers generally breed faft.

## C H A P. XXIV.

Remarks on the Opinions of Buffon, Haller, and Bon-: net, concerning the Refemblance between Parents and. Cbildren.

THE theory or hypothefis of Buffon, concerning the caufe of the human form, is well known, which Haller has abridged, and more clearly explained in the following manner :
"Both fexes have their femen, in which are active particles of a certain form. From the union of thefe the fruit of the womb arifes. Thefe particles contain the refemblance of all the parts of the father or mother. They are by nature feparated from the rude and unformed particles of the human juices, and are impreffed with the form of:
all the parts of the body of the father or mothert Hence arifes the refemblance of children to their parents. This will account for the mixtare of the features of father and mother in the children; for the fpots of animals, when the male and female are of different colours; for the Mulatto produced by.a Negro and a White, and for many other phe-. nomena, difficult to be refolved.
" Should it be afked, how thefe paxticles can aflume the internal ftructure of the body of the fan ther, fince they can properly be only the images of the hollow veffels, it may be anfwered, that we know not all the powers of nature, and that the may have preferved to herfelf, though fhe has concealed it from her fcholar, man, the art of making internally models and impreffions, which fhall exprefs the whole folitity of the model."

Haller, in his preface to Buffon's Natural Hiftory, has, in my opinion, indifputably confuted this fyftem. But he has not only Foreborne to elucidate the refemblance between fathers and children, but, while oppofing Buffon, he has fooken fo much on the natural, phyfiological diffimilarity of the human body, that he appears to have denied this refemblance. Buffon's hypothefis offended all philolophy; and, though we cannot entirely approve the theory of Bonnet, yet he has very effectaally oppefed the incongruities of Buffon, to which Buffon himfelf could fcarcely give any ferious faith. But he, as we fhall foon fee, has either avoided the queftion of refemblance between parents and children, or, in order to ftrengthen his own fyftem, has rather fought to palliate than to." anfwer difficulties.

Bonnet, concerning organized Bodies.
" Are the germs of one and the fame fpecies of organized bodies perfectly like each other, or individually diftinet? Are they only diftinct in the organs which characterize fex, or have they a refembling difference to each other, fuch as we obferve in individual fubftances of the fame fpecies of plants or animals?
" Anfwer.-If we confiler the infinite variety to be obferved in all the products of nature, thelatter will appear moft probable. The differences. which are obferved in the individuals of the fame fpecies probably depend more on the primitive form of the germs, than in the connection of the fexes."

Qn the refemblance between Cbildren and their Parents.
" I mult own, that by the foregoing hypothefis, I have not been fuccefsful in explainiag the refemblance of features found between parents and children. But are not thefe features very ambiguous? Do we not luppofe that to be the caufe, which probably is not fo? The father is deformed, the fon is deformed after the fame manner, and it is therefore concluded that deformity is inherited. This may be true; but it may be falle. The deformity of each may arife from very different caufes, and thefe oaufes may be infinitely varied.
" It is not fo difficult to explain hereditary difeafes. We can eafily conceive, that defective juices may produce defective germs; and, when the fame parts of the body are affected by difeafe in father or mother, and in child, this atifes from the fimi-
lar conformation of the parts, by which they are fubject to like inconveniencies. Befides, the miffhapen body often originates in difeafes being hereditary, which much diminifhes the firft difficulty. For, fince the juices conducted to thofe parts are of a bad quality, the parts mult be more or lefs ill formed, according as they are more or lefs capable of being affected by thefe juices."

## REFLEGTION.

Bonnet cannot find the origin of family likenefs in his fyftem. Let us, however, take this his fyftem in the part where he finds the origin of hereditary difeafe. Shall the defective juices of father or mother very much alter the germ, and produce, in the very parts where the father or mother is injured, important changes of bad formation, more or lefs, according to the capability of the germ, and its power of refiftance? And fhall the healthy juices of the parent in no manner affect the germ? Why fhould not the healthy juices be as active as the unhealthy? Why hould they not introduce the fame qualities, in miniature, which the father and mother have in the grofs, fince the father and mother affimilate the nutriment they receive to their own nature, and fince the feminal juices are the fpiritual extract of all their juices and powers, as we bave juft reafon to conclude from the moft continued and accurate obfervations? Why fhould they not as naturally, and as powerfully, act upon the germ, to produce all poffible refemblance! But which refemblance is infinitely varied, by differently changeable and changed circumftances; fo that the germ continually preferves fufficient of its own original nature and properties, yet is always
very diftinct from the parents, and fometimes even feems to have derived very little from them, which may happen from a thoufand accidental caufes or changes.
Hence family refemblance and diffimilarity being fummarily confidered, we fhall find that nature, wholly employed to propagate, appears to be entirely directed to produce an equilibrium between the individual power of the germ, in its firft formation, and the refembling power of the parents; but the originality of the firft form of the germ may not wholly difappear before the too great power of refemblance to the parents, but that they may mutually concur, and both be fubject to numberlefs circumftances, which may increafe or diminifh their refpective powers, in order that the riches of variety, and the utility of the creature, and its dependence on the whole, and the general Creator, may be the greater and more predominant.

Every obfervation on the refemblance between parents and children, which I have been enabled to make, convinces me, that neither the theories of Bonnet nor Buffon give any fyftematic explanation of phenomena, the exiftence of which cannot be denied by the fophiftry of hypothefis. Diminifh the difficulties as much as we will, facts will fill ftare us in the face. If the germ exift preformed in the mother, can this germ, at that time, have phyfiognomy? Can it, at that time, refemble the future, promifcuous, firft, or fecond father? Is it not perfectly indifferent to either? or, if the phyfiognomonical germ exift in the father, how can it fometimes cefemble the mother, fometimes the father, often both, and often neither ?

I am

I am of opinion, that fomething germ-fike, or a whole capable of receiving the human form, muft previoully exift in the mother; but which is nothing more than the foundation of the future fatherly or motherly I know not what, and is the efficient caufe of the future living fruit. This germ-like fomething, which, moft efpecially conftituted agreeable to the human form, is analagous to the nature and temperature of the mother, receives a peculiar individual perfonal phyfiognomy, according to the propenfities of the father or mother, the difpofition of the moment of conception, and probably of many other future decifive moments.

Still much remains to the freedom and predif. pofition of man. He may deprave or improve the ftate of the juices, he may calm or agitate his mind, may awaken every fenfation of love, and by various modes increafe or relax them. Yet 1 think, that neither the nature of the bones, nor: the mufcles and nerves, confequently the chatacter, depends on the phyfiognomonical performation, preceeding generation; at leaft, they are far from depending on thefe alone, though I allow the organizable, the primitive form, always has a pe. culiar individuality, which is only capable of receiwing certain fubtile influences, and which muft teject others.

CHAP:

C H A P. XXV.

Objervations on the New-born, the Dying, and tho Dead.

IHAVE had opportunities of remarking, in fome children, about an hour after a birth attended with no difficulties, a ftriking, though in? fantine refemblance, in the profile, to the profile of the father ; and that, in a few days, this refemblance had nearly difappeared. The impreffion of the open air, nutriment, and perhaps of pofition, had fo far altered the outlines, that the child feemed entirely different.

Of thefe children I faw two dead, the one about fix weeks, and the other about four years old; and nearly twelve hours after death, I obferved the fame profile, which I had before remarked an hour after birth, with this difference, that the profile of the dead child, as is natural, was fomething more tenfe and fixed than the living. A part of this refemblance, however, on the third day was remarkably gone.

O:ie man of fifty, and another of feventy years of age, who fell under my obfervation while they were living and after death, appeared, while living, not to have the leaft refemblance to their fons, and whofe countenances feemed to be of a quite different clafs; yet, the fecond day after death, the profile of the one had a ftriking refemblance to that of his eldeft, and, of the other, to the profile of his third fon, as much fo as the profile of the dead children before mentioned refembled the living profile an hour after birth, fronger, indeed, and,
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 PHYSIOGNOMY.and, as a painter would fay, harder. On the third day, here alfo, a part of the refemblance vanifhed.

I have uniformly obferved, among the many dead perfons I have feen, that fixteen, eighteen, ot twenty-four hours after death, according to the difeafe, they have had a more beautiful form, better defirted, more proportionate, harmonized, ho. mogeneous, more noble, more exalted, than they ever had during life.

It occurred to me, that there might be, in all men, an original phyfiognomy, fubject to be difturbed by the ebb and flow of accident and paffion, and is not this reftored by the calm of death, like as troubled waters, being again left at reft, become clear?

I have obferved fome among the dying, who had been the reverfe of noble or great during life, and who, fome hours before their death, or perhaps forme moments, (one was in a delirium) have had inexpreffible ennobling of the countenance. Every body faw a new man; colouring, drawing, and grace, all was new, all bright as the morning; beyond expreffion, noble and exalted ; the moft inattentive muft fee, the moft infenfible feel, the image of God. I faw it break forth and fhine through the ruins of corruption, was obliged to turn afide, and adore in filence. Yes, glorious God! fill art thou there, in the weakeft, moft fallible men !

## C H A P. XXVI.

## Df the Infuence of Countenance on Countenance.

AS we catch the geftures of our friends and intimates, fo do we their appearance. Whatever we love, we would affimilate to ourfelves, and whatever, in the circle of affection, does not change us into itfelf, that we change, as far as may be, into ourfelves.

We act upon all things, and all things act upon us; but nothing has fo much influence as what we have; and, among all objects of affection, nothing acts fo forcibly as the countenance of man. Its conformity to our countenance makes it moft worthy our affection. How might it act upon, how attract our attention, had it not fome marks, difcoverable or undifcoverable, fimilar to, at leaft of the fame kind with, the form and feature of our own countenance.

We may venture to fay, without wifhing farther to penetrate into what is impenetrable, or to define what is infcrutable, the fact is indubitable, that countenances attract countenances, and alfo, that countenances repel countenances; that fimilarity of features, between two fympathetic and affectionate men, increafe with the developement and mutual communication of their peculiar, individual fenfations. The reflection, if I may fo fay, of the perfon beloved remaius upon the countenance of the affectionate.

The refemblance frequently exifts only in a fingle point-in the character of mind and countenance. A refemblance in the fyftem of the bones
bones prefuppofes a refemblance of the nerves and mufcles.

Diffimilar education may effect the latter fo much, at the point of attraction may be invifible to unphyfiognomonical eyes. Suffer the two refembling forms to approach, and they will reciprocally attract and repel each other; remove every intervening obftacle, and nature will foon prevail. They will recognize each other, and rejoice in the Hefh of their flefh, and the bone of their bone: with hafty fteps will proceed to affimilate. Such countenances alfo, which are very different from each other, may communicate, attract, and acquire refemblance; nay, their likenefs may become more ffriking than that of the former, if they happen to be more flexible, more capable, and to have greater fenfibility.

This refemblance of features, in confequence of mutual affection, is ever the refult of internal nature and organization, and, therefore, of the character of the perfons. It ever has its foundation in a preceding, perhaps, imperceptible refemblance, which might never have been animated, or fufpected, had it not been fet in motion by the prefence of the fympathetic being.

To give the character of thofe countenances, which moft eafily receive and communicate refemblance, would be of infinite importance. It cannot but be known, that there are countenances that attract all, others that repel all, and a third kind w'ich are indifferent. The all-repelling render the ignoble countenances, over which they have continued influence, more ignoble. The indifferent allow no change. The all-attracting either receive, give, or reciprocally give and reseive. The firt change a little, the fecond more,

the third moft. "Thefe are the fouls (fays Hemfterhuys the younger) which happily, or unhappily, add the moft exquifite difcernment to that exceffive internal elafticity, which occafions them to wihh and feel immoderately; that is to fay, the fouls, which are fo modified, or fituated, that their attractive force meets the feweft obftacles in its progrefs."
To ftudy the influence of countenance, this intercourfe of mind would be of the utmoft importance. I have found the progrefs of refemblance moft remarkable, when two perfons, the one richly communicative, the other apt to receive, have lived a confiderable time together, without foreign intervention; when he who gave had given all, or he who received could receive no more, phyfiognomonical refemblance had attained its grand point.

Youth, irritable, and eafy to be won, let me here fay a word to thee. Oh! paufe, confider, throw not thyfelf too haftily into the arms of an untried friend. A gleam of fympathy'and refemblance may eafily deceive thee. If the man, who is thy fecond felf, have not yet appeared, be not rafh, thou fhalt find him at the appointed hour. Being found, he will attract thee to himfelf, will give and receive whatever is communicable. The ardour of his eyes will nurture thine, and the gentlenefs of his voice temper thy too-piercing tones. His love will fline in thy countenance, and his image will appear in thee. Thou wilt become what he is, and yet remain what thou art. Afo feetion will make qualities in him vifible to thee, which never could be feen by an uninterefted eye. This capability of remarking, of feeling what
there is of divine in him, is a power which will make thy countenance affume his refemblance.

## C H A P. XXVII.

## On the Influence of the. Imagination on the Counte. nance.

IMUST not leave this fubject wholly in filence; but muft content myfelf with faying only a few words, on which volunes might be written. The little, the nothing I have to fay upon it, can only act as an inducement to deeper meditations on a theme fo profound.

Our own countenance is acluated by imagination, rendering it in fome meafure refembling the beloved or hated image, which is living, prefent, and fleeting before us, and is within the circle of our immediate activity. If a man deeply in love, and fuppofing himfelf alone, were ruminating on his beloved miftrefs, to whom his imagination might lend charms, which, if prefent, he might be unable to difcover. Were fuch a perfon obferved by a man of penetration, it is probable that traits of the wiftrefs might be feen in the countenance of this meditating lover. So might, in the cruel features of revenge, the features of the enemy be read, whom imagination reprefents as prefent. And thus is the countenance a picture of the characteriftic features of all perfons exceedingly loved or hated.

It is poffible, that an eye lefs penetrating than that of an angel may read the image of the Crea-
tor in the countenance of a truly pious perfon. . He who languifhes after Chrift, the more lively, the more diftinctly, the more fublimely, he reprefen's to himfelf the very prefence and image of Chrift, the greater refemblance will his own countenance take of this image. The image of imagination often acts more effectually than the real prefence; and whoever has feen him of whom we fpeak, the great Him, though it were but an inftantaneous glimpfe, oh ! how inceffantly will the imagination reproduce his image in the countenance!

Other countenances are alfo acted upon by our imagination. The imagination of the mother acts upon the child; and hence men long have attempted to influence the imagination for the produetion of beautiful children. In my opinion, however, it is not fo much the beauty of furrounding forms as the intereft taken concerning forms in certain moments. And here again, it is not fo much the imagination that acts as the firit, that being only the organ of the fpirit. That it is true, that it is the fpirit that quickeneth the fefh, and the image of the flehh, merely confidered as fuch, profiteth notbing.

A look of love from the fanctuary of the foul, has certainly greater forming power than hours of deliberate contemplation of the moft beautiful images. This forming look, if fo I may call it, can as little be premeditatedly given, as any other naturally beautiful form can be imparted by a fludious contemplation in the looking-glafs. All that creates, and is profoundly active, in the inner man, mult be internal, and be communicated from above; as I believe it fuffers itfelf not to be occafioned, at leaft not by forethought, circumfpection, or wiflom in the ggent, to produce fuch ef-

G2 fects.
fects. Beautiful forms, or abortions, are neither of them the work of art or ftudy, but of intervening caufes, of the quick-guiding providence, the predetermining God.
Endeavour to act upon affection inftead of the fenfes. If thou canf but incite love, it will of itfelf feek and find the powers of creation; but this very love muft itfelf be innate before it can be awakered. Perhaps, however, the moment of this awakening is not in our power; and therefore to thofe who would, by plan and method, effect that, which is in itfelf fo extraordinary, and imagine they have had l know not what wife and plyfiological circumfpection when they firft awaken love, I might, exclaim, in the words of the enraptured fongiter: "I charge you, O ye daughters of " Jerufalem, by the roes and the hinds of the field, " that ye ftir not up nor awake my love till he " pleafe." Here behold the forming genius -" Behold he cometh, leaping upon the moun"tains, fkipping upon the hills, like a young " hart."

Unforefeen moments, rapid as the lightning, in my opinion, form and deform. Creation of every kind is momentaneous; the developement, nutriment, change, improving, injuring, is the work of time, art, induftry, and education. Creative power fuffers itfelf not to be ftudied ; creation cannot be premeditated. Marks may be moulded, but living effence, within and without refembling itfelf, the image of God, muit be created, born, " not of the will of the flefh, nor of the will of " man, but of God."

## G H A P. XXVIII.

The Effects of Imagination on the Human Form.

THAT, by the ftrength of imagination, there are-marks communicated by mothers to children, during pregnancy, is equally true and comprehenfible; that there are images, animals, fruit, or other fubftances, on the body of the child; marks of the hand, on the very pirts where the pregnant perfon has been fuddenly tonched; averfion to things which have occafioned difguft in the mother, and a continued fcurvy communicated to the child by the unexpected fight of a putrid animal. So many marks on the bodies of children, arifing not from imaginary but real accidents, muft oblige us to own, that there is truth in that which is inconceivable. Therefore the imagination of the mother acts upon the child.
Of the inuumerable examples that might be produced, I fhall cite the two following :

A woman, during the time of her pregnancy, was engaged in a card party, and only wanted the ace of fpades to win all that was ftaked. It fo happened, in the change of cards, that the fo much wifhed-for ace was given her. Her joy at this fuccefs had fuch an effect upon her imagination, that the child of which the was pregnant, when born, had the ace of fpades depicted in the apple of the eye, and without injury to the organ of fight.

The following anecdote is certainly true, and fill more aftonifhing :
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A lady of Reintbal had, during her pregnancy, a defire to fee the execution of a man, who was fentenced to have his right hand cut off before he was beheaded. She faw the hand fevered from the body, and inftantly turned away and went lome, without waiting to fee the death that was to follow. This lady bore a daughter, who was living at the time this fragment was written, and who had only one hand. The right hand came away with the after-birth.

Moral marks as well as phyfical are perhaps puffible. I have heard of a phyfician, who never failed to feal fomething from all the chambers through which he paffed, which he would afterwards forget, and, in the evening, his wife, who fearched his pockets, would find keys, fnuff-boxes, etuis-cafes, fciffars, thimbles, fpectacles, buckles, fpoons, and other trinkets, which the reftored to the owners. I have been likewife told of a child, who, at two years of age, was adopted, when begging at the door of a noble family, received an excellent education, and became a moft worthy man, except that he could not forbear to fteal. The mothers of thefe two extraordinary thieves muft; during pregnancy, have had an extraordinary defire to pilfer. It will be felf-evident that, however infufferable fuch men are in a flate of fociety, they are rather unfortunate than wicked. Their actions may be as involuntary as mechanical, and, in the fight of God, probably as innocent, as the cuftomary motions of our fingers when we tear bits of paper, or do any other indifferent, thoughtlefs action.

The moral worth of an action muft be eftimated by its iutention, as the political worth muft by its:
its confcquences. As little injury as the ace of fpades, if the ftory be true, did to the countenance of the child, as little probably did this thievih propenfity to the heart. Such a perfon certainly had no roguifh look, no avaricious; downcaft, fly, pilfering afpect, like one who is, both foul and body, a thief. I have not yet feen any man of fuch an extraordinary character, and therefore cannot judge of his phyfiognomy by experience; yet we have reafon previoully to conclude, that men fo uncommon mult bear fome marks in their countenance of fuch deviation of character.

Thofe extraordinary large or fmall perfons, by us called giants and dwaris, thould perhaps be claffed among thefe active and paffive effects of the imagiation. Though giants and dwarfs are not properly born fuch, yet it is pofible, however incomprehenfible, that nature may firft, at a certain age, fuddenly enlarge or contract herfelf.

We have a variety of examples, that the imagination appears not olly to act upon the prefent, but on abfence, diftance, and futurity. Perhaps apparitions of the dying and the dead may be attributed to this kind of effect. Be it granted that thefe facts, which are fo numerous, are true, and including not only the apparitions of the dead, but of the living, who have appeared to diftant friends, after collecting fuch anecdotes, and adding others on the fubject of prefage and prediction, many philofophical conjectures will thence arife, which may probably confirm my following propofition.

The imagination, incited by the defire and languifhing of love, or inflamed by paffion, may act in diftant places and times. The fick or dying perfon, for example, fighs after an ablent friend, who knows not of his ficknefs, or thinks of him
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at the time, The pining of the imagination penen trates, as I may fay, walls, and appears in the form of the dying perfon, or gives figns of his prew fence fimilar to thofe which his actual prefence gives. Is there any real corporeal appearances? No. The fick or dying perfon is languifhing in' his bed, and has never been a moment abfent; therefore, there is no actual appearance of him whofe form has appeared. What then has produced this appearance? What is it that has acted thus at a diftance on another's fenfes or imagination? Imagination! but the imagination through the focus of palfion.-How !-It is inexplicable. But who can doubt fuch facts, who does not mean to laugh at all hiftorical facts?

Is there any improbability, that there may be fimilar moments of mind, when the inagination fhall act alike inexplicably on the unborn child? That the inexplicable difgufs, I will grant; I feel it perfectly. But is it not the fame in the foregoing examples, and in every example of the kind? Like as cripples firft become fo many years after birth, which daily experience proves; may not, after the fame inconceiveable manner, the feeds of what is gigantic or dwarfilh be the effects of the imagina tion on the fruit, which does not make its appearance till years after the child is born?

Were it poffible to perfuade a woman to keep an accurate regifter of what happened, in all the powerful moments of imagination, during her ftate of pregnancy, fhe then might probably be able to foretell the chief incidents, philofophical, moral, intellectual, and phyfiognomonical, which ghould happen to her child. Imagination, actuated by defire, love, or hratred, may, with more than Lightning fwiftnefs, kill or enliven, enlarge, dim minil ${ }_{\text {; }}$
minifh, or impregnate the organized fotus with the germ of enlarging or diminifhing wifdom or folly, death or life, which fhall firft be unfolded at a certain time, and under certain circumftances. This hitherto unexplored, but fometimes decifive and revealed creative and changing power of the foul, may be, in its effence, identically the fame with what is called faith-working miracles, which latter may be developed and increafed by external caufes, wherever it exits, but cannot be communicated where it is not. A clofer examination of the foregoing conjectures which I wifh not to be held for any thing more than conjectures, may perhaps lead to the profoundelt fecrets of phyfiognomy,

## C H A P. XXIX.

Efay by a late learned Man of Oldenburg, M. Strutz, on Phy/iognomy, interfperfed with Joort Remarks by the Author.
" IKE Lavater, I am perfectly convinced of the truth of phyfiognomy, and of the allfignificance of each limb and feature. Certain it is, that the mind may be read in the lineaments of the body, and its motion in its features, and their fhades.
" Caufe and effect, connection and harmony, exift through all pature; therefore, between the external and internal of man. Our form is influenced by our parents, by the earth on which we walk, the fun that warms us with his rays, the food that affimilates itfelf with our fubftance, the inciG 5
dents
dents that determine the fortune of our lives. Thefe all modify, repair, and chiffel forth the bo$d y$, and the marks of the tool are apparent both in body and in mind. Each arching, each finuofity of the external adapts itfelf to the individuallity of the internal. It is adherent and pliable, like wet drapery. Were the nofe but a little altered, Cæfar would not be the Cæfar with whom we are ac. quainted.
"The foul being in motion, it fhines through the body, as the moon through the ghoits of Orfian, each paffion throughout the human race has ever the fame language."

From *eaft and to weft, envy no where looks with the fatisfied air of magnanimity, nor will difcontent appear like patience. Wherever patience is, there is it expreffed by the fame figns: as likewife are anger, envy, and every other palfion.
" Philectetes certainly expreffes not the fenfation of pain like a fcourged flave. The angels of Raphael muft fmile more nobly than the angels of Rembrandt; but joy and pain ftill have each their peculiar expreffion. They act according to peculiar laws upon peculiar mufcles and nerves, however various may be the fhades of their expreffion; and the oftner the paffion is repeated, or fet in motion, the more it becomes a propenfity, a favourite habit, the deeper will be the furrows it ploughs.
" But inelination, capacity, modes and gradations of capacity, talents, and an ability for buinefs, lie much more concealed. A good obferver will

[^1]will difcover the wrathful, the voluptuous, the proud, the difcontented, the malignant, the benevolent, and the compaffionate, with little difficulty. But the philofopher, the poet, the artift, and their various partitions of genius, he will be unable to determine with equal accuracy. And it will be ftill more difficult to affign the feature or trait in which the token of each quality is feated, whether underftanding be in the egebone, wit in the chin, and poetical genius in the mouth."

Yet I hope, I believe, nay, I know, that the prefent century will render this poffible. The penetrating author of this efflay would not only have found it poffible, but would have performed it himfelf, had he only fet apart a lingle day to comparc and examine a well-arranged collection of characters, either in nature, or well-painted portraits.
" Whenever we meet with a remarkable man, our attention is always excited, and we are more or lefs empirical phyfiognomifts. We perceive in the afpect, the mien, the fmile, the mechanifm of the forehead, fometimes malice, fometimes wit, at others penetratioi. We expect and prefage, from the impulfe of latent fenfation, very determined qualities; from the form of each new acquaintance; and, when this faculty of judging is improved by an intercourfe with the world, we often fucceed to admiration in our judgement on ftrangers.
" Can we call this feeling, internal unacquired fenfation, which is inexplicable, or is it comparifon, i dication, couclution from a character we have examined to another which we have not, and occafioned by fome external refemblance? Feeling, is the $æ$ gis of enthufiafts and fools, and, thoug's it may often be conformable to truth, is ftill ne!-
ther demonftration nor confirmation of truth; but induction is judgement founded on experience, and this way only will I ftudy phyfiognomy. .
" With an air of friendlhip I meet many ftrangers, with cool politenefs 1 recede from others, though there is no expreffion of paffion to attract, or to difguft. On farther examination, $I$ always found, that I have feen in them fome trait either of a worthy or a worthlefs perfon, with whom I was before acquainted.
" A child, in my opinion, acts from like motives, when he evades, or is pleafed with the carefles of ftrangers, except that he is actuated by more trifling fligns, perhaps by the colour of the clothes, the tone of the voice, or often by fome motion, which he has obferved in the parent, the nurfe, or the acquaintance."

This cannot be denied to be often the cafe, and indeed much more often than is commonly fuppofed; yet I make no doubt of being able to prove, that there are, in nature and art, a multitude of traits, efpecially of the extremes of parfionate as well as difpaffionate faculties, which, of themfelves, and without. comparifon with former experiments, are, with certainty, intelligible to the moft unpractifed obferver. I believe it to be incorporated in the nature of man, in the organization of our eyes and ears, that he fhould be actuated or repulfed by certain countenances, as well as by certain tones. Let a child, who has feen but a few men, view but the open jaws of a lion, or a tiger, or the fmile of a benevolent perfon, and his nature will infallibly fhrink from the one, and meet the finile of benevolence with a fmile; not from reafon and comparifon, but from the original feelings of nature. From the fame reafon,
we liften with pleafure to a delightful melody, and fhudder at difcordant Ihrieks. As little as there is of comparifon or confideration on fuch an occafion, fo is there equally little on the firt of an extremely pleafing, on an extremely difgufting countenance.
" Mere fenfation, therefore, is not the caufe, fince I have good reafon, when I meet a perfon who refembles Turenne, to expect fagacity, cool refolution, and ardent enterprize. If, in three men, I find one poffefled of the eyes of Turenne, and the fame marks of prudence; another with his nofe, and high courage; the third with his mouth and activity; I then have afcertained the feat where each quality expreffes itfelf, and am juftified in expecting fimilar qualities wherever I meet fimilar features.
" Had we, for centuries paft, examined the hu$\operatorname{man}$ form, arranged characterific features, compared traits, and exemplified inflexions, lines, and proportions, and had we added explanations to each, then would our Chinefe alphabet of the race of man be complete, and we need but open it to find the interpretation of any countenance. Whenever I indulge the fuppofition, that fuch an elementary work is not abfolutely impoflible, I expect more from it than even Lavater. I imagine we may obtain a language fo rich, and fo determinate, that it fhall be poffible, from defcription only, to yeftore the living figure; and that an accurate defrription of the mind fhall give the outliae of the body, fo that the phyfiognomift, fludying fome future Plutarch, fhall regenerate great men, and ideal form thall, with facility, take birth from the given definition."

This is excellent; and, be the author in jeft or earneft, this is what I entirely, without dreaming, and moft abfolutely, expect from the following century, for which purpofe, with God's good plea. fure, I will hereafter hazard fome effays.
" With thefe ideal forms fhall the chambers of future princes be hung, and he who comes to folicit employment fhall retire without murmuring, when it is proved to him that he is excluded by his nofe."

Laugh or laugh not, friends or enemies of truth, this will, this muft happen.
" By degrees, I imagine to myfelf a new, and another world, where error and deceit thall be banifhed."

Banifhed they would be were phyfiognomy the univerfal religion, were all men accurate obfervers, and were not diffimulation obliged to recur to new arts, by which phyfiognomy, at leaft for a time, may be rendered erroneous.
" We have to inquire, whether we fhould therefore be happier?"

We fhould certainly be happier, though the prefent conteft between virtue and vice, firreerity and diffinulation, which fo contributes to the developemerst of the grand faculties of man, renders, as I may fay, humau virtue divine, exalting it to heaven.
" Truth is ever found in the medium : we will not hope too little from phyfiognomy, nor will we expect too much. Here torrents of objections break in upon me, fome of whici I am unable to anfwer. Do fo many men in reality refe : ble each other? Is not the refemblance general; and, when particularly examined, does it not vanilh, efpecially if the refembling perfoiss be coupared feature by feature? Does it not happen, that one feature is in direst
direct contradiction to another; that a fearful nofe is placed between eyes which betoken courage?"

In the firm parts, or thofe capable of fharp outlines, accidents excepted, I have never yet found contradictory features, but often have between the firm and the flexible, or the groundform of the flexible, and their apparent fituation. By ground-form I mean to fay that which is preferved after death, unlefs diftorted by violent difeafe.
" It is by no means proved, that refemblance of form univerfally denotes refemblance of mind. In families where there is moft refemblance, there are often the greateft varieties of mind. I have known twins, not to be diftinguifhed from each other, between whofe minds there was not the leaf fimilarity."

If this be literally true, I will renounce phyfiognomy, and whoever fhall convince me of it, I will give him my copy of thefe fragments, and an hundred phyfiognomonical drawings. Nor will I be my own judge, I leave it to the worthy author of this remark to choofe three arbiters. Let them examine the fact accurately, and, if they confirm it, I will own my error. Shades, however, of thefe twin brothers will firf be neceffary. In all the experiments I have made, I declare, upon my honour, I have never made any fuch remark.
"In what manner fhall we be able to explain the innumerable exceptions which almoft overwhelm rule! I will only produce fome from my own obfervation. Dr Johnion had the appearance of a porter; not the glance of the eye, not any trait of the mouth, feak the man of penetration or of fcience."

When

When a perfon of our author's penetration and judgement thus affirms, I mult hefitate, and fay, he has obferved this, I have not. But how does it happen, that, in more than ten years obfervation, I have never met any fuch example? I have feen many men, efpecially in the beginning of my phy. fiognomonical ftudies, whom I fuppofed to be men of fenfe, and who were not fo; but never, to the beft of my knowledge, did I meet a wife man whom I fuppofed a fool. In the frontifpiece is an engraving of Johnfon. Can a countenance more tranquilly fine be imagined, one that more poffeffes the fenfibility of underftanding, planning, fcrutinizing? In the eyebrows only, and their horizon. tal pofition, how great is the expreflion of pro. found, exquifite, penetrating underftanding?
"The countenance of Hume was that of a com. mon man."

So fays common report. I have no anfwer but that I fufpect the afpect, or flexible features, on which moft obfervers found their phyfiognomonical judgement, have, as I may fay, effaced the phyfiognomy of the bones; as, for example, the outline and arching of the forehead, to which fcarcely one in a hundred direct their attention.
" Churchill had the look of a drover; Goldfmith of a fimpleton; and the cold eyes of Strange do not betray the artift."

The greateft artifts have often the coldeft eyes. The man of genius and the artift are two perfons. Phlegm is the inheritance of the mere artift.
" Who would fay, that the apparent ardour of Wille fpeaks the man who paffed his life in draw. ing parallel lines?"

Ardour and phlegm are not incompatible : the moft ardent men are the cooleft. Scarcely any obfervation
obfervation has been fo much verified as this: it appears contradictory, but it is not. Ardent, quickly determining, refolute, laborious, and boldly enterprizing men, the moment of ardour excepted, have the cooleft of minds. The flyle and comntenance of Wille, if the profile portrait of him in my poffeffion be a likenefs, have this character in perfection.
" It appears to me, that Boucher, the painter of the graces, has the afpect of an executioner."
Truly fo. Such was the portrait I received. But then, my good M. Strutz, let us underftand what is meant by thefe painters of the graces. I find as little in his works, as in his countenance. None of the paintings of Boucher were at all to my tafte; I could not contemplate one of them with pleafure, and his countenance had the fame effect. I can now comprehend, faid 1 , on the firft fight of his portrait, why I have never been pleafed with the works of Boucher.
" I once happened to fee a criminal condemned to the wheel, who, with fatanic wickednefs, had murdered his benefactor, and who yet had the benevolent and open countenance of an angel of Guido. It ${ }^{15}$ not impoffible to difcover the headk of a Regulus among guilty criminals, or of a veftal in the houfe of correction."
I can confirm this from experience. Far be contradiction from me on this fubject. But fuch vicious perfons, however hateful with refpect to the appearance and effect of their actions, or even to their internal motives, were not originally wicked. Where is the pure, the noble, finely formed, eafily irritated man, wich angelic fenfibility, who has not his devilifh moments, in which, were not opportunity happily wanting, he might,
in one hour, be guilty of fome two or three vices, which would exhibit him, apparently at leaft, as the moft deteftable of men; yet may he be a thoufand times better, and nobler, than numerous men of fubaltern minds, held to be good, who never were capable of committing acts fo wicked, for the commiffion of which they fo loudly condemn him, and, for the good of fociety, are bound to condemn?
"Lavater will anfwer, Chew me thefe men, and I will comment upon them, as I have done upon Socrates. Some fmall, often unremarked trait, will probably explain what appears to you fo enigmatical. But will not fomething creep into the commentary, which never was in the text?"

Though this may be, yet it ought not to be the cafe. I will alfo grant, that a man with a good countenance may act like a rogue; but, in the firft place, at fuch a moment, his countenance will not appear good; and, in the next, he will infinitely oftener act like a man of worth.
" Have we any right, from a known character, to draw conclufions concerning one unknown? or, is it eafy to difcover what that being is, who wanders in darknefs, and dwells in the houfe of contradiction; who is one creature to-day, and tomorrow the reverfe?"

How true, how important is this! How neceffary a beacon to warn and terrify the phyfiognomift !
" What judgement could we form of Auguftus, if we were only acquainted with his conduct to Cinna? or of Cicero, if we knew him only from his confulate? .How gigantic rifes Elizabeth among queens, yet how little, how mean, was the fuperannuated coquette ! James II. a bold general,
and a cowardly king! Monk, the revenger of monarchs, the flave of his wife! Algernon Sydney and Ruffel, patriots worthy of Rome, fold to France! Bacon, the father of wifdom, a bribed judge! Such difcoveries make us fhudder at the afpect of man, and fhake off friends and intimates like coals of fire from the hand. When fuch cameleon minds can be at one moment great, at ano.ther contemptible, and alier their form, what can that form fay?"

Their form fhews what they may, what they ought to be, and their afpect, in the moment of action, what they are. Their countenance fhews their power, and their afpect the application of their power. The expreffion of their littlenefs. may probably be like the fpots of the fun, invifible to the naked eye.
"Does not that medium, through which we are accuftomed to look, tinge our judgement? Smellfungus views all objects through a blackened glafs; another through a prifm. Many contemplate virtue through a diminifhing, and vice through a magnifying medium.

How excellently expreffed!
"A book written by Swift on phyfiognomy would certainly have been very different from that of Lavater. National phyfiognomy is ftill a large uncultivated field. The families of the fair claffes of the race of Adam, from the Efquimaux to the Greeks, in Europe, and in Germany alone, what varieties are there which can efcape no obferver: Heads bearing the ftamp of the form of government, which ever will influence education; republican haughtinefs, proud of its laws; the pride of the flave, who feels pride becaufe he has the power
of inflicting the fcourges he has received; Greeks under Pericles and under Haffan Pacha; Romans, in a ftate of freedom, governed by emperors, and governed by popes; Englifhmen under Henry the Eighth, and Cromwell. How have I been ftruck by the portraits of Hampden, Pym, and Vane! All produce varieties of beauty, according to the different nations."

It is impoffible for me to exprefs how much I think myfelf indebted to the author of this fpirited and energetic effay. How worthy an act was it in him, whom I had unintentionally offended, concerning whom I had publihhed a judgement far from fufficiently noble, to fend me this effay, with liberty to make what ufe of it I pleafed! In fuch a manner, in fuch a fpirit, may informations, corrections, or doubts be ever conveyed to me! Shall I need to apologize for having inferted it? or rather, will not moft of my readers fay, give us more fuch ?

## CHAP. XXX.

## Quotations from, Huart, with Remarks thereon.

## 1.

* 

MANY, who are really wife, often appear not to be fo; and others who appear to be wife, are the reverfe. Some, again, neither are, nor appear to be wife, while others have the poffeffion and appearance of wifdom."

A touchftone for many countenances.
2.
"The fon is often brought in debtor to the great underftanding of the father."
" Wifdom in infancy denotes folly in manhood."

## 4.

" No aid can make thofe bring forth who are not pregnant."

We muft not exped fruit where feed has not been fown. How advantageous, how important, would phyfiognomy become, were it, by being acquainted with every fign of intellectual and moral pregnancy, enabled to render aid to all the pregnant!
" The external form of the head is what it ought to be, when it refembles a hollow globe flightly compreffed at the fides, with a fmall protuberance at the forehead and back of the head. A very flat forehead, or a fudden defcent at the back of the head, are no good tokens of underftanding."

The profile of fuch a head, notwithflanding the compreffire, would be more circular than oval. The profile of a good head ought to form a circle combined only when with the nofe; therefore, without the nofe it approaches much more to the oval than the circular. "A very flat forehead, (fays our author) is no good fign of underftanding." True, if the flatnefs refembles that of the ox; but I have feen perfectly flat foreheads, let me be rightly underfood, I mean flat only between and above the eyebrows, in men of great wifdom. Much

Much, indeed, depends upon the pofition and curves of the outlines of the forehead.
6.
" Man has more brain than any animal. Were the quantity of the brain in two of the largef oxen compared to the quantity found in the fmalleft man, it would prove to be lefs."

## 7.

" Large oranges have thick fkins and little juice. Heads of much bone and flefh have little brain. Large bones, with abundance of flefh and fat, are impediments to the mind."

## 8.

"The heads of wife perfons are very weak, and fulceptible of the moft minute impreffions."

Often, not always. And how wife? Wife to plan, but not to execute. Active wifdom muft have harder bones. One of the greateft of this earth's wonders is a man in whom the two qualities are united, who has fenfibility even to painful excefs, and coloffal courage to refift the impetuous torrent, the whirlpool, by which he fhall be affailed. Such characters poffefs feafibility from the tendernefs of bodily feeling; and flrength, not fo much in the bones as in the nerves.

## PHYSIOGNOMY.

men to be fools, I value but little. A thick belly certainly is no pofitive token of underftanding, it is rather pofitive for fenfuality, which is detrimental to the underftanding; but abftractly, and unconnected with other indubitable marks, I cannot receive this as a general propofition.

## 10.

"Ariftotle holds the fanalleft heads to be the wifef."

But this, with all reverence for fo great a man, I think was fpoken without reflection. Let a fmall head be imagined on a great body, or a great head on a fmall body, each of which may be found in confequence of accidents that excite or retard growth; and it will be perceived that, without fome more definite diftinction, neither the large, nor the fmall head is; in itfelf, wife or foolih. It is true, that large heads, with thort triangular foreheads, are foolifh; as are thofe large heads which are fat, and incumbered with flefh; but fmall, particularly round heads, with the like incumbrance, are intolerably foolifh, and generally poffefs that, which renders their intolerable folly more intolerable, a pretenfion to wifdom.

## II.

" It is a good fign, when a fmall perfon has a head fomewhat large, and a large perfon has the head fomewhat fmall."

Provided this extends no farther than fomewhat it may be fupportable; but it is certainly for the beft, when the head is in fuch proportion to the body, that it is not remarkable either for its largenefs or fmallnefs.

## 12.

" Memory and imagination refemble the under: ftanding as a monkey does a man."

## 13.

" Whether the flell be hard or tender, it is of no confequence to the genius, if the brain do not partake of the fame quality; for experience tells us, that the latter is very often of a different temperament to the other parts of the body. But when both the brain and the fleih are tender, they betoken ill to the underftanding, and equally ill to the imagination."

## 14.

" Phlegm and blood are the fluids which render the flefh tender; and thofe being moift, according to Galen, render men fimple and ftupid. The fluids, on the contrary, which harden the flefh, are choler and melancholy (or bile), and thefe generate wifdom and underfanding. It is therefore a much worfe fign to have tender flefh than rough; and tender fignifies a bad memory, with weakncis of underfanding and imagination."

It occurs to me, that there is an intelligent tendernefs of flefh, which announces much more underfanding than do the oppofite qualities of rongh and hard. I can no more clafs coriaceous flefh as the characteriftic of underflanding, than I can tendernefs of flelh, without being more accurately defined, as the characteriftic of folly. It will be proper to diftinguifh between tender and porous, or fpongy, and between rough and firm without har dnefs.
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" We muft examine the hair, if we wih to difcover whether the quality of the brain correfponds with the fefh. If the hair be black, ftrong, rough, and thick, it betokens ftrength of imagination and underftanding."

I am of a different opinion. Let not this be expreffed in fuch general terms. At this moment, I recollect a very weak man, by nature weak, with exactly fuch hair. This roughnefs (fprodigheit) is a fatal word, which, taken in what fenfe it will, never fignifies any thing good,
" But if the hair be tender and weak, it denotes nothing more than goodnefs' of memory."
Once more too little: it denotes a fine organi: zation, which receives the impreffion of images at leaft as ftrongly as the figns of images.

## 16.

" When the hair is of the firft quality, and we would farther diftinguifh, whether it betokens goodnefs of underftanding or inagination, we muft pay attention to the laugh. Laughter betrays the quality of the imagination."
I may venture to add, of the underftanding of the heart, of power, love, hatred, pride, humility; truth, and falfehood. Would I had artifts, who would watch for and defign the outlines of lauginter! The phyfiognomy of laughter would be the beft of elementary books for the knowledge of man: If the laugh be good, fo is the perfon. It is faid of Chrift, that he never laughed. I believe it ; but, had he never fmiled, he would not have been human. The fmile of Chrift muft have contained the precife outline of brotherly love.
17.
" Heraclitus fays, a dry eye, a wife mind."
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" We fhall difcover few men of great under. ftanding who write a fine hand."

It might have been faid, with more accuracy, a fchoolmafter's hand.

## CHAP. XXXI.

Remarks on an Efay on Pbyfiognomy, by Profefor Licbtenberg.

MUCH intelligence, much ornament, and a mild diffufive eloquence, are blended in this effay. It is the work of a learned, penetrating, and, in many refpects, highly meritorious perfon, who appears to poffefs much knowledge of men, and a large portion of the prompt fpirit of obfervation. This effay merits the utmoft attention and inveftigation. It is fo interefting, fo comprehenfive, affords fo much opportunity of remark for the phyfiognomift, and of remarks which I have yet to make, that I cannot avoid citing the mof important paffages, atd fubmitting them to an unprejudiced and accurate examination.

It is far from my intention or wifh, to compare myfelf with the excellent author, to make any pretenfions to his fanciful and brilliant wit, and ftill lefs $t$ his learning and penetration. It is perhaps my wif, though I dare not bope, to meet and
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and anfwer him with the fame elegance as his polifhed mind and fine tafte feem to demand. I am fenfible of thofe wants which are peculiar to my felf, and which muft remain mine, even when I have truth on my fide. Yet, worthy Sir, be affured, that I fhall never be unjuft, and that, even where I cannot affent to your obfervations, I fhall never forget the efteem I owe your talents, learning, and merits.

We will now, in fuppofition, fit down in friendthip with your effay before us, and, with that benevolence which is moft becoming men, philofophers in particular, explain our mutual fentiments concerning nature and truth.

## ON PHYSIOGNOMY。

*Certainly (fays our author) the freedom of thought, and the very receffes of the heart, were never more feverely ferutinized than in the prefent age."

I cannot help thinking, that, at the very beginning, an improper point of view is taken, which may probably lead the author and reader aftray through the whole eflay. For my own part ${ }_{2}$ at lealt, I know of no attacks on the freedom of thought, or the fecret receffes of the heart. It is univerfally known, that my labours have been lefs directed to this than to the knowledge of predominant character, capacities, talents, powers, inclinations, activity, genius, religion, feufibility, irritability, and elafticity, of men in general, and not to the difcovery of actual and prefent thought. As far as I an concerned, the foul may, and can, in our witty author's own words, "brood as lecretly over its treafures as it might have done centuries
ago ; may as tranquilly fmile at the progrefs of all Babylonian works, at all proud affailants of heaven, convilaced that, long before the completion of their work, there fhall be a confufion of tongues, and the mafter and the labourers thall be fcattered."

I fhould enjoy the laugh as much as any one of the arrogance of that phyfiognomift, who fhould pretend to read in the countenance the moft fecret thoughts and motions of the foul, at any given moment, although there are moments, in which they are legible to the moft unpractifed phyfiognomift.

I am alfo of opinion, that the fecrets of the heart belong to pathognomy, to which I direct my attention much lefs than to phyfiognomy; of which the author fays, rore wittily than truly, "it is as unneceflary to write as on the art of love."

The author is very right in reminding us, "that we ought to feek phyfiognomonical inftruction from known characters with great caution, and even diffidence."

Our author then fays, "Whether phyfiognomy, in its utmoft perfection, would promote philanthropy, is at leaft queftionable."

I confidently anfwer unqueftionable, and I hope immediately to induce the reafonable and philanthropic author to fay the fame. Phyfiognomy, in its utmoft perfection, muft mean the knowledge of men in its utmoft perfection. And fhall not this promote the love of man? or, in other words, thall it not difcover innumerable perfections, which the half phyfiognomif, or the unphyfiognomift, are unable to difcover? Noble and penetrating friend of man, while writing this, you bad forgotten, what you had fo truly, fo beautifully
fully faid, " that the moft hateful deformity might, by the aid of virtue, acquire irrefiftible charms." And to whom more irrefiftible, more legible, than to the perfect phyfiognomift? Irtefiftible charms certainly promote not hatred, but love. From my own experience, 1 can fincerely declare, that the improvément of my phyliognomonical knowledge has extended and increafed the power of love in my heart.

Though this knowledge may fometimes be the author of affiction, ftill it is ever true, that the affliction occafioned by certain countenances, endears, fanctifies, and renders enchanting, whatever is noble and lovely, which often glows in the human countenance, like embers among ahes. My attention to the difcovery of this fecret goodnefs is increafed, and the object of my labours is its increafe and improvement; and how do efteem and love extend themfelves, wherever I perceive a preponderance of goodnefs ! On a more accurate obfervation, the very countenances that afllict me, and which, for fome moments, incenfe me againft humanity, to but increafe a tolerant and benevolent firit; for I then difcern the load and the nature of that fenfuality, againft which they have to. combat.

All truth, all knowledge of what is, of what acts upon, and on whici we act, promotes general and individual happinefs. Whoever denies this is incapable of inveltigation. The more perfect this knowledge is, the greater are its advantages. Whatever profits, whatever promotes bappinefs, promotes philanthropy. Where are happy men to be found without philanthropy? Are fuch beings poffible? Were happinefs and philanthropy to be deftroyed or leffened, by any perfect fcience,
truth would war with truth, and eternal wifdom. with itfelf.
He who can ferioully maintain, " that a perfect fcience may be detriaiental to human fociety, or may not promote philanthropy (without which happinefs among men cannot be fuppofed), is certai: ly not a man, in whofe con pany our author would wifl to philofophize, as he certainly will, with me, affume it as an axiom, that "the nearer truth, the nearer happinefs." The more our knowledge and judgement refemble the knowledge and judgement of the Deity, the more will our philanthropy refemble the plialanthropy of the Deity. He who knows how man is formed, who remembers that he is but duft, is the moft tolerant friend of man.

1 believe angels to be better phyfiognomifts, and more philanthropic, than men, though they may perceive in us a thoufand failings and imperfec: tious, which may efcape the moft penetrating eye of man. God, having the moft knowledge of fpirit, is the moft tolerant of fpirits. And who was more tolerant, more affectionate, more lenient; more merciful than thou, who needeft not that any flould tefify of man, for thou knoweft what was: in man?
"It is certain, that the induffrious, the infinuating, and active blockbeads in phyfiognomy may do much injury to fociety."
Be affured, my worthy Sir, it is my earneft defire, my known endeavour, to deter fuch blockheads from fludying phyfiognomy. This evil can be prevented only by accurate obfervation. True it is, that every fcience may become dangerous, when ftudied by the fuperficial and the foolifh, and the very reverfe, when fudied by the accurate and the
the wife. According to your own principles, therefore, we mult agree in this, that none but the fuperficial, the blockhead, the fanatical enemy of knowledge and learaing in general, can wilh to prevent " all inveftigation of phyfiognomonical principles;" none but fuch a perfon "can oppofe phyfiognomonical labours; none but a blockhead will fuppofe it unworthy and impracticable, in thefe degenerate days, to awaken fenfibility, and the fpirit of obfervation, or to improve the arts, and the knowledge of men." To grant all this, as you, Sir, do, and yet to fpeak with bitternefs againft phyfiognomy and phyfingnomifts, I call fowing tares among the good feed.

Our author next proceeds to diftinguifh between phyfiogiomy and pathognomy. "Phyfiognomy (he defines to be) a capability of difcovering the qualities of the mind and heart, from the form and qualities of the external parts of the body, efpecially the countenance, exclufive of all tranfitory figns of the motion of the mind; and pathognomy, the whole femeiotica of the paffions, or the knowledge of the natural figns of the motions of the mind, according to all their gradations and comnations."
1 entirely agree with this diftinction, and likewife fubfcribe to thefe given definitions.

It is in the next place alked, Is there phyfiognomy? Is there pathognomy? To the latter the author jutly replies, "This no man ever yet denied, for what would all theatrical reprefentations be without it? The language of all ages and nations abounds with pathognomonical remarks, and with which they are infeparably interwoven."

However, after reading the work feveral times, I cannot difcover whether the author does or does

H 4.
not grant the reality of phyfiognomy. In one pafis fage, the author very excellently fays, " No one will deny, that, in a world where all things are caufe and effect, and where miracles are not to be found, each part is a mirror of the whole. We are often able to conclude, from what is near to what is diftant, from what is vifible to what is invifible, from the prefent to the paft and the future. Thus the hiftory of the earth is written, in nature's characters, in the form of each tract of country, of its fand, hills, and rocks. Thus each fhell of the fea-fhore proclaims the once included mind, connected, like the mind of man, with this thell. Thus alfo might the internal of man be expreffed, by the external, on the countenance, concerning which we particularly mean to fpeak. Signs and traces of thought, inclination, and capacity, muft be perceptible. How vifible are the tokens impreff ed upon the body by trade and climate! yet what are trade and climate compared to the ever-active foul, created in every fibre, of whofe abfolute legibility from all and to all no one doubts?"

The writer of the above excellent paffage is the laft perfon from whom I fhould have expected the following: "What! the phyfiognomift will exclaim, can the foul of Newton refide in the head of a Negro, or an angelic mind in a fiendlike form?"

As little could I have expected this paffage:"Talents, and the endowments of the mind, in general, are not expreffed by any figns in the firm parts of the head."

I have never in my life met with any thing more. contradictory to nature, and to each other, than the foregoing and the following paragraphs:
"If a pea were thrown into the Mediterranean, an eye more piereing than ours, though infinitely lefs penetrating than the eye of him who fees all things, might perceive the effects produced on the coaft of China." Thefe are our author's very words.

And fhall the whole living powers of the foul, "creative in every fibre," have no determinate influence on the firm parts, thofe boundaries of its activity, which firlt were yielding, and acted upon, impreffed, by every mufcle; which refemble each other in no human body, which are fo various. as characters and talents, and are as certainly different as the moft flexible parts of man? Shall the whole powers of the foul, I fay, have no de-. terminate infuence on thefe, or not by thefe be: defined?

In order to avoid the future-imputation of in.dulging the fhallow ftream of youthful declamation, inftead of producing facts, and principles deduced from experience, let us oppofe experience to declamation, and facts to fubtleties. But firft a word, that we may perfectly remove a degree of ambiguity, which I fhould not have expected from. the accuracy of a mathematician.
" Why not (fays our author), why not the foul: of Newton in the head of a Negro? Why not an angel mind in a fiendlike form? Who, reptile ! : impowered thee to judge of the works of God ?"

Let us reprefent things in their proper light.. We do not fpeak here of what God can do, but of what is to be expected, from the knowledge we: have of his works. We afk what the Author of order actually does, and not whether the foul of: Newton can exilt in the body of a Negro, or an:
H. 5 angelia:
angelic foul in a fiendlike form. The phyfiogno. monical queftion is, can an angel's foul act the fame in a fiendlike body as in the angelic body? or, in other words, could the mind of Newton lave invented the theory of light, refiding in the head of a Negro, thus and thus defined ? Such is the queftion.

Will you, Sir, who are the friend of truth, will you anfwer, it might? You who have previoufly. faid of the world, "all things in it are caufe and effect, and miracles are not be found ?"

I thould indeed be a reptile, judging the works. of God, did I maintain its impoffibility by miracle?: Gut the queftion, at prefent, is not concerning miracles; it is concerning natural caufe and efsect.

After having thus fated the argument; permit: 'me, Sir, to decide it, by quoting your own words: 4 Judas fearcely would be that dirty, deformed mendicant painted by Holbein. No hypocrite, who affociates-with the good, betrays with a kifs, and afterwards hangs himfelf, has the look of Holbein's Judas. My experience leads me to fuppofe Judas. muft have been diftinguithed by an infinuating: countenance, and an ever-ready fmile."

How true ! hrow excellent! Yet what if I were to exclaim, "Who impowered thee, reptile! to judge of the works of God?" What if I were to retort the following juft remark, "Tell me firf, why a virtuous mind is fo often doomed to exift in an infirm body? Might not alfo, were it God's good pleafure, a virtuous man have a countenance like the beggarly Jew of Holbein, or any other shat can be imagined?".

Gan, this, however, be called wife or manty reafonting?: How wide، is-the difference between: fuffering:
fuffering and difgufting virtue? or, is it logical fo' deduce that, becaufe virtue may fuffer, virtue may be difgufful! Is not fuffering effential to virtue? To ank why virtue muft fuffer, is èquivalent to afking why God has decreed that virtue fhould exif. Is it alike incongruous to admit that virtue fuffers, and that virtue looks like vice? Virtue void of conflict, of fuffering, or of felf-denial, isnot virtue accurately confidered; therefore it is folly to afk, why muft the virtuous fuffer? It is in the nature of things; but it is not in the nature of things, not in the relation of catife and effect, that virtues fhould look like vice, or wifdom like fooliifnefs. How, good Sir, could you forget whit you have fo expreflively faid, "There is-no durable beauty without virtue, and the moft hateful deformity may, by the aid of virtue, acquire the moft: irrefiftible charms? The author is acquainted with feveral women, whofe example might infpire the mof ugly with hope."
What may be the infirmities of the virtuous we do not inquire, nor whether a man of genius may become a fool; we afk, whether virtue, while exifting, can look like prefent vice; or actual folly, like actual wifdom. You, Sir, who are fo profound an inquirer into the nature of man, will certainly never grant, (who, indeed, will?) that the foul of the beloved difciple of Chrift could, without a miracle, refide-in the dirty, deformed mendicant, the beggarly Jew of Holbein, and act as freely in that as in any other body.. Will you, Sir, continue to rank yourfelf, in your philofophical refearches, with thofe, who, having maintained fuch fenfelefs propofitions, rid themfelves of all difficulties by afking, " Who impowered thee, reptile! to judge of the works of God?"

## PHYSIUGNOMY.

Let us proceed to examine a few more paflages.
"Our fenfes acquaint us only with the fuperficies, from which all deductions are made. This is not very favourable to phyfiognomy, for which fomething more definite is requifite, fince this read* ing of the fuperficies is the fource of al' our errors, and frequently of our ignorance."

So it is with us in nature; we abfolutely can read nothing more than the fuperficies. In a world devoid of miracles, the external ever muft have a relation to the internal; and, could we prove all reading of the fuperficies to be falfe, what fhould we effect but the deftruction of all human knowledge? All our inquiries produce only new fuperficies. All our truth muft be the truth of the fuperficies. It is not the reading of the fuperficies that is the fource of all our error; for, if fo, we fhould have no truth; but the not reading, or which is the fame in effect, the not rightly reading.

If " a pea thrown into the Mediterranean fea would effect a change in the fuperficies, which fhould extend to the coaft of China," any error that we might commit, in our conclufions concerning the actions of this pea, would not be becaufe, we read only the fuperficies, but becaufe we cannot read the fuperficies.
" That we can only read the fuperficies is not very favourable to phyfiognomy, for which fomething more definite is requifite." Something more definité we have endeavoured to give, and wih to hear the objections. of acute inquirers. But let facts be oppofed to facts. Does not our author, by the expreffion, "fince the internal is impreffed upon the external," feem to grant the poffibility of this impreffion? And if fo, does not the fuperfi.
cies become the index of the internal? Does he not thereby grant the phyfiognomy of the firm parts!

He proceeds to afk, "If the internal be imprefled upon the external, is the impreffion to be difcovered by the eyes of men ?" Dare I truft my eyes, that I have read fuch a paffage in the writings of a philofopher !

We certainly fee what we fee. Be the object there, or be it not, the queftion ever muft be, do we or do we not fee? That we do fee, and that the author, whenever he pleafes, fees alfo, his effay is a proof, as are his other works. Be this as it may, I know not what would become of all our philofophers and philofophy, were we, at every new difcovery of things, or the relations of things, to afk, Was this thing placed there to be difcovered? With what degree of ridicule would our witty author treat the man who fhould endeavour to render affronomy contemptible by afking, "Though the wifdom of God is manifett in the ftars, were the ftars placed there to be difcovered?
" Muft not figns and effects, which we do not feek, conceal and render thofe erroneous of which we are in fearch ?"

The figns we feek are manifeft, and may be known : they are the terminations of caufes, therefore effects, therefore phyfiognomonicalexpreffions. The philofopher is an obferver, an obferver of that which is fought, or not fought. He fees, and muft: fee, that which prefents itfelf to his eyes; and uiat which prefents itfelf is the fymbol of fomething that does not prefent itfelf. What he fees can only millead him when he does not fee rightlyo. If the conclufion be true, " that figns and effects which we do not feek mult conceal, and render
'erroneous:
erroneous thofe of which we are in fearch," ther: ought we to feek no figns and effects, and thus all! fciences vanifh.

I have reafon to hope, that a perfon of fo much learning as is our author, would not facrifice alh human fciences for the fole purpofe of heaping phyfiognomy on the pile. I grant the poffibility and facility of error is there; and this fhould teach ns circumfpection, fhould teach us to fee the thing that is, without the addition of any thing that is not. But to wihh, by any pretence, to divert us from feeing and obferving, and to render inquiry contemptible, whether with rude or refined wit, would be the moft ridiculous of all fanaticifm. Such ridicule, in the mouth of a profeffed enemy of falfe philofophers, would be as vapid as falfe. I am indeed perfuaded, that my antagonift is not ferious and in earneft.
" Were the growth of the body (fays the austhor), in the moft pure of atmofpheres, and modified only by the emotions of the mind, undifturbed by any external power, the ruling paffion, and the prevailing talent, 1 allow, might produce, according to their different gradations, different forms of countenance, like as different falts chryftallize in different forms, when obftructed by no impediment. But is the body influenced by the mind alone, or it is not rather expofed to all the impulfes. of various contradictory powers, the laws of which it is obliged to obey! Thus each mineral, in its pureft fate; has its peculiar form; but the anomalies which its combination with others occafions, and the accidents to which it is fubjected, often caule the moft experienced to err, when they wrould diftinguifh it by its form."

## PHYSIOGNOMY.

How frange is this fimile! Salts and minerals. compared to an organized body, internally animate! A grain of falt, which the leaft particle of water will inftantaneoully melt, to the human fcull, which has defied misfortune, and: millions of external impreffions for centuries! Doft then not blufh, Philofophy? Not to confine ourfelves to the organization, or the fculls of men and other animals, do we find that even plants, which have not the internal refiftance, the elafticity of man, and which are expofed to millions of counteracting impreffions from light, air, and other bodies, ever ohange their form, in confequence of fuch caufes ? Which of them is ever miftaken for another by the botanift. The moft violent accidents fcarcely sould effect fuch a change, fo long as they fhould preferve their organization.
"Thus is the body mutually acted upon by the mind and external caufes, and manifefts not only our inclinations and capacities, but alfo the effects of misfortune, climate, difeafes, food, and thoufands of inconveniencies to which we are fubjected; not always in confequence of our: vice, but often by accidents, and fometimes by our virtues."
Nobody can, nor will attempt to deny this. But is the foregoing queftion hereby anfwered? We are to attend to that. Does not our effayift himfelf fay, "the body is acted upon by the mind and external caufes?" Therefore not by external caufes alone. May it not equally be affected by the internal energy, or inactivity of the mind? What are we contending for? Has it not if indeed the author be in earneft) the appearance of fophiftry to oppofe external to internal effects, and yet own the body is acted upon by both? And will you, Sir, acute and wife as you are, maintain that mifs fortune:
fortune can change a wife, a round, and an arched, into a cylindrical foreheac ; one that is lengthened into one that is fquare; or the projecting into the fhort retreating chin? Who can ferioully believe and affirm, that Charles XIV. Henry IV. and Charles V. men who were undoubtedly fubject to misfortunes, if ever men were, thereby acqui. red another form of countenance (we fpeak of the firm parts, not of fcars), and which forms denoted a different character to what each poffeffed previous to fuch misfortunes? Who will maintain, that the nofes of Charles XII. or Henry IV. denoting power of mind, previous to their reverfe of fortune, the one at Pultawa, the other by the hand of Ravaillac, fuffered any change, and were debafed to the infignificant pointed nofe of a girl? Nature acts from within upon the bones; accident and fuffering act on the nerves, mufcles, and fkin. If any accident attack the bones, who is fo blind as not to remark fuch phyfical violence? The figns of misfortune are either frong or feeble: when they are feeble, they are effaced by the fuperior ftrength and power of nature; when flrong, they are too vifible to deceive, and by their ftrength and vifibility warn the phyfiognomift not to fuppofethem the features of nature. By the phyfiognomift I mean the unprejudiced obferver, who alone is the real phyfiognomift, and has a right to decide; not the man of fubtlety, who is wilfully blind to. experience.
" Are the defects; which I remark in an imageof wax, always the defects of the artift, or are they not the confequences of unkilful handling, the fun's heat, or the warmth of the room."

Nothing, dear friend of truth, is more eafy tooblerve, in an image of wax, than the original
hand of the mafter, although it fhould, by improper handling, accidental preffure, or melting, be injured. This example, Sir, militates againft yourfelf. If the hand of the mafter be vifible in an image of wax, where it is fo eafily defaced, how much more perceptible muft accident be in an organized body, fo individually permanent? Initead of an image of wax, the fimile, in my opinion, would be improved, were we to fubftitute a ftatue; and in this every connoiffeur can diftinguifh what has been broken, chopped, or filed off, as well as what has been added by a later hand. And why fhould not this be known in man? Why fhonld not the original form of man be more diftinguifhable, in defpite of accident, than the beauty and workmanfhip of an excellent ftatue which has been defaced ?
" Does the mind, like an elaftic fluid, always aflume the form of the body? And if a flat nofe were the fign of envy, muft a man, whofe nofe by accident fhould be flattened, confequently become envious?"

The inquirer will gain but little, be this quef. tion anfwered in the negative or affirmative. What is gained were we to anfwer, "Yes; the foul is an elattic fluid, which always takes the form of the body ?" Would it thence follow, that the flattened nofe has loft fo much of its elafticity, as would be neceffary to propel the nofe? or where would be the advantage fhould we reply, "No; all fuch comparifons are infignificant, except to elucidate certain cafes: we muft appeal only to facts."

But what would be anfwered to a lefs fubtle and more fimple queftion, Is there no example of the mind being injured by the maiming of the body? Has not a fractured fcull, by compreffing the brain, injured
injured the underftanding? Does not caftration render the male half female? - But to anfwer wit with reafon, fays a witty writer, is like endeavouring to hold an eel by the tail.

We wholly fubfcribe to the affirmation, that " it is abfurd to fuppofe the moft beautiful mind is to be found in the moft beautiful body, and the mof deformed mind in the moft deformed body."

We have already explained ourfelves fo amply on this fubject, that being fuppofed to hold a contrary opinion appears incomprehenfible. We only fay, there is a proportion and beauty of body, which is more capable of fuperior virtue, ferfibility, and action, than the difproportionate. We fay with the author, " Virtue beautifies, vice deforms." We moft cordiaily grant, that honefty may be found in the moft ugly, and vice in men of the moft beautiful forms.

We cal not, however, help differing from him, concerning the following affertion: "Our languages are exceedingly barren of phyfiognomonical terms. Were it a true fcience, the language of the vulgar would have been proverbially rich in its terms. The nofe occurs in a bundred proverbs and phrafes, but always pathognomonically, denoting paft action, but never phyfiognomonically, betokening character or difpofition."

Inftead of a bundred, I am acquainted with only one fuch phrafe, nafen rumfe, to turn up the nofe. Homo obefa, obtufe naris, faid the ancients; and had they not faid it, what could thence have been adduced, fince we can prove, a poferiori, that the nofe is a phyfiognomonical fign of character?

I have not learning fufficient, nor have I the inclination to cite fufficient proofs of the contrary, from Homer, Suetonius, Martial, and an hundred others.
othiers. That which is is, whether perceived by the ancients or not. Such duft might blind a fchool-boy, but not the eyes of a fage, who fees for himfelf, and who knows that each age has its meafure of difcovery, and that there are thofe who fail not to exclaim againft all difcoveries which were not made by the ancients.
"I hould be glad to know (fays our author), not what man may become, but what he is."
I muft confefs that I wifh to know both. Many vicious men refemble valuable paistings, which have been deftroyed by varnifh. Would you pay. no attention to fuch a painting? Is it wholly unworthy of you, though a connoiffeur fhould affire you, the picture is damaged; but there is a poffibility of clearing away the varnifh, as this mafter's colours are fo ftrongly laid on, and fo effentially, good, that no varnifh can penetrate deep enough, if we are but careful in bringing it away not to injure the picture? Is this of no importance? You obferve the fmalleft change of pofition in the polas ftar. Days are dedicated to examine how many ages fhall elapfe before it will arrive at the neareft point of approach. I do not defpife your labours. But is it of no importance to you, to fathers, mothers, guardians, teachers, friends, and ftatefmen, to inquire what a man may become, or what muft be expected from this or that youth, thus and thus formed and educated? Many foolifh people are like excellent watches, which would go well, were the regulator but rectified.

Is the goodnefs of the mechanifm of no confequence to you, although a fkilful watchmaker fhould tell you, this was, and is, an excellent piece of workmanfhip, infinitely better than that which gou fee fet with brilliants, which, I grant, will
go well for a quarter of a year, but will then ftop?
Clean this, repair it, and ftraighten the teeth of this fmall wheel. Is this advice of no importance?
Will you not be informed what it might have been, what it may yet probably be? Will you not hear of a treafure that lies buried, and, while buried, I own ufelefs; but will you content yourfelf with the trifling intereft arifing from this or that fmall fum?

Is your attention paid only to the fruit of the prefent year, and which is perhaps forced? And do you neglect the goodnefs of a tree, which, with attention, may bring forth a thoufand fold, though, under certain circumftances, it may have brought forth none? Have the hot blafts of the fouth parched up its black leaves, or has the ftorm blown down its half-ripened fruit, and will you therefore not inquire whether the root does not ftill flourifh ?

I find I grow weary, and perhaps weary others, efpecially as I am more and more convinced, that our pleafant author, at leaft hitherto, meant only to amufe himfelf. I fhall therefore only produce two more contradictions, which ought not to have efcaped the author; and fcarcely can efcape any thinking reader.

He very properly fays, in one place, "Pathognomonical figns, often repeated, are not always entirely effaced, but leave phyfiognomonical impreffions. Hence originate the lines of folly, ever gaping, ever admiring, rothing underftanding; hence the traits of hypocrify ; hence the hollowed cheek, the wrinkles of obftinacy, and heaven knows how many other wrinkles. Pathognomo. nical diftortion, which accompanies the practice of vice, will likewife, in confequence of the difeafe
it produces, become more diftorted and hateful. Thus may the pathognomonical expreffion of friendfhip, compaffion, fincerity, piety, and other moral beauties, become bodily beauty to fuch as can perceive and admire thefe qualities. On this is founded the phyfiognomy of Gallert, which is the only true part of phyfiggnomy. This is of infinite advantage to virtue, and is comprehended in a few words, " virtue beautifies, vice deforms."

The branch therefore hath effect, the root none; the fruit has phyfiognomy, the tree none; the laugh of felf-fufficient vanity may, therefore, arife from the moft humble of hearts, and the appear. ance of folly from the perfection of wifdom. The wrinkles of hypocrify, therefore, are not the refult of any internal power or weaknefs. The author will always fix our attention on the dial-plate, and will never fyeak of the power of the watch itfelf. But take away the dial-plate, and fill the hand will go. Take away thofe pathognomonical traits, which diffimulation fometimes can effect, and the internal power of impulfe will remain. How contradictory therefore is it to fay, the traits of folly are there, but not the character of folly, the drop of water is vifible, but the fountain, the ocean, is not!

Again. It is certainly incongruous to fay, " There is pathognomy, but this is as unneceffary (to be written) as an act of love. It chiefly confifts in the motion of the mulcles of the countenance and the eyes, and is learned by all men. To teach this would be like an attempt to number the fands of the fea!"

Yet the author, in the very next page, with great acutenefs, begins to teach pathognomy, by explaining twelve of the countenances of Chodo. weicki,
weicki, in which how much is there included of the fcience of phyfiognomy?

Give me now leave, my worthy antagonif, yet no longer antagonift, but friend, convinced by truth, and the love of truth, I fay, give me leave to tranfribe, in one continued quotation; fome of your excellent thoughts and remarks, from your effay, and elucidations on the countenances of Chodoweicki, part of which have been already cited on this fragment, aud part not. I am convinced they will be agreeable to my readers.
"Our judgement concerning countenances frequently acquires certainty, not from phyfiognomonical nor pathognomonical figns, but from the traces of recent actions, which men cannot fhake off. Debauchery, avarice, beggary, have each their livery, by which they are as well known as the foldier by his uniform, or the chimney-fweeper by his footy jacket. The addition of a trifling ex. pletive in difcourfe will betray the badnefs of education; and the manner of putting on the hat, what is the company we keep, and what the degree of our folly."

Suffer me here to add, fhall not then the whole form of man difcover any thing of his talents and difpofitions? Caia the moft milky candour here for-get the ftraining at a guat and fwallowing a camel ?
" Maniacs will ofteri not be known to be difordered in their fenfes, if not in action. More will. often be difcovered, coucerning what a man really is, by his drefs behaviour, and mode of paying his compliments, at his firft vifit and introduction, in a fiegle quarcer of an hour, than in all the time he fhall remain. Cleanlinefs and firmplicity of manner will often conceal paffions.
"No fatisfactory conclufions can often be drawn from the countenances of the moft dangerous men. Their thoughts are all concealed under an appearance of melancholy. Whoever has not remarked this, is unacquainted with mankind. The heart of the vicious man is always lefs eafy to be read the better his education has been, the more ambition he has, and the better the company he has been accuftomed to keep.
"Cowardice and vanity, governed by an inclination to pleafure and indolence, are not marked with ftrength equivalent to the mifcbief they occafion; while, on the contrary, fortitude in defence of juftice, againft all opponents whatever, be their rank and influence what it may, and the confcious feeling of real felf-worth, often look very dangerous, efpecially when unaccompanied by a fmilisg mouth.
" Specious as the objections brought by the fophiftry of the fenfual may be, it is notwithftanding certain, that there is no poffible durable beanty without virtue, and the molt hateful deformity may, by the aid of virtue, acquire irreliftible charms. Examples of luch perfection, among perfons of both fexes, 1 own are uncom inon, but not more fo than heavenly fincerity, modeft compliance, withour felf-degradation, univerfal pliianthropy, without bufy intrufion, a lover of order, without being winute, or neatnefs without foppery which are the virtues that produce fuch irrefiftible charms.
" Vice, in like manner, in perfons yielding to its influence, may highly deform; efpecially when, in coofequence of bad eíucatio", and want of knowledge of the traits of moral beauty, or of will to affume them, the vicious may fiud no day, no hour,
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hour, in which to repair the depredations of vice.
" Where is the perfon who will not liften to the mouth, in which no trait, no thade of falfehood is difcoverable? Let it preach the experience of what wifdom, what fcience it may, comfort will ever be the harbinger of fuch a phyfician, and confidence haften to welcome his approach.
"One of the moft hateful objects in the creation, lays a certain writer, is a vicious and deformed old woman. We may alfo fay, that the virtuous matron, in whofe countenance goodnefs and the ardour of benevolence are confpicuous, is an object moft worthy our reverence. Age, never de* forms the countenance, when the mind dares appear unmaiked: it only wears off the frelh varnifh, under which coquetry, vanity, and vice were concealed. Wherever age is exceedingly deformed, the fame deformity would have been vifible in youth, to the attentive obferver.
"This is no difficult matter, and were men to act from conviction, inftead of flattering themfelves with the hope of fortunate accidents, happy marriages would be more frequent ; and, as Shakefpeare fays, the bonds which thould unite hearts, would not fo often ftrangle temporal happinefs."

This certainly is the language of the heart. Oh! that I could have written my fragments in company with fuch an obferver! Who could have renclered greater fervices to phyfiognomy than the man who, with the genius of a mathematician, poffeffes fo accurate a fixit of obfervation!

## C H AP. XXXII.

$$
\text { Defcription of Plate } \mathrm{V} \text {. }
$$

## Number 1.

WIlliam Hondius, a dutch engraver, after Vandyck. We here fee mild, languid, How induftry, with enterprifing, daring, confcious heroifm. This forehead is rounded, not indeed common nor ignoble. The eyebrows are curved, the eyes languid and finking, and the whole countenance oval, ductile, and maidenly.

## Number 2.

This head, if not ftupid, is at leaft common; if not rude, clumfy. I grant it is a caricature, yet, however, there is fomething fharp and fine in the eye and mouth, which a connoilfeur will difoover.

Number 3.
This is manifefly a Turk, by the arching and pofition of the forehead, the hind part of the head, the eyebrows, and particularly the nofe. The afpect is that of obfervation, with a degree of. curiofity: The open mouth denotes remarking, with fome reflection.

## Number 4.

It muift be a depraved tafte which can call this graceful, and therefore it muft be far from majeitic. 1 fhould neither wifh a wife, mother, fifter, friend, relation, or goddefs, to poffers a countenance fo cold, infipid, affected, ftoneý, unimpaffioned, or fo perfectly a tatue.

## Number 5.

The ftrong grimace of an impotent madman, avho diftorts himfelf without meaning. In the eye is neither attention, fury, littlenefs, nor greatnefs.

Number 6.
The eyes in this head are benevolently fupid. Wherever fo much white is feen as in the left eye, if in company with fuch a mouth, there is feldom much wifdom.

## G H A P. XXXIII.

## General Remarks on Women.

IT may be neceffary for me to fay, that I am but little acquainted with the female prat of the human race. Any man of the world muft know more of them than I can pretend to know. My opportunities of feeing them at the theatre, at balls, or at the card-table, where they beft may be ftudied, have been exceedingly few. In my youth, I almoft avoided women, and was never in love.

Perhaps I ought, for this very reafon, to have left this very important part of phyfiognomy to one much better informed, having myfelf fo little knowledge of the fair fex. Yet might not fuch neglect have been dangerous? Might another have treated the fubject in the manner which I could wifh ? or, would be have faid the little I have to fay, and which, though little, I efteem to be neseflary and important?

## PHYSIOGNOMY.

I cannot help fhuddering when I think how exceffively, how contrary to my intention, the fudy of phyfiognomy may be abufed, when applied to women. Phyfiognomy will perhaps fare no better than philofophy, poetry, phyfic, or whatever may be termed art or fcience. A little philofophy leads to atheifm, and much to Chriftianity. This muft it be with phyfiognomy; but i will not be difcousraged; the half precedes the whole. We learn to walk by falling, and fhall we forbear to walk left we thould fall?

I can with certainty fay, that true pure phyfiognomonical fenfation, in refpect to the female fex, beft can feafon and improve life, and is the moft effectual prefervative againft the depredation of ourfelves or others.

Beft can feafon and innprove buman life.-What better can temper manly rudenefs, or ftrengthen and fupport the weaknefs of man, what fo foon can -affuage the rapid blaze of wrath, what more charm mafculine power, what fo quickly diffipate peevilhriefs and ill temper, what fo well can wile away the infipid tedious hours of life, as the near and affectionate look of a noble, beautiful woman? What is fo ftrong as her foft delicate hand? What fo perfuafive as her tears reftrained? Who but be-holding her muft ceafe to fin? How can the fpirit of God aet more omnipotently upon the heart, than by the extending and increafing phyfiognomonical fenfation for fuch an eloquent countenance? What fo well can feafon daily infipidity? I fcarcely can conceive a gift of more paternal and divine benevolence!

This has fweetened every bitter of my life, this alone has fupported me under the moft corroding cares, when the forrows of a burfting heart want-
ed vent. My eyes fwam in tears, and moy fpirit groaned with anguifh. Then, when men bave daily aked, " where is now thy God?" when they rejected the fympathy, the affection of my foul, with rude contemptuous fcorn; when acts of honeft fimplicity were calumiated, and the facred impulfe of confcious truth was ridiculed, hiffed at, and defpifed; in thofe burning moneents, when the world afforded no confort, even then did the Almighty open mine eyes, even then did he give me an unfailing fource of joy, contained in a gentle, tender, but internally firm, femate mind; an afpeet like that of unpractifed, cloiftered virginity, wisich felt, and was able to efface each emotion, each paffion, in the moft concealed, feature of her hulband's countenance, and who, by thofe means, without any thing of what the world calls benuty, fhone forth beauteous as an angel. Can there be a more noble or important practice than that of a phyfiognomonical fenfation for beauties fo captivating, fo excellent as thefe.

This phyfognomonical fanfation is the nioft effectual profervative againft the degradation of ourfalves and others. What can more readily difcover the boundary between appetite and affection, or cunning under the mafls of fenfibility? What fooner can diftinguilh defire from love, or love from friendfhip? What can more reverently, internally, and profouraly feel the fanctity of innocence, the divinity of maiden purity, or fooner detect coquetry unbleffed, with wiles affecting every look of modefty? How often will fuch a phyfiognomift turn contemptuous from the beauties mof adored, from the wretched pride of their filence, their meafured affectation of fpeech, the infipidity, of their eyes, arrogantly overlooking mifery and poverty, their authoritative
authoritative nofe, their languid, unmeaning lips, relaxed by contempt, blue with envy, and half bitten through by artifice and malice! The obvioufnefs of thefe and many others will preferve him, who can fee from the dangerous charms of their fha "elefs bofoms! How fully convinced is the man of pure pliyfiognomonical fenfation, that he cannot be more degraded than by fuffering himfelf to be enfnared by fuch a countenance! Be this one proof among a thoufand.

But if a noble, fpotlefs maiden but appear; all innocence, and all foul; all love, and of love all worthy, which mult as fuddenly be felt as the manifeftly feels; if in her large arched forehead all the capacity of innieafurable intelligence which wiffom can communicate be vifible; ; if her comprefled but not frowning eyebrows Ipeak an unexplored mine of underftanding; or her gentle outlined or harpened nofe, refined tafte, with fympathetic goodnefs of heart, which flows through the clear teeth, over her pure and efficient lips; if fhe breathe humility and complacency; if condefcenfion and mildnefs be in each motion of her mouth, dignified wifdom in each tone of her voice; if her eyes, neither toe: open nor too clofe, but looking ftraight forward, or gently turned, fpeak the foul that feeks a fifterly embrace; if $n_{i e}$ be fuperior to all the powers of defcription; if. all the glories of her angelic form be imbibed like the mild and golden rays of an autumnal evening tan; may not then this fo highly prized phyfiognomonical fenfation be a deftructive fnare or fin, or both?
"If thine eye be fingle, thy whole body fhall be full of light, as when the bright fhining of a candle तoth give thee light.". And what is phyfiognomonical fenfation but this finglenefs of eye?

The foul is not to be feen without the body, but in the body; and the more it is thus feen, the more facred to thee will the body be. What! man, having this fenfation, which God has beftowed, wouldft thou violate the fanctuary of God? Wouldt thou degrade, defame, debilitate, and deprive it of fenfibility? Shall he, whom a good or great countenance does not infpire with reverence and love, incapable of offence, fpeak of phyfiognomonical fenfation; of that which is the revelan tion of the fpirit? Nothing maintains chaftity fo entire, nothing fo truly preferves the thoughts from brutal paffion, nothing fo reciprocally exalts fouls, as when they are mutually held in facred purity. The conten plation of power awakens reverence, and the picture of love infpires love; not felfinh gratification, but that pure paflion with which fpin xits of heaven embrace.

## C H A P. XXXIV.

General Remarks on Mate and Female.- $A$ word on the Pby/rognomonical Relation of the Sexes.

$G$ENERALLY fpeaking, how much more pure, tender, delicate, irritable, affectionate, flexible, and patient, is woman than man! The primary matter of which they are conflituted appears to be more flexible, irritable, and elaftic, than that of man. They are formed to maternal mildnefs and affection. All their organs are tender, yielding, eafily wounded, fenfible, and receptible.

Among

Among a thoufand females there is fcarcely one without the generic feminine figns, the flexible, the circular, and the irritable. They are the counterpart of man, taken out of man, to be fubject to man; to comfort him like angels, and to lighten his cares. "She fhall be faved in child-bearing, if they continue in faith, and charity, and holinefs, with fobriety." ( I Tim. ii. 155.)

This tendernefs and fenfibility, this. light texture of their fibres and organs, this volatility of feeling, render them fo eafy to conduct and to tempt; fo ready of fubmiffion to the enterprife and power of the man; but more powerful through the aid of their charms than man, with all his ftrength. The man was not firft tempted, but the waman, afterwards the man by the woman. And not only eafily to be tempted, fhe is capable of being formed to the pureft, nobleft, moft feraphic virtue; to every thing which can deferve praife or affection.

Truly fenfible of purity, beauty, and fymmetry, fhe does not always take time to refect on internal life, internal death, internal corruption. "The woman faw that the tree was good for food, and that it was pleafant to the eyes, and a tree to be defired to make one wife, and fhe took of the fruit thereof."

The female-thinks not profoundly; profound thought is the power of the man. Women feel more: fenfibility is the power of women. They often rule more effectually, more fovereignly thanman. They rule with tender looks, tears, and fighs, but not with paffion and threats; for, if they fo rule, they are no longer women, but abortions.

They are capable of the fweeteft fenfibility, themoff profound emotion, the utmoft humility, and
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the excefs of enthufiafm. In their countenance are the figns of fanctity and inviolability, which every feeling than honours, and the effects of which are often miraculous. Therefore, by the irritability of the nerves, their incapacity for deep inquiry and firm decifion, they may eafily, from their extreme fenfibility, become the moft irreclaimable, the moft rapiurous enthuffafts.

The love of woman, ftrong and rooted as it is, is very changeable; their hatred almof incurable, and only to be effaced by continued and artful flattery. Men are moft profound, women are more fublime. Men moft embrace the whole; women remark individually, and take more delight in felecting the minutia which form the whole. Man hear, the burfting thunders, views the deftructive bolt with ferene afpect, and ftands erect amidft the fearful majefty of the ftreaming clouds. Woman trembles at the lightning and the voice of diftant thunder, and fhrinks into herfelf, or finks into the arms of man.

A ray of light is fingly received by man, woman delights to view it through a prifm, in all its dazzling colours. She contemplates the rainbow as the promife of peace; he extends his inquiring eye over the whole horizon.

Woman laughs, man fmiles; woman weeps, man remains filent. Woman is in anguifh when man weeps, and in defpair when man is in anguilh ; yet has fle often more faith than man. Without religion, man is a difeafed creature, who would perfuade himfelf he is well, and needs not a phyfician: but woman, without religion, is raging: and monftrons. A woman with a beard is not fo difgufting as a woman who acts the free-thinker; ber fex is formed to piety and religion. To them

Chrift firft appeared ; but he was obliged to pre. vent them from too ardently and too haftily embracing bim-Touch me not. They are prompt to receive and feize novelty, and become its enthufiafts.

In the prefence and proximity of him they love, the whole world is forgotten. They firk into the moft incurable melancholy, as they rife to the moft enraptured heights.

There is more imagination in male fenfation, in the female more heart. When commusicative, they are more communicative than man; when fecret, more fecret. In general they are more patient, long-fuffering, credulous, benevolent, and modeft.

Woman is not a foundation on which to build. She is the gold, filver, precious fiones, wood, hay, ftubble; (I Gor. iii. 12.) the materials for building on the male foundation. She is the leaven, or ${ }_{\text {, }}$ more expreffively, the oil to the vinegar of man; the fecond part to the book of man. Man fingly, is but half a man, at leaft Wut half human; a king without a kingdom. Wonian, who feels properly what the is, whether fill or in motion, refts upoa the man; nor is man what he may and ought to be, but in conjunction with woman. Therefore "it is not good that man fhould be alone, but that he fhould leave father and mother, and cleave to his wife, and they two fhall be one flelh."
A. Word on the Phyfignomonical Relation of the Sexes.

Man is the moft firm, woman the moft flexible, Man is the fraighteft, woman the moft bending. Man ftands ftedfaft, woman gently retreats.

Man furveys and obferves, woman glances and feels.

Man is ferious, woman is gay.
Man is the talleft and broadeff, woman the fmalleft and weakeft.

Man is rough and hard, woman is fmooth and. foft.

Man is brown, woman is fair.
Man is wrinkly, woman is not.
The hair of man is ftrong and fhort, of womaz: more long and pliảnt.

The eyebrows of man are comprefled, of wo. man lefs frowning.

Man has moft convex lines, woman moft concave.

Man has moft ftraight lines, woman moft curved.
The countenance of man, taken in profile, is not: so often perpendicular as that of the woman.

Man is moft angular, woman moft round. .

## G H.A.P. XXXV:

## On the : Phyjfiognomy of Youthi.:

> Extracts from Zimmermann's Life of Haller:-

${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{T} T$HE firft years of the youth include the hifotory of the man. They develope the qualities of the foul, the materials of future conduct, and the true features of temperament. In riper years diffimulation prevails, or, at leaft; that modification of our thoughts, which is the confequence of experience and knowledge.
"The characteriftics of the paffious, which are undeniably difcovered to us by the peculiar art denominated phyfiognomy, are effaced in the countenance by age; while, on the contrary, their true figns are vifible in youth. The original materials of man are unchangeable; he is drawn in colours that have no deceit. The boy is the work of na. ture, the man of art."

My worthy Zimmermann, how much of the true, how much of the falle, at leaft of the indefinite, is there in this paffage? According to my conception, I fee the clay, the mafs, in the youthful countenance; but not the form of the future man. There are paffions and powers of youth, and paffions and powers of age. Thefe often are contradictory in the fame man, yet are they contained one within the other. Time produces the expreffion of latent traits. A man is but a boy feen through a magnifying glafs ; 1 always, therefore, perceive more in the countenance of a man than of a boy. Difimulation may indeed conceal the moral materials, but not alter their form. The growth of powers and paffions imparts, to the firft undefined fketch of what is called a boy's countenance, the firm traits, fhading, and colouring, of manhood.

There are youthful countenances, which declare whether they ever thall, or hall not, ripen into man. This they declare, but they only declare it to the great phyfiognomift. It will acknowledge, when, which feldom happens, the form of the head is beautiful, confpicuous, proportionate, greatly featured, well defined, ard: not too feebly com loured, it will be difficult that the refult flould be: common or vulgar. I likewife know that where the.form is diftorted, efpecially when it is tranfverfe,
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 PHYSIOGNOMY.verfe, extended, undefined, or too harfhly defined, much can rarely be expected. But how much do the forms of youthful countenances change, even in the fyftem of the bones !

A great deal has been faid of the opennefs, undegeneracy, fimplicity, and ingenuoufnefs of a ehildifh and youthful countenance. It may be fo; but, for my own payt, I mult own, I am not fo fortunate as to be able to read a youthful countenance with the fame degree of quicknefs and precifion, however fmall that degree, as one that is manly. The more I converfe with and confider children, the more difficult do I find it to pronounce, with certainty, concerning their character. Not that I do not meet countenances, among children and boys, moft frikingly and pofitively fignificant; yet feldom is the great outline of the youth fo definite as for us to be able to read in it the man. The moft remarkably advantageous young countenances may eafily, through accident, terror, hurt, or feverity in parents or tutors, be internally injured, without any apparent injury to the whole. The beautiful, the eloquent form, the firm forehead, the deep, fharp eye, the cheerful, open, free, quick-moving mouth, remain; there will only be a drop of troubled water in what elfe appears fo clear ; only an uncommon, fearcely remarkable, perhaps convulfive motion of the mouth. This is hope overthrown, and beauty rendered indiftinct.

As fimplicity is the foil for variety, fo is innorence for the products of vice. Simplicity, not of a youth, but of a child; in thee the Omnifcient only views the progrefs of fleeping paffion; the Jentle wrinkles of youth, the deep of manhood, thd the manifold and relaxed of age. Oh! how different

## PHYSIOGNOMY.

different was my infantine countenance to the prefent, in form and fpeech! But, as tranfgreffion follows innocence, fo doth virtue tranfgreffion.

Doth the veffel fay to the potter, "wherefore haft thou made methus? -I am little, but I am I." He who created me did not create me to be a child, but a man. Wherefore fhould I ruminate on the pleafures of childhood, unburthened with cares. I am what I am. I will forget the paft, nor weep that I am no longer a child, when I contemplate children in all their lovelinels. To join the powers of man with the fimplicity of the child is the height of all my hopes. God grant they may be accomplifhed.

## C H A P. XXXVI.

Pbyfognomonical Extracts from an Efay inferted in: the Deutfchen Mufeum, a German Yournal or Review.

FROM this effay I fhall extract only felect thoughts, and none but fuch as I fuppofe: importantly true, falfe, or ill defined.

$$
x_{0}
$$

" Men with arched and pointed nofes are faid to be witty, and that the blunt nofes are not fo."

A more accurate definition is neceflary, which ${ }_{*}$ without drawing, is almoft impoffible. Is it meant by arched nofes arched in length or in breadth ? How arched? This is almoft as indeterminate as. when we fpeak of arched foreheads. All foreheads
are arched. Innumerable nofes are arched, the moft witty, and the moft fupid. Where is the higheft point of arching? Where does it begin? What is its extent? What is its ftrength ?

It muft be allowed, that people with tender; thin, fharply defined, angular nofes, pointed below, and fomething inclined towards the lip, are witty, when no other features contradict thefe tokens; but that people with blunt nofes are not fo, is not entirely true. It can only be faid of certain blunt nofes, for there are others of this kind extremely witty, though their wit is certainly of a different kind to that of the pointed nofe.
2.
" It is afked (fuppofing for a moment, that the arched and the blunt nofe denote the prefence or abfence of wit), Is the arched nofe the mere fign that a man is witty, which fuppofes his wit to ori-ginate in fome occult caufe, or is the nofe itfelf the caufe of wit?"

I anfwer, fign, caufe, and effect, combined. Sign; for it betokens the wit, and is an involuntary expreffion of wit. Caufe; at leaft caufe that the wit is not greater, lefs, or of a different quali= ty, boundary caufe. Effect ; produced by the quantity, meafure, or activity of the mind, which fuffers not the nofe to alter its form, to be greater or lefs. We are not only to confider the form as form, but the matter of which it is moulded, the conformability of which is determined by the nature and ingredients of this matter, which is probably the origin of the form.
True indeed it is, that there are blunt nofes, which are incapable of receiving a certain quantity of wit; therefore it may be faid, with more
fubtlety:

Gabtlety thau philofophy, they form an infuperable union.

## 3.

" The correfpondence of external figures with internal qualities is not the confequence of external circumftances, but rather of phyfical combination. They are related like caufe and effect, or, in other words, phyfiognomy is not the mere image of internal man, but the efficient caufe. The form and arrangement of the mufcles determine the mode of thought, and fenfibility of the man."

I add, thefe are alfo determined by the mind of man.
4.
" A broad confpicuous forehead is faid to denotepenetration. This is natural. The mufcle of the forehead is neceffary to deep thought. If it be narrow and contracted, it cannot render the fame: fervice as if fpread out like a fail."

I fhall here, without contradicting the general propofition of the author, more definitely add, it is ${ }_{2}$. if you pleafe, generally true, that the more brain. the more mind and capacity. The moft ftupid animals are thofe with leaft brain, and thofe with moft the wifeft. Man, generally wifer, has morebrain than other animals; and it appears juft to conclude from analogy, that wife men have more brain than the foolifh. But accurate obfervation teaches, that this propofition, to be true, requires much definition and limitation.

Where the matter and form of the brain are fimilar, there the greater fpace for the refidence of the brain is, certainly the fign, caufe, and effect of more and deeper impreffion; therefore, cateris paribus, a larger quantity of brain, and confeguently a facious forehead is more intelligent than the .
the reverfe. But as we frequently live more con. veniently in a fmall well-contrived chamber that in more magnificent apartments, fo do we find, that in many fmall, frort foreheads, with lefs, or apparently lefs, brain than others, the wife mind refides at its eafe.

I have known many fhort, oblique, ftraightlined (when compared with others apparently arched, or really well arched) foreheads, which were much wifer, more intelligent, and penetrating than the moft broad and confpicuous; many of which latter I have feen in extremely weak men. It feems to me, indeed, a much more general propofition, that fhort compreffed foreheads are wife and underftanding ; though this, likewife, without being more accurately defined, is far from being generally true.

But it is true, that large fpacious foreheads, which, if I do not miftake, Galen, and after him Huart, have fuppofed the moft propitious to deep thinking, which form a half fphere, are ufually the moft ftupid. The more any forehead (I do not fpeak of the whole fcull) approaches a femifpherical form, the more is it weak, effeminate, and incapable of reflection, and this I fpenk from repeated experience.

The more ftraight lines a forehead hàs, the lefs capacious it muft be; for the more it is arched, the more it muft be roomy, and the more fraight lines it has, the more it muft be contracted. This greater quantity of ftraight lines, when the forehead is not flat like a board, for fuch flatnefs takes away all underftanding, denotes an increafe of judgement, but a diminution of fenfibility. There undoubtedly are, however, broad capacious foreheads; without fraight lines, particularly adapted to profound
found thinking; but thefe are confpicuous by their oblique outlines.

What the author has faid concerning enthufiafts requires much greater precifion, before it ought to be adopted as true.
"Enthufiafts are faid commonly to have flat perpendicular foreheads."
Oval, cylindrical, or pointed at top, fhould have been faid of thofe enthufiafts who are calm, coldblooded, and always continue the fame. Other enthufiafts, that is to fay, fuch as are fubject to a variety of fenfation, illufion, and fenfual experience, feldom have cylindrical or fugar-loaf heads. The latter, when enthufiafts, heat their imagination concerning words and types, the fignification of which they do not underftand, and are philofophical, unpoetical enthufiafts. Enthufiafts of imagination, or of fenfibility, feldom have flat forms of the countenance.

## 6.

" Obftinate, like enthufiaftic perfons, have perpendicular foreheads."
The perpendicular always denotes coldnefs, in activity, narrownefs; hence firmnefs, fortitude, pertinacity, obftinacy; and enthufiafm, may be there. Abfolute perpendicularity, and abfolute folly, are the fame.

$$
7 \cdot
$$

" Such difpofition of mind is accompanied by a certain appearance, or motion of the mufcles; canfequently the appearance of man, which is natural $\mathrm{to}_{2}$ and ever prefent with him, will be accompanied
panied by, and denote his natura' difpofition of mind. Countenances are fo formed originally, that to one this, and to another that appeararce is the eafieft. It is alfo impoffible for folly to affume the appearance of wifdom, otherwife it would no longer be folly. The worthy man cannot affume the appearance of difhonefty, or he would be difhoneft."

This is all excellent, the laft excepted. No man is fo good as not, under certain circumftances, to be liable to become difhoneft. He is fo organized, that he may be fo overtaken by the pleafure of ftealing, when accompanied by the temptation. The poffibility of the appearance muft be there as well as the poffibility of the act. He muft alfo be able to affume the appearance of difhonefly, when he obferves it in a thief, without neceffarily becoming a thief. The poffibility of affuming the appearance of goodnefs is, in my opinion, very different. The appearance of vice is always more eafily affumed by the virtuous, than the appearance of virtue by the vicious; as it is evidently much eafier to become bad when we are good, than good when we are bad. Underftanding, feufibility, talents, genins, virtue, or religion, may with much greater facility be loft than acquired. The beft may defcend as low as they pleafe, but the worft cannot afcend to the height they might wifh. The wife man may phyfically, without a miracle, become a fool, and the moft virtuous vicious ; but the idiot-born cannot, without a miracle, become a philofopher, nor the diftorted villain noble and pure of heart. The moft beautiful complexion may become jaundiced, may be loft; but the negro camnot be wafhed white. I fhall not become a negro, becaufe, to imitate him, I blacken

I blacken my face, nor a thief, becaufe I affume the appearance of one.
8.
" It is the bufinefs of a phyfiognomif to inquire, what is the appearance the countenance can moft eafily affume, and he will thence learn what is the difpofition of mind; not that phyfiognomy is therefore an eafy fcience. On the contrary, this rather fhews how much ability, imagination, and genius, are neceffary to the phyfiognomif. Attention muft not only be paid to what is vifible, but what would be vifible under various other cir. cumftances.
This is excellent! and I add, that as a phyfician can prefage what alteration of colour, appearance, or form, fhall be the confequence of a known difeafe, of the exiftence of which he is certain; fo can the accurate phyfiognomift what appearances or expreflions are eafy or difficult to each kind of mufcle and form of forehead, what action is or is not permitted, and what wrinkles may or may not take place under any given circumftances.

## 9.

"When a learner draws a countenance, we thall commonly find it is foolifh, and never malicious, fatirical, and the like. May not the effence of a foolifh countenance hence be abftracted? Certainly; for what is the caufe of this appearance? The learner is incapable of preferving proportion, and the ftrokes are unconnected. What is the fupid countenance? It is one, the parts of which are defectively connected, and the mufcles improperly formed and arranged. Thought and fenfation, therefore, of which thefe are the infeparable
parable infruments, muft be alike feeble and dormant.

## IO.

"There is another fubftance in the body, exclunve of the mufcles; that is to fay, the fcull, or bones in general, to which the phyfiognomift attends. The pofition of the mufcles depends on thefe How might the mufcle of the forehead have the pofition proper for thought, if the forehead bones, over which it is extended, had not the neceffary arch and fuperficies? Thie figure of the fcull, therefore, defines the figure and pofition of the mufcles, which define thought and fenfation.

## II.

"The hair affords us the fame obfervation, as from the parts and pofition of the hair conclufions may be drawn. Why hes the negro woolly bair? The thicknefs of the k in prevents the efcape of certain of the particles of perfpiration, and thefe render the fkin opaque and black. Hence the hair fhoots with difficulty, and farcely has it penetrated before it curls, and its growth ceafes. The hair fpreads according to the form of the fcull and the pofition of the mufoles, and gives occafion to the phyfiognomif to draw conclufions from the hair to the pofition of the mufcles, and to deduce other confequences."

It is clearly my opinion, that our author is in the right road. He is the firft who, to my knowfedge, has perceived and felt the totality, the combination, the uniformity, of the various parts of the human body. What he has affirmed, efpecially concerning the hair; that we may from that make deductions concerning the nature of the body, and ftill farther of the mind, the leaft accurate obferver may convince himfelf is truth, by daily
daily experieace. White, tender, clear; weak hair, always denotes weak, delicate, irvitable; or rather a timid and eafily oppreffed orgatization. The black and curly will never be found on the delicate, tender, medullary head.
As is the hair, fo the mufcles; as the mufcles, fo the nerves, as the nerves, fo the bones; their powers are mutual, and the powers of the mind to act, fuffer, receive, and give, proportionate. Leaft irritability always accompanies fhort, hard, curly, black hair, and the mof the flaxen and the tender ; that is to fay, irritability without elafticity. The one is oppreflive without elafticity, and the other oppreffed without refiftance.
" Much hair, much fat, therefore no part of the human bodyt is more confpicuoufly covered with hair than the head and armpits. From the elafticity of the hair, deductions may with certainty be made to the elafticity of the character. The hair naturally betokens moifture, and may properly determine the quantity of moifture. The inhabitants, of cold countries have hair more white, and, on the contrary, thofe of hot countries, black. Lionel Wafer obferves, that the inhabitants of the ifthmus of Darien have milk-white hair. Few, if any, have green hair, except thofe who work in copper mines. We feldom find white hair betokeníng difhonefty, but often dark browin or black, with light-colouved eyebiows. Women have longer hair than men. Men with long hair are always rather effeminate than manly. Dark hair is harfher than light, as is the hair of a man than that of a boy.

## 12.

"As all depends on the quality of the mufcles, it is evident, that in thefe mufcles which are employed
ployed for certain modes of thought and fenfation ; ought to be fought the expreffion of fimilar thoughts and fenfations."

The fearch fhould not be neglected, though perhaps it will be difficult to find them; and they certainly will there be defined with greater difficulty than in the forehead.

## 13.

" The moft important inftrument to the ab. fract thinker is the mufcle of the forehead; for which reafon we always feek for abftract thought in the forebead."

Rather near and between the eyebrows. It is of confequence to remark the particular moment when the thinker is liftening, or when he is preparing fome acute anfwer. Seize the moment, and another of the important tokens of phyfiognomy is obtained.
14.
" Among people who do not abftract, and whofe powers of mind are all in action, men of wit, exquifite tafte, and genius, all the mufcles muft be advantageoufly formed and arranged. Expreffion, therefore, in fuch, muft be fought in the whole countenance."

Yet may it be found in the forehead alone, which is lefs harp, ftraight-lined, perpendicular, and forked. The fkin is lefs rigid, more eafily moved, more flexible.

## 15.

"How laborious has been the trouble to convince people, that phyfiognomy is only generally ufeful!"

## PHYSIOGNOMY.

It is at this very moment difputed by men of the ftrongeft minds. How long fhall it continue fo to be ? Yet I fhould fuppofe, that he who curfes the fun, while expofed to its fcorching rays, would, when in the hade, acknowledge its univerfal utility.
"How afflicting is it to hear, from perfons of the greateft learning, and who might be expected to enlarge the boundaries of human underftanding, the moft fuperficial judgements! How much is that great æra to be wifhed, when the knowledge of man fhall become a part of natural hiftory; when plychology, phyfiology, and phyfiognomy, fhall go hand in hand, and lead us towards the confines of more general, more fublime illumination!"

## C H A P. XXXVII.

## Extracts from Maximus Tyrius.

"

A$S$ the foul of man is the neareft approach to the Deity, it was not proper that God thould clothe that which moft refembled himfelf in difhonourable garments; but with a body befitting a mortal mind, and endowed with a proper capability of motion. This is the only body on earth that ftands erect. It is magnificent, fuperb, and formed according to the beft proportion of its moft delicate parts. Its ftature is not terrific, nor is its ftrength formidable. The coldnefs of its juices occafions it not to creep, nor their heat to fly. Man eats not raw flefh from the favagenefs of
his nature, nor does he graze like the ox ; but be is framed and adapted for the executions of his functions. To the wicked he is formidable, mild and friendly to the good. By nature he walks the earth, fiwims by art, and flies by imagination. He tills the earth, and enjoys its fruits. His complesion is beautiful, his limbs firm, his countenance is comely, and beard ornamental. By imitating his' body, the Greeks have thought proper to honour their deities."

Why am I not able, to fpeak with fufficient force! Oh! that I could find faith enough with iny readers, to convince them how frequently my foul féems exalted above itfelf, while I contemplate the unfpeakably miraculous nature of the luman body! Oh! that all the languages of the earth would lend me words, that I might turn the thoughts of men not only to the contemplation of others, but, by the aid of thefe, to the contemplation of themfelves! No anti-phyfiognomift can more defpife ny work than I myfelf fhall, if I am unable to accomplifh this purpofe. How might I confcientioufly write fuch a work, were not fuch my view?. If this be not impulfe, no writer has impulfe, I cannot behold the fmalleft trait, nor the inflexion of any outline, without reading wifdom and benevolence, or without waking as it from a fweet dream into rapturous and actual exiftence, and congratulating my felf that I alfo am a man.

In each the finalleft outline of the human body, and how much more in all together, in each member feparately, and how much more in the whole body, however old and ruinous the building may. appear, how much is there contained of the fludy

of God, the genius of God, the poetry of God? My trembling and agitated breaft frequently pants after leifure to look into the revelations of God.

## 2.

" Imagine to thyfelf the moft tranfucent water' Howing over a furface, on which grow beauteous flowers, whofe bloom, though beneath, is feen through the pellucid waves; even fo it is with the fair flower of the foul, planted in a beauteous body, through which its beauteous bloom is feen. The good formation of a youthful body is no other than the bloom of ripening virtue, and, as I may fay, the prefage of far higher perfection; for, as before the rifing of the fun, the mountain tops are gilded by his rays, enlivening the pleafing profpects, and promifing the full approach of day, fo alfo the future maturity of an illuffrious foul fhines through the body, and is to the philofopher the pleafing fign of approaching happinefs."

## CHAP. XXXVIII.

Extracts fron a Maiulfoript by Th——.

* $\Gamma^{\mathrm{HE}}$ relation between the male and female countenance is fimil to that betweer youth and manhood. Our experience, that the deep, or fcarcely vifible outline is in proportion to the depth or diallownefs of thought, is one of the many proofs that nature has imprefled fuch forms upon her creatures as hall teftify their qualities.

K That

That thefe forms or figns are legible to the highly perceptive foul is vifible in children, who cannot endure the deceitful, the tell tale, or the revengeful; but run with open arms to the benevolent ftranger.
"We may properly divide our remarks on this fubject into complexion, lines, and pantomime. That white-generally fpeaking, is cheerful, and black gloomy and terrific, is the confequence of our love of light, which acts fo degenerately, as it were, upon fome animals, that they will throw themfelves into the fire; and of our abhorrence of darknefs. The reafon of this our love of light is, that it makes us acquainted with things, provides for the foul hungry after knowledge, and enables us to find what is neceffary, and avoid what is dangerous. I only mention this to intimate, that in this our love of light originates in our inclination for every thing that is perfpicuous. Certain colours are, to certain animals, particularly agreeable or difagreeable."

What is the reafon of this? Becaufe they are the expreffion of Comething which has a relation to their character, that harnonizes with it, or is difcordant. Colours are the effect of certain qualities of object and fubject; they are therefore characteriftic in each, and become more fo by the manner in which they are mutually received and repelled. This would be another immenfe field of inquiry, another ray of the fun of truth. All is phyfiognomy!
" Our dillike is no lefs for every thing which is clothed ia dark colours; and nature has warned animals, not only againft feeding on earth, but also on dark-green plants ; for the one is as detrimental
mental as the other. Thus the man of a dark complexion terrifies an infant that is incapable of judging of his character.
"So ftrikingly fignificant are the members of the body, that the afpect of the whole attacks our feelings, and induces judgements as fudden as they are juff. Thus, to mention two extremes, all will acknowledge, at the firft afpect, the elephant to be the wifeft, and the fifh the moft ftupid of creatures.
" The upper part of the countenance, to the root of the nofe, is the feat of internal labour, thought, and relolution; the under, of thefe in action. Animals, with very retreating foreizeads, have little brain, and the reverfe.
"Projecting nofe and mouth betoken perfuation, felf-confidence, ralhnefs, fhameleffnefs, want of thought, difhonelty, and all fuch feelings as are affembled in hafty expreffion."
This is a decifion after the manner of the old phyfiognomifts, condemning and indefinite.
"The nofe is the feat of derifion, its wrinkles contemn. The upper.lip, when projecting, fpeaks arrogance, threats, and want of thaine; the parting under lip, oftentation and folly. Thefe figus are confined by the manner and attitude of the head, when drawn back, toffed, or turned round. The firf expreffes contempt, during which the nofe is active, the latter is a proof of extreme arrogance, during which the projection of the under lip is the ftrongeft.
"The in-drawn lower part of the countenance, on the contrary, denotes difcretion, modefty, ferioufnefs, diffidence, and its failings are thofe of malice and obftinacy."

$$
\mathrm{K}_{2}
$$

Not

Not fo pofitive. The projecting chin is muchoftener the fign of craft than the retreating. The latter is feldom fcheming and enterprifing.
" The ftraight formation of the nofe betokens gravity; inbent and crooked, noble thought. The flat, pouting under lip, when it does not clofe well with the under, fignifies timidity; the lips refembling each other, circumfpection of fpeech.
"We may divide the face into two principal kinds. The firft is that in which the cheeks prem fent a flat furface, the nofe projecting like a hill, and the mouth has the appearance of a fabre wound, prolonged on an even furface, while the line of the jaw-bone has but little inflection. Such a form' makes the countenance more broad than long, and exceedingly rude, inexpreilive, flupid, and in every fenfe confined. The principal characteriftics are obftinacy and inflexibility.
"The fecond kind is, when the nofe has a fharp ridge, and the parts on both fides make acute angles with each other. The cheek bones are not feen, confequently the mufcular parts between them and the nofe are full and prominent. The lips retreat on each fide of the mouth, affume or open into an oval, and the jaw-bones come to a point at the chin."

This face denotes a mind more fubtle, active, and intelligent.
"The better to explain myfelf, I mutt here employ the fimile of two fhips. The firlt a merchant veffel, built for deep loading, has a broad bottom, and her ribs long and flat. This refembles the broad, flat countenance. The frigate, built for fwift-failing, has a fharp keel or bottom,' her ribs forming acute angles. Such is the fecond countenance.
countenance. Of thefe two extremes, the firt prefeats to me the image of the meaneit, moit contracted, felf-love; the fecond of the moft zealous, the nobleft philantbropy.
" I am fenfible, that nature does not delight in extremes. Still the underitanding muft take its departure from thefe, as from a light-houfe, efpecially when failing in unknown feas. The defects and exceffes which are in all works of nature will then be difcovered, and one or both the boundaries afcertained.
" If we proceed to a farther examination and application of the above hypothefis, it will perhaps extend through all nature. A broad countenance is accompanied by a fhort neck, broad floulders and back, and their known character is felfifhels, and obtufe fenfation. The long, fmall countemance has a long neck, fmall, or low ihoulders, and fmall back. From fuch I thould expect more juftice, difintereflednefs, and a general fuperiority of focial feelings.
" The features and character of men are effentially altered by education, fituation, intercourfe, and incidents; therefore we are juftified in maintaining, that phyfiognomy cannot look back to the origin of the features, nor prefage the changes of futurity; but from the countenance only, abftracted from all external accidents by which it may be affected, it may read what any given man may be, with the follorving addition at moft: fuch thall be the empire of reafon, or fuch the power of fenfuality. This man is too ftubborn to be inftructed; that fo flexible he may be led to good or inl.
"From this formation we may in part explain why fo many men appear to be born for certain fituations, although they may have rather beenK 3.
placed.
placed in them by accident than by choice. Why the prince, the nobleman, the overfeer of the poor, have a lordly, a ftern, or a pedantic manner; why the fubject, the fervant, the flave, are pufillanimous and fpiritlefs; or the courtezan affected, conffrained, or iufipid. The conftant influence of circumflances on the mind far exceeds the influence of nature." Far the contrary.
" Although it is certain, that innate fervility is very diftinct from the fervility of one, whom mif. fortur:e has rendered a fervant; like as he whom chance has made a ruler over his brother is very different from one who is by nature fuperior to vulgar fouls."

There is no fuch thing as innate fervility. It is true, that, under certain circumftances, fome are much more difpofed than others to become fervile.
"The unfeeling mind of the llave has vacuity more complete, or, if a mafter, more felf-complacency atid arrogance, in the open mouth, the projecting lip, and the turned-up nofe. The noble minit rules by the comprehenfive afpect, while, in the clofed lips, moderation is expreffed. He will ferve with fullennefs, with downcaft eye, and his fhut mouth will difdain to complain.
" Thefe caufes will undoubtedly make durable impreffions, fo will the adventitious occafion tranfitory ones, while their power remains. The latter are more apparent than the figns of the countenance at reff, but may be well defined by the principal characteriffics of the agitated features; and, by eomparifon with countenances fubject to fimilar agitations, the nature of the mind may be fully difplayed. Anger in the unreafonable ridiculouily Atruggles; in the felf-conceited it is fearful rage; in the noble minded, it yields, and brings opponents
to Thame; in the benevolent it has a mixture of compaffion for the offender, moving him to repentance.
"The affiction of the ignorant is outrageous; and of the vain, ridiculous; of the compaffionate, abundance in tears, and communicative ; of the refolute ferious, internal, the mufcles of the cheeks fcarcely drawn upwards, the forehead little wrinkled.
"Violent and eager is the love of the ignorant; of the vain difgufting, which is feen in the fparkling eyes, and the forced finile of the forked cheeks, and the indrawn mouth; of the tender languifhing, with the mouth contracted to increat; of the man of fenfe, ferious, ftedfaftly furveying the object, the forehead open, and the mouth prepared to plead.
s On the whole, the fenfations of a man of fortitude are reftrained, while thofe of the ignorant degenerate into grimace. The latter, therefore, are not the proper ftudy of the artift, though they are of the phyfiognomift, and the moral teacher, that youth may be warned againft too ftrong an expreffion of the emotions of the mind, and of their ridiculous effects.
t "In this mannet do the communicative and moving fenfations of the benevolent infpire reverence; but thofe of the vicious, fear, hatred, or contempt.
"The repetitions of paffions engrave their figns fo deeply, that they refemble the original ftamp of nature. Hence certainty may be deduced, that the mind is addicted to fuch paffions. Thus are poetry and the dramatic art highly betueficial, and thus may be feen the advantage of conducting youth to fcenes of mifery and of death.
" Such
" Such a fimilarity is formed by frequent inter. courfe between men, that they not only affume a mental likenefs, but frequently contract fome refemblance of voice and features. Of this I know feveral examples.
" Each man has his favourite gefture, which might decypher his whole character, might he be obferved with fufficient accuracy to be drawn in that precife pofture. The collection of fuch portraits would be excellent for the ftudies of the phyfiognomift, and would increafe the utility of the fragments of Lavater tenfold.
" A feries of drawings of the motions peculiar to individuals would be of equal utility. The number of them in lively men is great, and they are: tranfitory. In the more fedate, they are lefs numerous and more grave.
"As a collection of idealized individuals would promote an extenfive knowledge of various kinds of men, fo would a collection of the motions of a fingle countenance promote a hiftory of the human heart, and demonftrate what an arrogant, yet pufillanimous thing the uninformed heart is, and the perfection it is capable of, from the efforts of reafon and experience.
" It would be an excellent fchool for youth to fee Chrift teaching in the Temple, afking, Whom feek you? agonizing in the garden, expiring on the crofs. Ever the fame Godman! Ever difplaying, in thefe various fituations, the fame miraculous mind, the fame fledfaft reafon, the famegentle benevolence. Cæfar jefting with the pirates when their prifoner, weeping over the head of Pompey, finking beneath his affaffins, and cafting an expiring look of affiction and reproach, while he gxclaims, Et tu Brute.? Bellhazar feafting with
bis.
his nobles, turning pale at the hand-writing on the wall. The tyrant enraged, butchering his flaves, and furrounded by condemned wretches intreating mercy from the uplifted fword.
"Senfation having a relative influence on the voice, muft not there be one principal tone or key, by which all the others are governed, and will not this be the key, in which he fpeaiss when unim. paffioned, like as the countenance at reft contains the propenfities to all fuch traits as it is capable of receiving? Thefe keys of voice a good mufician, with a fine ear, fhould collect, clafs, and learn to define, fo that he might place the key of the voice befide any given countenance, making proper allowances for changes, occafioned by the form of the lungs, exclufive of difeafe. Tall people, with a flatnefs of breaft, have weak voices.
" This idea, which is more difficult to execute than conceive, was infpired by the various tones in which I have heard $y$ es and no pronounced. The various emotions under which thefe words are uttered, whether of affurance, decifion, joy, grief, ridicule or laughter, will give birth to tones as various. Yet each man has his peculiar manner, refpondent to his character, of faying yes, no, or any other word. It will be open, helitating, grave, trifling, fympathizing, cold, peevih, mild, fearlefs, or timid. What a guide for the man oe the world, and how do fuch tones difplay or betray the mind!
"Since we are taught by experience, that, at eertain times, the man of underftanding appears foolifh, the courageous cowardly, the benevolent perverfe, and the cheerful difcontented, we might , by the affiftance of thefe accidental traits, draw an. itea of each emotion; and this would be a mont
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valuable addition, and an important ftep in the progrefs of phyfiognomy.

## © H A P. XXXIX.

Extracts from Nicolai and Winkelmann.

> Extracts from Nicolai.

## I.

cs. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{HE}$ diftorted or disfigured form may originate as well from external as from internal caufes; but the confiftency of the whole is the confequence of conformity between internal and external caufes; for which reafon moral goodnefs is much more vifible in the countenance than moral evil."

This is true, thofe moments excepted when moa sal evil is in act.
2.
"The end of phyfiognomy ought to be, not eonjectures on individual, but the difcovery of gemeral character."

The meaning of which is, the difcovery of general figns of powers and fenfations, which certainly are ufelefs, unlefs they can be individually applied, fince our intercourfe is with individuals.

## 3.

" It would be of great utility to phyfiognomy: were numerous portraits of the fame men annually drawn, and the original, by that means, well: \$nqwa?".

It is poffible, and perhaps only poffible; to procure accurate flades, or plafter cafts. Minute: changes are feldom accurately enough attended to-by-the painter, for the purpofe of phyfiognomy.

## 4.

" The moft important purfuit of the phyfiog. nomift in his refearches will ever be, in what manner is a man confidered capable of the impreffions of fenfe. Through what kind of perfpective does he view the world? What can he give? What receive?
5.
" That very vivacity of imagination, that quicknefs of conception, without which no man can be: a phyfiognomift, is probably almoft infeparable from other qualities which render the higheft caution neceffary, if the refult of his obfervations is to be applied to living perfons."

This I readily grant; but the danger will be: much lefs if he endeavours to employ his quick. fenfations in determinate figns; if he be able to pourtray the general tokens of certain powers, fenfations, and paffions, and if his rapid imagination. be only: bufied to difcover and draw refemblances.-

> Extracts from Winkelmann.-

## r-

"The characteriftic of truth is internal fenfationg, and the defigner who would prefent fuch natural fenfation to his academy, would not obtain a fhade of the true; without a particular addition of fomething, which an ordinary and unimpafioned mind: cannot read in any model, being ignorant of the: action peculiar to each fenfation and paffion.

## 220

## PHYSIOGNOMY.

The phyfiognomift is formed by internal fenfation, which, if the defigner be not, he will give but the fhadow, and only an indefinite and confufed thadow, of the true character of nature.

## 2.

"The forehead and nofe of the Greek gods and goddeffes form almoft a ftraight line. The heads of famous women, on Greek coins, have fimilar profiles, where the fancy might not be indulged in ideal beauties. Hence we may conjecture, that this form was as common to the ancient Greeks as the flat nofe to the Calmuc, or the fmall eye to the Gininefe. The large eyes' of Grecian heads, in gems and coins, fupport this conjecture."

This ought not to be abfolutely general, and probably was not, fince numerous medals fhow the contrary, though in certain ages and countries fuch might have been the moft common form. Had only one fuch countenance, however, prefented itfelf to the genius of art, it would have been fufficient for its propagation and countinuance. This is lefs our concern than the fignification of fuch a form. The nearer the approach to the perpendicular, the lefs is there characteriftic of the wife and graceful; and the higher the character of worth and greatnefs, the more obliquely the lines retreat. The more flraight and perpendicular the profile of the forehead and nofe is, the more does the profile of the upper part of the head approach a rightangle, from which wifdom and beauty will fly with equally rapid fteps. In the ufual copies of thefe famous ancient lines of beauty, I generally Sind the expreffion of meannefs, and, if $I$ dare to fay, of vague infipidity. I repeat, in the copies; कy the Sophonifoa of Angelica Kauffman, for inflance,
ftance, where probably the flading under the hair has been neglected, and where the gentle arching. of the lines, apparently, were fcarcely attainable.
3.
" The line which feparates the repletion from the excefs of nature is very fmall."

Not to be meafured by induftry or inftrument, yet all powerful, as every thing unattainable is.
" A mind as beautiful as was that of Raphael, in an equally beautiful body, is neceffary, firft to feel, and afterwards to difplay, in thefe modern times, the true character of the ancients.

## 5.

" Conftraint is unnatural, and violence diforder."

Where conftraint is remarked, there let fecret, profound, flowly deftructive paffion be feared; where violence, there open and quick deftroying.

## 6.

" Greatnefs will be expreffed by the ftraight and replete, and tendernefs by the gently curving."

All greatnefs has fomething of ftraight and replete; but all the ftraight and replete is not greatnefs. The ftraight and replete muft be in a certain pofition, and muft have a determinate relation to the horizontal, on which the obferver fands to view it.
" It may be proved, that no principal of beauty exifts in this profile; for the ftronger the arching of the nofe is, the lefs does it contain of the beautiful; and if any countenance feen in profile is bad,
any fearch after beauty will there be to no purd pofe."

The nobleft, pureft, wifeft, moft fpiritual and benevolent countenance, may be beautiful to the phyfiognomift, who, in the intended fenfe of the word beauty, underftands all moral expreffions of good as beautiful ; yet the form may not, therefore, accurately fpeaking, deferve the appellation of beautiful.
7.
" Nothing is more difficult than to demonfrate: a felf-evident truth."

## C H A P'. XL.

Extracts from Ariftotle, and other Authors, concerning Beafts

THE writings of the great Ariftotle on phyfiog-nomy appear to me very fuperficial, ufelefs, and often felf-contradictory, efpecially his general reafoning. Still, however, we fometimes meet an occafional thought which deferves to be felected. The following are fome of thefe:
" A monfter has never been feen which had the form of another creature, and, at the fame time; totally different powers of thinking and acting. Thus, for example, the groom judges from the mere appearance of the horfe; the huntfinan, from the appearance of the hound. We find no man entirely like a beaft, although there are fome features. in man which remind us of bealts.

"Thofe

: "Thofe who would endeavour to difcover the figns of bravery in man, would act wifely to collect all the figns of bravery in animated nature, by which courageous animals are diftinguifhed from others. The phyfiognomift fhould then examine all fuch animated beings, which are the reverfe of the former, with refpect to internal character, and, from the comparifon of thefe oppofites, the expreffions or figns of courage would be manifeft.
"As weak hair is a mark of fear, fo is Atrong hair of courage. This obfervation is applicable not only to men but to beafts. The moft fearful of beafts are the deer, the hare, and the fheep, and the hair of thefe is weaker than that of other beafts. The lion and wild boar, on the contrary, are the moft courageous, which property is confpicuous in their extremely ftrong hair. The fame alfo may be remarked of birds; for, in general, thofe among them which have coarfe feathers are courageous, and thofe that have foft and weak feathers are fearful. This may eafily be applied to men. The people of the north are generally courageous, and have ftrong hair; while thofe of the weft are more fearful, and have more flexible hair.
" Such beafts as are remarkable for their courage fimply give their voices vent, without any great conftraint, while fearful beafts utter vehement founds. Compare the lion, or, the barking dog, and cock, which are courageous, to the deer and the hare. The lion appears to have a more mafculine character than any other beaft. He has a large mouth, a four-cornered but too bony vifage. The upper jaw does not project, but exactly fits the under; the nofe is rather hard than foft, the eyes are neither funken nor prominent,

## 22.4

 PHYSIOGNOMY.the forehead is fquare, and fometimes flattened in the middle.
" Thofe who have thick and firm lips, with the upper hung over the under, are fimple perfons, according to the analogy of the ape ard monkey."

This is mof indeterminately fpoken. He would have been much more true and accurate had he faid, thofe whofe under lips are weak, extended, and projecting, beyond the upper, are fimple people.
" Thofe who have the tip of the nofe hard and firm, love to employ themfelves on fubjects that give them little trouble, fimilar to the cow and the ox."

Infupportable! The few men, who have the tip of the nofe firm, are the moft unwearied in their refearches. I fhall tranfcribe no farther. His phyfiognomonical. remarks, and his fimilarities to beafts, are generally unfounded in experience.

Porta, next to Ariftotle, has molt obferved the refemblance between the countenances of men and beafts, and has extended this inquiry the farthef. He , as far as I know, was the fifft to render this. fimilarity apparent, by placing the countenances of men and beafts befide each other. Nothing can be more true than this fact ; and, while we continue to follow nature, and do not endeavour tomake fuch fimilarities greater than they are, it is a fubject that cannot be too accurately examined. But, in this refpect, the fanciful Porta appears to me to have been often mifled, and to have found refemblances which the eye of truth never could difcover. I could find no refemblance between the hound and Plato, at leaft from which cool reafon could drav ang conclufions. It is fingular enough.
enough, that he has alfo compared the heads of men and birds. He might more effectually have examined the exceffive diffimilarity, than the very fmall and almoft imperceptible refemblance which can exift. He fpeaks little concerning the horfe, elephant, and monkey, though it is certain that thefe animals have moft refemblence to man.

A genuine difference between man and beaft is particularly confpicuous in the flructure of the bones. The head of man is placed erect on the fpinal bone. His whole form is as the foundation pillar for that arch in which heaven fhould be reflected, fupporting that fcull by which, like the firmament, it is encircled. This cavity for the brain conftitutes the greater part of the head. All our fenfations, as I may fay, afcend and defcend above the jaw-bone, and collect themfelves upon the lips. How does the eye, that moft eloquent of organs, ftand in need, if not of words, at leaft of the angry conftraint of the cheeks, and all the intervening fhades, to exprefs the ftrong internal fenfation of man!

The formation of beafts is directly the reverfe of this. The head is only attached to the fpine. The brain, the extremity of the fpinal marrow, has no greater extent than is neceffary for animal life, and the conducting of a creature wholly fenfual, and formed but for temporary exiftence. For although we cannot deny that bealts have the faculty of memory, and act from reflection, yet the former, as I may fay, is the effect of primary fenfation, and the latter originates in the conftraint of the moment, and the preponderance of this or that object.

We may perceive, in the moft convincing manner, in the difference of the fcull, which defines the character of animals, how the bones determine
the form, and denote the properties of the creature.

As the characters of animals are diftinet, fo are their forms, bones, and outlines. From the fmalleft winged infect to the eagle that foars and gazes at the fun; from the weakeft worm, impotently crawling beneath our feet, to the elephant, or the majeftic lion, the gradations of phyfiognomonical expreffion cannot be wiftaken. It would be more than ridiculous to expect from the worm, the butterfly, and the lamb, the power of the rattlefnake, the eagle, and the lion. Were the lion and lamb, for tie firft time, placed before us, had we never knowu fuch animals, never heard their names, ftill we could not refift the impreffion of the courage and ftrength of the one, or of the weaknefs and fufferance of the other.

Let me afk the queftion, which are, in general, the weakeft animals, and the moft remote from humanity, the moft incapable of human ideas and fenfations? Beyond all doubt, thofe which in their form leaft refemble man. To prove this, let us, in imagination, confider the various degrees of animal life, from the fmalleft animalcula to the ape, lion, and elephant ; and the more to fimplify, and give facility to fuch comparifon, let us only compare head to head; as, for example, the lobtter to the elephant, the elephant to the man.

Permit me here juft to obferve, how worthy would fuch a work be of the united abilities of a Buffon, a Kamper, and a Euler, could they be found united, that the forms of heads might be enumerated and defcribed, philofophically and mathematically ; that it might be demonftrated, that univerfal brutality, in all its various kinds, is circumfcribed by a determinate line; and that, amòng
the innumerable lines of brutality, there is not one which is not internally and effentially different from the line of humanity, which is peculiar and unique.

## Thoughts of a Friend on brutal and buman. Pbyfiognomy.

" Every brute animal is diftinguifhed from all others by fome principal quality. As the make of each is diftinct from all others, fo alfo is the character. The principal character is denoted by a peculiar and vifible form. Each fpecies of beaft has certainly a peculiar character, as it has a peculiar form. May we not hence, by analogy, infer, that predominant qualities of the mind are certainly expreffed by predominant forms of the body, as that the peculiar qualities of a fpecies are expreffed in the general form of that fpecies?
"The principal character of the fpecies in animals remains fuch as it was given by nature; it neither can be obfcured by acceffary qualities, nor concealed by art. The effential of the characters can as little be changed as the peculiarity of the form. May we not, therefore, with the greateft degree of certainty, affirm fuch a form is only expreflive of fuch a character ?
"Let us now inquire whether this be applicable to man, and whether the form which denotes individual character in a beaft is fignificant of fimilar character in man, granting that, in man, it may continually be more delicate, hidden, and complicated. If, on examination, this queftion be definitely anfwered in the affirmative, how much is thereby gained! But it is confpicuoufly evident, that, in man, the mind is not one "character or quality, but a world of qualities interwoven with
and obfcuring each other. If each quality be expreffed by its peculiar form, then muft variety of qualities be attended with variety of forms; and thefe forms, combining and harmonizing together, muft become more difficult to felect and decypher.
" May not fouls differ from each other merely according to their relative connection with bodies? May not fouls alfo have a determinate capacity, proportionate to the form and organization of the body? Hence each object may make a different imprefion on each individual ; hence one may bear greater burthens and more misfortunes tian another. May not the body be confidered as a veficl with various compartments, cavities, pipes, into which the foul is poured, and in confequence of which motion and fenfation begin to ast? And thus may not the form of the body define the capacity of the mind"

My unknown friend, thus far I have followed you. Figurative lanuage is dangerous when dif courfing on the foul; yer how can we difcourfe on it otherwife? 1 pronounce no judgement, but rely on fenfation and experience, not on words and metaphors. What is, is, be your language what it will. Whether effects all act from the external to the internal, or the reverfe, 1 know not, cannot, need not know. Experience convinces us that, both in man and beaft, power and form are in an unchangeable, harmonized proportion; but whether the form be determined by the power, or the power by the form, is a queftion wholly infignificant to the phyfiognomilt.

Obfervations on fome Animals, and particularly of the Horfe.
The dog has more forehead above the eyes than moft other beafts; but as much as he appears to gain in the forehead he lofes in the excefs of brutal nofe, which has every token of acute fcent. Man too, in the act of fmelling, elevates the noftrils. The dog is alfo defective in the diftance of the mouth from the nofe, and in the meannefs, or rather nullity of chin.
Whether the hanging ears of a dog are characteriftic of flavih fubjection, as Buffon has affirmed, who has written much more reafonably on brute than on human phyfiognomy, I cannot determine to my own fatisfaction.
The camel and the dromedary are a mixture of the horfe, fheep, and afs, without what is noble in the firft. They alfo appear to hare fomething of the monkey, at leaft in the nofe. Not made to fuffer the bit in the mouth, the power of the jaw is wanting. The determining marks concerning the bit are found between the eyes and the nofe. No traces of courage or daring are found in thefe parts. The threatening fnort of the ox and horfe is not perceptible in thefe ape-like noftrils; none of the powers of plunder and prey, in the feeble upper and under jaw. Nothing but burden-bearing patience in the eyes.

Wild cruelty the menacing power of rending, appear in the bear abhorring man, the friend of ancient favage-nature.
The mof indolent, helplefs, wretched creature, and of the moft imperfect formation, is the unaul ai, or floth. How extraordinary is the feeblenefs of the outline of the head, body, and feet! No fole
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of the feet, no toes fmall or great, which move independently, having but two or three long', inbent claws, which can only move together. lts flug. gifhnefs, ftupidity, and felf-neglect, are indefcribable.

In the wild boar every one may read ferocity, a want of all that is noble, greedinefs, ftupidity, blunt feeling, grofs appetite; and in the badger, ignoble, faithlefs, malignant, favage gluttony.

Remarkable is the profile of the lion, efpecially the outline of the forehead and nofe. A man, whofe profile of forehead and nofe fhould refemble that of the lion, would certainly be no common man, but fuch 1 have never feen. I own, the nofe of the lion is much lefs prominent than that of man, but much more than that of any other quadruped. Royal, brutal ftrength, and arrogant ufurpation are evident, partly in the arching of the nofe, partly in its breadth and parallel lines, and efpecially in the alinoft right angle, which the outline of the eyelid forms with the fide of the nofe.

In the eye and fnout of the tyger, what bloodthirfty cruelty, what infidious craft! Can the laugh of Satan himfelf, at a fallen faint, be more fiendlike than the head of the triumphant tyger? Cats are tygers in miniature, with the advantage of domeftic education. Little better in character, inferior in power. Unmerciful to birds and mice, as the tyger to the lamb. They delight in prolonging torture before they devour, and in this they exceed the tyger.

The more violent qualities of the elephant are difcoverable in the number and fize of his bones; his intelligence in the roundnefs of his form, and his docility in the maffuefs of his mufcles; his art and difcretion in the flexibility of his trunk; his retentive
retentive memory in the fize and arching of his forehead, which approaches nearer to the outline of the human forehead than that of any other beaft. Yet how effentially different is it from the human forehead, in the pofition of the eye and mouth, fince the latter generally makes nearly a right angle with the axis of the eye and the middle line of the mouth.
The crocodile proves how very pliyfiognomonical teeth are. This, like other creatures, but more viibly and infallibly than others, in all its parts, outlines, and points, has phyfiognomy that eannot be miftaken. Thus debafed, thus defpicable, thus knotty, obftinate, and wicked, thus funken below the noble horfe, terrific, and void of all love and affection, is this fiend incarnate.
Little acquainted as I am with horfes, yet it feems to me indubitable, that there is as great a difference in the phyfiognomy of horfes as in that of men. The horfe deferves to be particularly confidered by the phyfiognomift, becaufe it is one of thofe animals whofe phyfiognomy, at leaft in profile, is fo much more prominent, fharp, and characteriftic, than that of moft other beafts.

Of all animals the horfe is that, which to largenefs of fize unites moft proportion and elegance in the parts of his body ; for, comparing him to thofe which are immediately above or below him, we Thall perceive that the afs is ill made, the head of the lion is too large, the legs of the ox too fmall, the camel is deformed, and the rhinoceros and elephant too unwieldy. There is fcarcely any beaft has fo varıous, fo generally marking, fo fpeaking a countenance, as a beautiful horfe.
" The upper part of the neck, from which the mane flows, in a well-made horfe, ought to rife
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 PHYSIOGNOMY.at firft in a right line; and, as it approaches the head, to form a curve fomewhat fimilar to the neck of the fwan: The lower part of the neck ought to be rectilinear, in its direction from the cheft to the nether jaw, but a little inclined forward; for, were it perpendicular, the fhape of the neck would be defective. The upper part of the neck flould be thin and not flefhy; nor the mane, which ought to be tolerably full, and the hair long and ftraight. A fine neck ought to be long and elevated, yet proportionate to the fize of the horfe. If too long and fmall, the horfe would ftrike the rider with his head; if too fhort and heavy, he would bear heavy on the hand. The head is advantageoully placed when the forehead is perpendicular to the horizon. The head ought to be boney and finall, not too long; the ears near each other, fmall, erect, firm, ftraight, free, and fituated on the top of the head. The forehead fhould be narrow, and fomewhat convex, the hollows filled up; the eyelids thin; the eyes clear, penetrating, full of ardour, tolerably large, as I may fay, and projecting from the head, the pupil large, the under jaw boney, and rather thick; the nofe fomewhat arched, the noftrils open, and well llit, the partition thin; the lips fine, the mouth tolerably large, the withers high and fharp." I muft beg pardon for thits quotation from the Encyclopedie, and for inferting thus much of the defeription of a beautiful horfe, in a phyfiognomonical effay intended to promote the knowledge and the love of man.

The more accurately we obferve horfes, the more hall we be convinced, that a feparate treatife of phyfiognomy might be written on them. I have fomewhere heard a general remark, that horfes are divided into three clafles, the fwan-necked; the
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tag-necked, and the hog-necked. Each of thefe clafles has its peculiar countenance and character, and from the blending of which various others originate.
The heads of the fwan-necked horfes are commonly even, the forehead fmall, and almoft flat ; the nofe extends; arching, from the eyes to the mouth; the nofrils are wide and open ; the mouth fmall; the ears little, pointed, and projecting; the eyes large and round; the jaw below, rmall; above, fomething broader; the whole body well proportioned, and the horfe beautiful. This kind is cheerful, tractable, and high fpirited. They are very fenfible of pain, which, when dreffing, they fometimes exprefs by the voice. Flattery greatly excites their joy, and they will exprefs their pride of heart by parading and prancing. I will venture to aflert, that a man with a fwan neck, or what is much more determinate, with a fmooth, projecting profile, and flaxen hair, would have fimilar fenfibility and pride.

The ftag-necked has fomething, in the make of his body, much refembling the ftag itfelf. The neck is fmall, large, and fcarcely bowed in the middle. He carries his head high. I have feen none of thefe. They are racers and hunters, being partieularly adapted for fwiftnefs. by the make of the body.

The hog-recked. The neck above and below is alike broad; the head hanging downwards; the middle of the nofe is concave, in profile; the ears are long, thick, and hanging; the cyes fmall and tugly; the noftrils fmall, the mouth large, the whole body round, and the coat long and rough. Thefe horfes are intractable, flow, and vicious,
and will run the rider againft a wall, flone, or tree. When held in, they rear, and endeavour to throw the rider. Blows or coaxing are frequently alike ineffectual, they continue obftinate and reftif.

If we examine the different heads of horfes, we fhall find that all cheerful, high-fpirited, capricious, courageous horfes, have the nofe-bone of the profile convex; and that moft of the vicious, reftif, and idle, have the fame bone flat or concare. In the eyes, mouth, and efpecially in the noftrils and jaw bones, are remarkable varieties, concerning which I fhall fay nothing. I fhall here add fome remarks on the horfe communicated by a friend.

The gray is the tendereft of horfes, and we may here add, that people with light hair, if not effeminate, are yet, it, it is well known, of tender formation and conftitution. The chefnut and iron grey, the black and bay, are hardy; the forrel are the moft hardy, and yet the moft fubject to difeafe. The forrel, whether well or ill formed, is treache. rous. All treacherous horfes lay their ears in the neck. They ftare and fop, and lay down their ears alternately.

The following paffage, on the fame fubject, is cited from another writer: "When a horfe has broad, long, widely feparated, hanging ears, we are well affured he is bad and fluggifh. If he lays down his ears alternately, he is fearful, and apt to ftart. Thin, pointed, and projecting ears, on the contrary, denote a horfe of good difpofition."

We never find that the thick, hog-necked horfe is fufficiently tractable for the riding horfe, or that he is of a ftrong nature when the tail fhakes, like the tail of a dog. We may be certain, that a horfe
with large cheerful eyes, and a fine fhining coat, if we have no other tokens, is of a good confitution and underftanding.

Thefe remarks are equally applicable to oxen and fheep, and probably to all other animals. The white ox is not fo long ferviceable, for draught or labour, as the black or red: he is more weak and filly than thefe. A iheep with Chort legs, ftrong neck, broad back, and cheerful eyes, is a good breeder, and remains peaceably with the flock. I am clearly of opinion, that if we may judge of the internal by the external of beaft, men may be judged of in the fame manner.

## C H A P. XLI.

Of Birds, Fijhes, Serpents, and Infects.
Birds.

BIRDS, whether compared to each other, or to other creatures, have their diftinct characters. The ftructure of birds throughout is lighter than that of quadrupeds. Nature, ever ftedfaft to truth, thus manifefts herfelf in the form of birds. Their necks are more pliant, their heads fmaller, their mouths more pointed, and their garb more light and ftrong than thofe of quadrupeds.

Their diftinction of character, or gradation of paffive and active power, is expreffed by the following phyfiognomonical varieties:
I. By the form of the fkull. The more flat the fkull, the more weak, flexible, tender, and fenfible
is the character of the animal. This flatnefs contains lefs, and refifts lefs.
2. By the length, breadth, and arching, or obliquity of their beaks. And here again we find, when there is arching, there is a greater extent of docility and capacity.
3. By the eyes, which appear to have an exact correfpoandence with the arching of the beak.
4. Particularly by the middle line, 1 cannot fay of the mouth, but what is analogous to the mouth, the beak; the obliquity of which is ever in a remarkable proportion with the outline of the profile of the head.

Who can behold the eagle hovering in the air, the powerful lord of fo many creatures, without perceiving the feal, the native flar of royalty in his piercing round eye, the form of his head, his ftrong wings, his talons of brafs, and, in his whole form, his victorious ftrength, his contemptuous arrogance, his fearful crielty, and his ravenous propenfity?

Confider the eyes of all living creatures, from the eagle to the mole; where elfe can be found that lightning glance, which defies the rays of the fun? Where that capacity for the reception of light? How truly, how emphatically, to all who will hear and underttand, is the majelty of his kingly character vifible, not alone in his burning eye, but in the outline of what is analogous to the eyebone, and in the $\mathfrak{k k i n}$ of the head, where anger and courage are feated! But, throughout his whole form, where are they not?

Compare the vulture with the eagle, and who does not obferve, in his lengthened neck and beak, and in his more extended form, lefs power and nobility than in the eagle? In the head of the owl, the
the ignoble greedy prey; in the dove, mild, humble timidity; and in the fwan, more nobility than in the goofe, with lefs power than in the eagle, and tendernefs than in the dove; more pliability than in the oftrich; and in the wild duck a more favage animal than in the fwan, without the force of the eagle?

Fi/b.
How different is the profile of a fifh from that of a man! How much the reverfe of human perpendicularity! How little is there of countenance when compared to the lion! How vifible is the want of mind, reflection, and cunning! What little or no analogy to forehead! What an impoffibility of covering or entirely clofing the eyes ! The eye itielf is merely circular and prominent, has nothing of the lengthened form of the eye of the foxs or elephant.

## Serpents.

I will allow phyfiognomy, when applied to man, to be a falfe fcience, if any being throughout natare can be difcovered void of phyfiognomy, or a countenance which does not exprefs its character. What has lefs, yet more phyfiognomy, than the ferpent? May we not perceive in it tokens of cunning and treachery? Certainly not a trace of underftariding or deliberate plan. No memory, no comprehenfion, but the moft unbounded craft and falfehood. How are thefe reprobate qualities diftinguifhed in their form? The very play of their colours, and wonderful meandring of their fpots appear to announce and to warn us of their deceit.

All men poffeffed of real power are upright and honeft ; craft is but the fubflitute of power. I do not here fpeak of the power contained in the folds of the ferpent ; they all want the power to act immediately, without the aid of cunning. They are formed to " bruife the heel, and to have the head bruifed." The judgement which God has pror nounced againft them is written on their flat, impotent forehead, mouth, and eyes.

## Infects.

How inexpreffibly various are the characteriftics impreffed by the eternal Creator on all living beings! How has he ftamped on each its legible and peculiar properties ! How efpecially vifible is this in the loweft claffes of animal life! The world of infects is a world of itfelf. The diftance between this and the world of men $I$ own is great; yet, were it fufficiently known, how ufeful would it be to human phyfiognomy! What certain proofs of the phyfiognomy of men mult be obtained from infect phyfiognomy!

How vifible are their powers of deftruction, of fuffering, and refifting, of fenfibility and infenfibility, through all their forms and gradations! Are not all the compact, hard_winged infects phyfiognomonically and characteriftically more capable and retentive than various light and tender fpecies of the butterfly? Is not the fofteft flefh the weakeft, and moft fuffering, the eafieft to deftroy? Are not the infects of leaft brain the beings moft removed from man, who has the moft brain? Is it not perceptible in each fpecies whether it be warlike, defenfive, enduring, weak, enjoying, deftructive, safy to be crufhed, or crufhing ? How diftinct in
the external character are their degrees of ftrength, of defence, of ftinging, or of appetite !
The great dragon fly fhews its agility and fwiftnefs in the ftructure of its wings; perpetually in flight in fearch of fmall flies. How fluggif, on the contrary, is the crawling caterpillar! How carefully does he fet his feet as he afcends a leaf! How yielding his fubftance, incapable of refiftance! How peaceable, harmlefs, and indolent is the moth ! How full of motion, bravery, and hardinefs is the induftrious ant ! How loath to remove, on the con-trary, is the harneffed lady-bird!

## G H A P. XLII.

## On Sbades.

THOUGH fhades are the weakeft and moft vapid, yet they are at the fame time, when the light is at a proper diftance, and falls properly on the countenance to take the profile accurately, the trueft reprefentation that can be given of man. The weakeff, for it is not pofitive, it is only fomething negative, ouly the boundary line of half the countenance. The trueft, becaufe it is the immediate expreffion of nature, fuch as not the ableft painter is capable of drawing by hand after nature. What can be lefs the image of a living man than a fhade? Yet how full of fpeech ! Little gold, but the pureft.

The fhade contains but one line; no motion, light, colour, height, or depth; no eye, ear, noftril, or cheek; but a very fmall part of the lip.

yet how decifively it is fignificant! Drawing and painting, it is probable, originated in flades. They exprefs, as I have faid, but little; but the litdle they do exprefs is exact. No art can attain to the truth of the fhade taken with precifion. Let a fhade be taken after nature with the greateft accuracy, and with equal accuracy be afterwards reduced upon fine tranfparent oil paper. Let a profile, of the fame fize, be taken, by the greatel maifler, in his happieft moments; then let the two be laid upon each other, and the difference will be immediately evident.
I never found, afier repeated experiments, that the beft efforts of art could equal nature, either in freedom or in precifion, but that there was always fomething more or lefs than nature. Nature is fharp and free: whoever ftudies fharpnefs more than freedom will be hard, and whoever fudies freedom more than tharpnefs will become diffufe and indeterminate. It can admire him only; who, equally ftudious of her fharpnefs and freedom, acquires equal certainty and impartiality.

To attain this, artift, imitator of humanity!firft exercife yourfelf in drawing thades; afterwards copy them by hand, and next compare and correct. Without this you will with difficulty difcover the grand fecret of uniting precifion and freedom.

I have collected more phyfiognomonical knowledge from fhades alone than from every other kind of portrait ; have improved phyfiognomonical fenm fation more by the fight of them than by the contemplation of ever mutable nature. Shades colleck the diftracted attention, confine it to an outline ${ }_{3}$ and thus render the obfervation more fimple, eafy; and precife. Phyfiognomy has no greater, more Whyontrovertible certainty of the truth of its object
than that imparted by fhade. If the fhade, according to the general fenfe and decifion of all men, can decide fo much concerning character, how much more muft the living body, the whole appearance and action of the man! If the fhade be oracular, the voice of truth, the word of God, what muft the living original be, illuminated by the Spitit of God!

Hundreds have afked, and hundreds will continue to afk, "What can be expected from mere thades?" Yet no fhade can be viewed by any one of thefe hundred, who will not form fome judgement on it, often accurately, more accurately than I could have judged.

In order to make the aftonifhing fignificance of Thades confpicuous, we ought either to compare oppofite characters of men taken in fhade, or, whicl may be more convincing, to cut out of black. paper, or draw, imaginary countenances widely dif-fimilar. Or, again, when we have acquired fome proficiency in obfervation, to double black paper, and cut two countenances; and, afterwards, by cutting with the fciffars, to make flight alterations, appealing to our eye, or phyfiognomonical feeling, at each alteration; or, laftly, only to take various fhades of the fame countenance, and compare them together. Such experiments would aftonih us, to perceive what great effects are produced by flight alterations.

The common method of taking fliade is accom-panied with many inconveniences. It is hardly poffible the perfon drawn flould fit fufficiently ftill; the defigner is obliged to change his place, he mult: approach fo near to the perfon that motion is almoft inevitable, and the defigner is in the moft in-• convenient pofition; neither are the preparatory
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 P. $\mathrm{H} Y \mathrm{SIOGNOM}$.fteps every where p ©fible, nor fimple enough. A: feat purpofely contrived would be more convenient. The fhade fhould be taken on poft paper, or rather on thin oiled paper, well dried. Let the head and back be fupported by a chair, and the fhade fall on the oil paper behind a clear, flat, polifhed glafs. Let the drawer fit hehind the glafs, holding the frame with his left hand, and, having a fharp black lead pencil, draw with the right. The glafs, in a derached fliding frame, may be raifedor lowered, according to the height of the perfon. The bottom of the glafs frame, being thin, will be beft of iron, and fhould be raifed, fo as to reft fleadily upon the fhoulder. In the centre, upon the glafs, fhould be a fmall piece of wood or iron, to which faften a fmall round cufhion, fupported by: a fhort pin, fcarcely half an inch long, which alfo. may be raifed or lowered, and againft which the perfon drawn may lean. .

## CHAP. XLIII:

## A Word to. Travellers.

THERE appear to me to be three things indifa penfable to travellers, health, money, and phyfiognomy. Therefore a phyfiognomonical word to travellers. I could wifh, indeed, that, inftead of a word, a traveller's phyfiognomonical companion were written; but this nuft be done by an experienced traveller. In the mean time I fhall bid him fasewell, with the following fhort advice:

What

What do you feek, travellers? what is your wifh? What would you fee more remarkable, more fingular, more rare, more worthy to be examined, than the varieties of humanity? This indeed is fafhionable. You inquire after men; you feek the wifeft, beft, and greateft men, efpecially the moft famous. Why is your curiofity limited to feeing only? Would it not be better you fhould illuminate your own minds by the light of others, and animate yourfelves by their ardour?

His curiofity is childifh, which is merely confined to feeing, whofe ambition defires only to fay, I have beheld that man. He who would difregard views fo confined muft ftudy fuch men phyfiogno. monically; if he would learn wifdom, he mult be able to compare and judge of the relation between their works, their fame, and their form. By this only may much be learned. By this may the ftream be compared to the fountain, the quality of the waters examined, their courfe, their gentle murmurs, or more boifterous war. The inquires may afk, what is the degree of originality of thofe men, what is borrowed, what is internal, what external? This forehead, and thefe eye-brows will thus verify, thus tranflate, thus criticife; therefore, on this eye depends the fate of the writer, the blockhead, or the man of gerius. This nofe thus eftimates the mortal and the inmortal, in human performances. As are the features, fo will be the mind.

Yes, fcholars of nature, you have much to learn from the countenances of famous men. In them you will read, that the wafp will dare to alight on the nofe of the hero. To me it will be pleafure when you have acquired this phyfiognomonical fenfation; for, without this, you will but travel in
りる
the dark; you will but be led through a picturegallery blindfold, only that you might fay, I too. have beeti in that gallery.

Could I travel unknown, I would alfo vifit artifts, men of learning, and philofophers, men famons in their refpective countries; but it fhould. aither be my adieu, as the thing leaft important, or as a recreation on my arrival. Pardon me, men of renown, I have been credulous in your favour, bet I daily become more circumfpect. Far be it from me to depreciate your worth. I know many, whofe prefence does not diminifh but increafe fame, yet will l be careful, that remorfe fhall neither dazzle nor cloud my reafon.

It would be much more agreeable to me to mix. unknown with the multitude, vifit churches, pubTic walks, hofpitals, orphan-houfes, and affemblies of ecclefiaftics, and men of the law. I would firt confider the general form of the inhabitants, their height, proportion, ftrength, weaknefs, motion, complexion, attitude, gefture, and gait. I would. obferve them individuaily, fee, compare, clofe my eyes, traze in imagination all I had feen, open them again, correct my memory, and clofe and open alternately. I would fudy for words, write, and draw with a few determinate traits, the general form, fo eafy to be difcovered. I would compare my drawings with the known general form of the people. How eafily might a fummary, an index of the people be obtained!

Having made thefe familiar to me, T would defend to the particular, would fearch for the general. form of the head, would afk, Is it moft confined to the cylindrical, the fpherical, the fquare, the: qonvex, or the concave? Is the countenance open,
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is it writhed, is it free, or forked ? I would next examine the forehead, then the eyebrows, the outline and colour of the eyes, the nofe, and efpecially the mouth when it is open; and the teeth, with. their appearances, to difcover the national characteriftic.

Could I but define the line of the opening of the lips, in feven promifcuous countenances, I imagine I fhould have found the general phyfiognomonical character of the nation or place. I almoft dare to eftablifh it as an axiom, that what is common to fix or feven perfons of any place, taken promifcuonfly, is more or lefs common to the whole. Exceptions there may be, but they will. be rare.

In the next place, I would plant myfelf in a. public walk; or at the croffing of ftreets. There I would wait patiently for the unknown noble countenance, uncorrupted by fame and adulation, which certainly, moft certainly, I fhould find : for in all countries on earth, wherever a hundred common men are affembled, one not common may be found ${ }_{5}$. and out of a thoufand, ten.

I muft have, indeed, little eye, little fenfibility for noble humanity, little faith in Providence, which feeks its adorers, if I did not lind this onein a hundred, or at feaft in the ten among a thoufand. He that feeketh fhall find. I waited not in vain. He came, I found him, he paffed by me. And what were the tokens by which I dif. coveren him, in every town,.every nation, under every cope of heaven, and among all people, kino dred and congues?-By the general combinationof tie counte ance, by the upper outline of the forehead, the eyebrows, the bafis of the nofe, and
the mouth, fo conformable to each other, fo pasrallel and horizontal, at the firf glance. By the wrinkled, compreffed; yet open forehead, the powerful eyebrows ; the eafily difcerned, eafily delineated fpace between the eyebrows, which extends itfelf to the back of the nofe, like the great ftreet from the market-place to the chief gate of a city. By the fhort but freely-breathing mouth; the chin, neither haggard nor flefhy; the deep and fhining attraction of the eye; which all, uncautioully and unintentionally, betrayed themfelves to my refearch : or, I difcovered him even in his foreign and diftorted form, from which the arrogant, felf-fuppofed handfome, would turn with contempt. I fee through his difguife, as I fhould the hand of a:great mafter through the fmear of varnifh.

I approach the favourite of heaven. I queftion.: him concerning what I do, and what I do not wifh: to know, that I may hear the voice of the foul proceeding from the mouth; and; viewing him nearer, I fee all the obliquities of diftortion vanifh.. I afk him concerning his occupation, his family, his place of refidence. I inquire the road thither. I come unexpectedly upon him into his houfe, into his workfhop; he rifes, I oblige him to be feated, to continue his labour. I. fee his children, his wife, and am delighted. He knows not what I want, nor do I know myfelf, yet am pleafed with him, and he with me. I purchafe fomething or nothing, as it happens. I inquire particularly after his friends. "You have but few, but thofe few are faithful." He ftands aftonifhed, fmiles, or weeps, in the innocence and goodnefs of his heart, which he wifhes to conceal, but which is open as day. He gains my affection; our emotions are reciprocally expanded and ftrengthened ; we feparate reluctantly ${ }_{\gamma}$.

## PAYSIOGNOMY:

reluctantly, and I know I have entered a houfe which is entered by the angels of God.

Oh !.how gratefully, how highly is he rewarded: for his labours who travels, interefted in behalf of humanity; and with the eyes of a man, to collect, in the fpirit, the children of God, who are fcattered over the world! This appears to me to be the fupreme blifs of man, as it mult be of angels.

If I do not meet him, I have no refource but in fociety. Here I hear him moft, who fpeaks leaft, mildeft, and moft unaffectedly. Wherever 1 meet the fmile of felf-fufficiency, or the oblique look of envy, I turn away, and feek him who remains oppreffed by the loud voice of confidence. I fet myfelf rather befide the anfwerer than the man of eloquent loquacity; and ftill rather befide the humble inquirer than the voluble folver of all difficulties.

He who haftens too faft, or lags benind, is no companion of mine. I rather feek him who walks with a free, firm, and even ftep; who looks but little about him; who neither carries his head aloft, nor contemplates his legs and feet. If the hand of affliction be heavy on him, I fet myfelf by his fide, take his hand, and with a glance, infufe conviction to his foul, that God is love.

In my memory, I retain the fimple outlines of the loud, and the violent, the laugher and the fmiler, of him who gives the key, and him who takes. I then commit them to paper; my collection increafes. I compare, arrange, judge, and am aftoaifhed. I evere where find fimilarity of traits, fimilarity of character; the fame humanity every: where, and every where the fame tokens.

C H A P. XLIV.

## A Word to Princes and ${ }^{\text {Fudges. }}$

FOR your ufe, moft important of men, how willingly would I write a treatife. Who fo much as you need a perfect knowledge of man, freefrom cabal, or the intervention of felf-intereft ! Suffer me to approach your throne, and prefent my addrefs.

In your moft fecret common-place book, keepan index to each clafs of character among men, taken from at leaft ten of the moft accurate proofs; not at a diftance, not among foreigners, but feek at home for the wifeft and beft of your own fubjects. Wherever a wife and good prince governs, there are excellent fubjects. Such a prince believes that he has fuch fubjects, although at the moment he fhould be unacquainted with them; or at leaft, that he has fubjects capable of wifdom and goodnefs. Wherever one good perfon is, there certainly are two, as certainly as where the femaleis, there will the male be.

Suffer me, princes, confecrated as you are among men, to intreat you, for the honour of humanity, principally to ftudy, to feek for, and to feize ong. excellence. Judge not too fuddenly, nor by mere appearances. That which a prince once approvess, it may afterwards be difficult or dangerous to reject. Depend not on the teftimony of others. wilich, to princes efpecially, is ever exaggerated: either in praife or blame; but examine the countenance, which, though it may diffemble to 2 prince, or rather to the dignity of a prince, caunot deceive
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deceive him as a man. Having once difcovered wifdom and goodnefs in a fubject, honour fuch a fubject as the beft bleffing which heaven can, in this world, beftow upon its favourites. Seek features that are ftrong, but not forbidding; gentle, yet not effeminate; pofrtive, without turbulence; natural, not arrogant; with open eyes, clear afpects, Itrong nofes near the forehead, and with fuch let your thrones be farrounded.

Intruft your fecrets to proportionate and parallel drawn countenances; to horizontal, firm, com. preffed eye-brows; channelled, not too rigoroully clofed, red, active, but not relaxed or withered lips. Yet I will forbear to delineate, and again only intreat, that the countenance may be facred: to you for the fake of goodnefs and wifdom.

As to you, judges, judge not indeed by appearances, but examine according to appearances. Juftice blindfold without phyfiognomy is as unnatural as blindfold love. There are countenances which cannot have committed a multitude of vices. Study the traits of each vice, and the forms in which vice naturally or unwillingly refides. There are capabilities and incapabilities in the countenance, things which it can will, others which it cannot. Each paffion, open or concealed, has its peculiar language. The appearance of innocence is as determinate to the experienced eye as the appearance of health.

Bring guilt and innocence face to face, and examine them, in your prefence, and when they fuppofe you do not obferve them; in the prefence and in the abfence of witneffes; with juftice fee, with juftice hear and obey the determined voice of unprejudiced conviction. Remark their walk when they enter, and when they leave the judge-
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ment-hall. Let the light fall upon their counternances', be yourfelf in the fhade. Phyfiognomy will render the torture unneceffary, will deliver innocence, will make the moft obdurate vice turn pale, will teach us how we may act upon the moft hardened. Every thing human muft be imperfect, yet will it be evident that the torture, more difgraceful to man than the halter, the axe, and the wheel, is infinitely more uncertain and dangerous than phyfiognomy. The pain of torture is more horrible even than the fucceeding death, yet it is only to prove, to difcover truth. Phyfiognomy fhall not execute, and yet it fhall prove ; and by its proof, vice alone, and not innocence, fhall fuffer. O ye judges of men, be men, and humanity fhall teach you, with more open eyes, to fee and abhor all that is inhuman!

## C H A P. XLV.

## $A$ Word to the Clergy.

YOUU alfo, my brethren, need a certain degreeof phyfiognomy, and perhaps princes, excepted, no men more. You ought to know whom you have before you, that you may difcern fpirits, and portion out the word of truth to each, according

* A few years fince, one philofopher wrote to another, the torture will foon be abolifhed in Auftria. It was afked, What fhall be its fubftitute ? The penetrating look of the judge, replied Sonnenfels. Phyfiognomy will, in twentyfive years, become a part of jurifprudence, inftead of the torture, and lectures will be read in the univerfities on the Pbypognomice forenfe, inftead of the Medicina forenfis.
ing to his need and capacity. To whom can a knowledge of the degree of actual and poffible virtue, in all who appear before you, be more advantageous than to you?

To me phyfiognomy is more indifpenfable than the liturgy. It is to me alike profitable for doctrine, exhortation, comfort, correction, examination.; with the healthy, with the fick, the dying, the malefactor; in judicial examinations, and the education of youth. Without it, I fhould be as the blind leading the blind.

I might be robbed of my ardour, or infpired with enthufiafm, by a fingle countenance. Wienever I preach, I generally feek the moft noble countenance, on which I endeavour to act, and the weakeft when teaching children. It is generally our own fault if our hearers are inattentive; if they do not themfelves give the key, in which it is neceffary they fhould be addrefled.

Every teacher poffeffed of phyfiognomonical fenfation will eafily difcern and arrange the principal clafles among his hearers, and what each clafs can and cannot receive. Let fix or feven claffes, of various capacities, be felected; let a chief, a reprefentative, a characteriftic countenance, of each clafs be chofen: Let thefe countenances be fixed in the memory, and let the preacher accommodate himfelf to each; fpeaking thus to one, and thus to another, and in fuch a manner to a third.

There cannot be a more natural, effective, or definite incitement to eloquence, than fuppofing fome characteriftic countenance prefent, of the capacity of which almoft mathematical certainty may be obtained. Having fix or feven, I have nearly my whole audionce before me; I do not then fpeak to the winds. God teaches us by phyfiognomy to
act upon the beft of men according to the beft of means.

## C H A P. XLVI.

## Phyfognomonical Elucidations of Countenances.

AREGULAR well formed countenance is where all the parts are remarkable for their fymmetry. The principal features, as the eyes, nofe, and mouth, neither fmall nor bloated. In which the pofition of the parts, taken together, and viewed at a diftance, appears nearly horizontal aud parallel.

A beautiful countenance is that in which, befides the proportion and pofition of the parts, harmony, uniformity, and mind, are vifible; in which nothing is fuperfluous, nothing deficient, nothing dif proportionate, nothing fuperadded, but all is conformity and concord.

A pleafant countenance does not neceffarily require perfect fymmetry and harmony, yet nothing muft be wanting, nothing burthenfome. Its pleafantry will principally exift in the eye and lips, which muft have nothing commanding, arrogant, contemptuous, but muft generally fpeak complacency, affability, and benevolence.

A graciozs counterance arifes out of the pleafant, when, far from any thing affuming, to the mildeft benevolence are added affability and purity.

A cbarming countenance muft not fimply confift either of the beautiful, the pleafant, or the gracious; but when to thefe is added a rapid propriety of motion, which renders it charming.

An inf/inuating countenance ieaves no power to active or paffive fufpicion. It has fomething more than che pleafant, by infufing that into the heart, which the pleafant only manifefts.
Other fpecies of thefe delightful countenances are, the attracting, the winning, the irrefiftible.
Very diftinct from ail thefe are the amufing, the divertingly loquacious, the merely mild, and alfo the tender and delicate.
Superior, and more lovely fill, is the purely innocent, where no diftorted, oblique mufcle, whether in motion, or at reft, is ever feen.
This is fill more exalted, when it is full of foul, of natural fympathy, and power to excite fympathy.
When in a pure countenance good power is accompanied by a fpirit of order, 1 may call it an attic countenance.
Spiritually beautiful may be faid of a countenance where nothing thoughtlefs, inconfiderate, rude, or fevere, is to be expected; and the afpect of which immediately and mildly excites emotion in the principal powers of the mind.

Noble is when we have not the leaft indifcretion to fear, and when the countenance is exalted above us, without a poffibility of envy, while it is lefs fenfible of its own fuperiority than of the pleafure we receive in its prefence.
A great countenance will have few finall fecondary traits: will be in grand divifions without wrinkles; muft exalt, muft affect us in fleep, in plaiter of Paris, in every kind of caricature ; as for example that of Philip de Comines.
A fublime countenance can neither be painted nor defcribed; that by which it is diftinguifhed from all others can only be felt. It muft not only move,
it muft exalt the freftator. We muft at once feel ourfelves greà prefence of all others. Whoever is confcious of its excellence, and can defpife or offend it, may, as hath been before faid, blafpheme againft the great Anthor of its exiftence.

## C H A P. XLVII.

## Phyfiognomonical Anecdotes.

## I.

IHAVE nothing to require of you, faid a father to his innocent fon, when bidding him farewell, but that you will bring me back your prefent countenance.
2.

A noble, amiable, and innocent young lady, who had been educated principally in the country, faw her face in the glafs as fhe paffed it with a caldle in her hand, retiring from evening prayers, and having juft laid down her Bible. Her eyes were caft to the ground, with inexpreffible modefty, at the fight of her own image. She paffed the witter in town, furrounded by adorers, hurried away by diffipation, and plunged in trifling amufements. She forgot her Bible and her devotion. In the beginning of fpring fhe returned to her country feat, her chamber, and the table on which the Bible lay. Again the had the candle in her hand, and again faw herfelf in the glafs. She turned pale, put down the candle, retreated to a fofa, and fell on her knees: "O God! I no longer know my own face.
face. How am I degraded! My follies and vanities are all written in my countenance. Wherefore have they been neglected, illegible, to this inftant ? O come and expel, come and utterly efface them, mild tranquillity, fweet devotion, and ye gentle cares of benevolent love !"
3.
" I will forfeit my life (faid Titus of the prieft Tacitus) if this man be not an arch knave. I have three times obferved him figh and weep without caufe; and ten times turn afide to conceal a laugh he could not reftrain, when vice or misfortune were mentioned."
4.

A franger faid to a phyfiognomift, "How many dollars is my face worth?-" It is hard to determine," replied the latter. "It is worth fifteen hundred (continued the queftioner), for fo many has a perfon lent me upon it, to whom I was a total ftranger."

## 5.

A poor man afked alms. "How much do you want?" faid the perfon of whom he afked, aftonifhed at the peculiar honefly of his countenance. "How. fhall I dare to fix a fum?" anfwered the needy perfon. "Give me what you pleafe, Sir, I hhall be contented and thankful."-" Not fo," replied the phyfiognomift, "as God lives, I will give you what you want, be it little or much.""Then, Sir, be pleafed to give the eight Mhil-lings."-"Here they are; had you afked a hundred guineas you fhould have had them."
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## C H A P. XLVIII.

Mifcellaneous Extracts from Kampf's Efay on the Teniperaments, witb Remarks.

## I.

" TTILL not phyfiognomy be to man what the looking-glafs is to an ugly woman?"
Let me alfo add to the handfome woman. The wife looks in the glafs, and wafhes away fpots; the fool looks, turns back, and remains as he was.

## 2.

" Each temperament, each character has its good and bad. The one has inclinations of which the other is incapable. The one has more than the other. The ingot is of more worth than the guineas individually, into which it is coined; yet the latter are moft ufeful. The tulip delights by its beauty, the carnation by its fmell. The unfeemly wormwood difpleafes both tafte and fmell, yet, in medical virtue, is fuperior to both. There it is thar each contributes to the perfection of the whole."

The carnation fhould not wifh to be a tulip, the finger an eye, nor the weak defire to act within the circle of the Atrong. Each has its peculiar circle, as it has its peculiar form. To wifh to depart from this circle is like wifhing to be tranfported into another body.

$$
3 .
$$

" Within the courfe of a year, we are affured, that the activity of nature changes the body, yet wc
we are fenfible' of no change of mind, although our body has beer fubjected to the greateft changes, in confequence of meat, drink, air, and other accidents; the difference of air and manner of life does not ciange the temperament."

The foundation of character lies deeper, and is. in a certain degree; independent of all accidents. It is probably the fpiritual and immortal texture, into which all that is vifible, corruptible, and tranfitory, is interwoven.
4.
"A block of wood may be carved by the ftatu: ary into what form he fhall pleafe; he may make it an Æfop or an Antinous, but he will never change the inherent nature of the wood:"

To know and diftinguifh the materials and form of men, fo far as knowledge contributes to their proper application, is the higheft and moft effectual wifdom of which human niature is capable.
5.
" In the eyes of certain perfons there is fomething fublime, which beams and exacts reverence. This fublimity is the concealed power of raifing themfelves alove others, which is not the wretched effect of conftraint, but primitive effence. Each finds himfelf obliged to fubmit to this fecret power, without knowing why, as foon as he perceives that look, implanted by nature to infpire reverence, fhining in the eyes. Thofe who poffers this natural, fovereign effence, rule as lords, or lions, among men by native privilege, with heart and tongue conquering all.
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6. 

" There are only four principal afpects, all different from each other, the ardent, the dull, the fised, and the fluctuating."

The application is the proof of all general propofitions. Let phyfiognomonical axioms be applied to known individuals, friends, or enemies, and their truth or falfehood, precifion or inaccuracy, will eafily be determined. Let us make the experiment with the above, and we fhall certainly find there are numerous afpects which are not included within thefe four ; fuch as the luminous afpect, very different from the ardent, and neither fixed like the melancholic, nor fluctuating like the fanguine.

There is the look or afpect which is at once rapid and fixed, and, as I may fay, penetrates and attaches at the fame moment. There is the tranquilly active look, neither choleric nor phlegmatic. 1 think it would be better to arrange them into the giving, the receiving, and the giving and receiving combined; or into intentive and extenfive; or into the attracting, repelling, and unparticipating; into the contracted, the relaxed, the frained, the attaining, the unattaining, the tranquil, the feady, the flow, the open, the clofed, the cold, the amosous, \&c.

CHAP. XLIX.

## Upon Portrait Painting.

$\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{c}}$ORTRAIT painting, the moft natural, manly, ufeful, noble, and, however apparently eafy, is the moft difficult of the arts. Love firft difcovered thiş heavenly art. Without love, what could it perform?

As on this art depends a great part of this prefent work, and the fcience on which it treats, it is proper that fomething fhould be faid on the fubject. Something; for how new, how important, and great a work might be written on this art ! For the honour of man, and of the art, I hope fuch a work will be written. I do not dioink it ought to be the work of a painter, however great in his profeffion, but of the underitanding friend of phyfiognomy, the man of tafte, the daily confidential obferver of the great Portrait painter.

Sultzer, that philofopher of tafte and difcernment, has an excellent article, in his dictionary, on this fubject, under the word Portrait. But what can be faid in a work fo confined, on a fubject io extenfive? Again, whoever will employ his thaghts on this arts will find that it is fufficient to exercife all the fearching, all the active powers of man; that it never can be entirely learned, nor ever can arrive at ideal perfection.

I fhall now attempt to recapitulate fome of the avoidable atd unavoidable difficulties attendant on this art; the knowledge of which, in my opinion, is as necefifary to the painter as to the phyliognomift.

Let us firft inquire, What is portrait painting? It is the communication, the prefervation of the image of fome individual ; the art of fuddenly depicting all that can be depicted of the half of man, which is rendered apparent, and which never can be conveyed in words. If what Gothe has fometwhere faid; be true, and in my opinion nothing can be more true, that the beff text for a commentary. on man is his prefence, his countenance, his form, how important then is the art of painting!

To this obfervation of Gothe's, I will add a paffage on the fubject from Sultzer's excellent Dictionary: " Since no object of knowledge whatever ean be more important to us than a thinking and feeling foul, it cannot be denied but that man, confidered according to his form, even though we fhould neglect what is wonderful in him, is the moft important of vifible objects."
The portrait painter fhould know, feel, and be penetrated with this; penetrated with reverence for the greateft works of the greateft mafters. Were fuch the fubject of his meditation, not from conflraint, but native fenfation; were it as natural to him as the love of life, how important, how facred to him, would this art become! Sacred to him fhould be the living counte:ance as the text of holy fcripture to the tranflator. As careful fhould the one be not to falfify the work, as fhould be the other not to fallify the word of God.

Great is the contempt which an excellent tranflator of an excellent work deferves, whofe mind is wholly inferior to the mind of the original. And is it not the fame with the portrait painter? The countenance is the theatre on which the foul exhibits itfelf : here muft its emanations be ftudied and
caught. Whoever cannot feize thefe emanations, cannot paint, and whoever cannot paint thefe, is no portrait painter.

Each perfect portrint is an important painting, fince it difplays the human mind with the peculiarities of perfonal character. In fuch we contemplate a being, where underflanding, inclinations, fenfrtions, paffions, good and bad qualities of mind and heart are mingled in a manner peculiar to itfelf. We here frequently fee them better than in nature itfelf, fince in nature nothing is fixed, all is fwift, all is tranfient. In nature allo we feldom bebold the features under that propitious afpect in which they will be tranmitted by the able painter.

If we could indeed feize the fleeting tranfitions of nature, or had fhe her moments of fability, it would then be much more advantageous to contemplate nature than her likenefs; but this being impoflible, and fince likewife few people will fuffer themfelves to be obferved, fufficiently to deferve the name of obfervation, it is to me indiffutable, that a better knowledge of man may be obtained from portraits than from nature, fhe being thus ancertain, thus fugitive.

The rank of the portrait painter may hence be eafily determined; he ftands next to the hiftorical painter. Nay hiftory painting itfelf derives a part of its value from its portraits; for expreffion, one of the molt important requifites in hiftorical painting, will be the more eftirable, natural, and Atrong, the more of actual phyfiognomy is expreffed in the countenauces, and copied after nature. A collection of excellent portraits is highly advantageous to the hiforical painter for the fludy of expreffion.

Where fhall we find the hiftorical painter, who can reprefent real beings with all the decorations of fiction? Do we not fee them all copying copies? True it is, they frequently copy from imagination; but this imagination is only fored with the fathinnable figures of their own or former times.

Having prefumed thus far, let us now enumerate fome of the furmountable difficulties of por. . trait painting. I am confcious the freedom with which I fatili fpeak my thoughts will offend, yet to give offence is far from my intention. I wifh to aid, to teach that art, which is the imitation of the works of God: 1 wifh improvement. And how is improvement poffible without a frank and undifguifed difcovery of defects?
In all the works of portrait painters which I have feen, I have remarked the want of a more pliilofophical, that is to fay, a more juft intelligible, and uaiverfal knowledge of men. The infect painter, who has no accurate knowledge of infeits, the form, the general, the particular, which is appropriated to each infect, however good a copyift he may be, will certainly be a bad painter of infects. The portrait pairter, howerer excellent a copyift, (a thing much lefs general than is imagined by connoiffeurs) will paint portraits ill if he have not the moft accurate knowledge of the form, proportion, connection, and dependence of the great and minute parts of the human body, as far as they have a remarkable influence on the fuperficies; if he has not molt accurately inveftigated each individual member and feature. For my own part, be my knowledge what it may, it is far from accurate in what relates to the minute fpecific traits of each fenfation, each member, each feature; yet I daily remark that this acute, this indifpenfable
difpenfable knowledge is at prefent every where uncultivated, unknown, and difficult to convey to the moft intelligent painters.

Thofe who will be at the trouble of confidering a number of men promifcuoully taken, feature by féature, will find that each ear, each mouth, notwithftanding their infinite diverfity, have yet their fmall carves, corners, characters, which are common to all, and which are found ftronger or weaker, more or lefs marking, in all men who are not monfters born, at leaft in thefe parts.

Of what advantage is all our knowledge of the great proportions of the body and counteance ? (Yet even that part of kuowledge is, by far, not fufficiently ftudied, not fufficiently accurate. Some future phyfiognomonical painter will juftify this affertion, till when be it confidered as nothing more than cavil.) Of what advantage, I fay, is all our knowledge of the great proportions, when the knowledge of the finer traits, which are equally true, general, determinate, and no lefs fignificant, is wanting! Aud this want is fo great, that I appeal to thofe who are beft informed, whether many of the ableft painters, who have painted numerous portraits, have any tolerably accurate or general theory of the mouth ouly. I do not mean the anatomical month, but the month of the painter, which he ought to fee, and may fee, without any anatomical knowledge.

I have examined volume after volume of engravings of portraits, after the greateft mafters, and am therefore entitled to fpeak. But let us confine dbfervations to the mouth. Having previoully ftudied infants, boys, youth, manhood, old age, maidens, wives, matrons, with refpect to the general properties of the mouth; and, having dif-
covered thefe, let us compare, and we thall find that almoft all painters have failed in the general theory of the mouth; that it feldom happens, and feems only to happen by accident, that any mafter has underftood thefe general properties. Yet how indefcribably much depends on them! What is the particular, what the charasteriftic, but thades of the general ! As it is with the mouth, fo it is with the eyes, eyebrows, nofe, and each part of the coumtenance.

The fame proportion exifts between the great features of the face; and as there is this general proportion in all countenances, however various, fo is there a fimilar proportion between the finall traits of thefe parts. Infinitely varied are the great features, in their general combination and proportion. As infinitely varied are the -hades of the fmall traits, in thefe features, however great their general refemblance. Without an accurate knowledge of the proportion of the principal features, as, for example, of the eyes and mouth, to each other, it munt ever be mere accident, an accident that indeed rarely happens, when fuch proportion exifts in the works of the painter. Without an accurate knowledge of the particular contituent parts, and traits of each principal feature, I once again repeat, it muft be accident, mivaculous accident, fhould any one of them be juftly delineated.

The reflecting artift may be induced from this remark to ftudy nature intimately by principle, and to fhew him, if he be in fearch of permanent fame, that, though he ought to behold and fudy the woriss of the greateft maters with efteem and reverence, he yet ought to examine and judge for himfelf. Let him not make the virtue modefty his prea, for under this does omniprefent mediocrity

Ghelter itfelf. Modefty, indeed, is not fo properly virtue as the garb and ornament of virtue, and of exifting pofitive power. Let him, I fay, examine for himfelf, and fludy nature in whole and in part, as if no man ever lad obferved, or ever hould obferve, but himfelf. Deprived of this, young artift, thy glory will but refemble a meteor's blaze; it will only be founded on the iguorance of your contemporaries.

By far the greater part of the beft portrait painters, when mof fuccefsful, like the majerity of phyfiognomits, content themfelves with expreffing the character of the paffions in the moveable, the mufcular features of the face. They do not underftand, they laugh at, rules which prefcribe the grand outline of the countenance as indifpenfable to portrait painting, independent of the ef. feets produced by the astion of the mufcles.

Till inftitutions fhall be formed for the improvement of portrait painting, perhaps till a phyfiognomonical fociety or academy fhall produce phyfiognomonical portrait painters, we fhall at beft but creep in the regions of phyfiognomy, where we might otherwife foar. One of the greateft obftacles to phyliognomy is the actual, incredible imperfection of this art. There is generally a defect of eye or hand of the painter, or the object is defective which is to be delineated, or, perhaps, all three. The artift cannot difcover what $i s$, or cannot draw it when he difcovers it. The object coutinually alters its pofition, which ought to be fo exact, fo continually the fame; or hould it not, and fhould the painter be endowed with an all-obferving eye, an all-imitative hand, ftill there is the laft infuperable difficulty, that of the pofition of the body, which can M 5
but be momentary, which is conftrained, falfe, and unnatural, when more than momentary.

Trifing, indeed, is what I have faid to what might be faid. According to the knowledge I lave of it, this is yet uncultivated ground. How little has Sultzer himfelf faid on the fubject ! But what could he fay in a dictionary? A work wholly dedicated to this is neceffary to examine and decide on the works of the beft portrait painters, and to infert all the cautions and rules neceffary for the young artif, in confequence of the infinite variety, yet incredible uniformity, of the human countenance.

The artift who wifhes to paint portraits perfectly, mult fo paint, that each feectator may with truth exclaim, " This is indeed to paint! this is true, living likenefs; perfect nature; it is not painting ! Outline, form, proportion, pofition, attitude, complexion, light and fhade, freedom, eafe, nature! Nature in every characteriftic difpolition! Nature in the complexion, in each trait, in her moft beauteous, happieft moments, her moft felect, moft propitious ftate of mind; near, at a diftance, on every fide Truth and Nature! Evident to all men, all ages, the ignorant, and the connoiffeur; moft confpicuous to him who has mof kuowledge, no furpicion of art ; a countenance in a mirror, to which we would fpeak, that fpeaks to us; that contemplates more than it is contemplated; we rufh to it, we embrace it, we are enchanted ?"

Young artift, earulate fuch excellence, and the leaft of your attainments in this age will be riches and honour, and fame in futurity. With tears you will receive the thanks of father, friend, and hulband, and your work will honour that Being,
whofe creations it is the nobleft gift of man to imitate.

## C H A P. L.

> Mifcellaneous Quotations.

## 1.
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CAMPANELLA has not only made very accurate obfervations on human faces, but was very expert in mimicking fuch as were any way remarkable. Whenever he thought proper to penetrate into the inclinations of thofe he had to deal with, he compofed his face, his geftures; and his whole body, as nearly as he could into the exact fimilitude of the perfon he intended to examine, and then carefully oblerved what turn of mind. he feemed to acquire by this change. So that, fays my author, he was able to enter into the difpofition and thoughts of people; as effectually as if he had been changed into the very man. I have often obferved that, on mimicking the geftures and looks of angry, or placid, or frightened, or daring men, I have involuntarily found my mind turned to that paflion, whofe appearance I endeavoured toimitate. Nay, I am convinced, it is hard to avoid. it, though one ftrove to feparate the paffion from its correfponding geftures. Our minds and bodies are fo clofely and intimately connected, that one: is incapable of pain or pleafure without the other. Campanella, of whom we have been fpeaking, could fo abitract his attention from any fufferings
of his body, that he was able to endure the rack itfelf without much pain; and, in leffer pains, every body mult have obferved, that, when we can employ our attention on any thing elfe, the pain has been for a time fufpended. On the other hand, if by any means the body is indifpofed to perform fuch geftures, or to be ftimulated into fuch emotions as any paffion ufually produces in it, that paffin itfelf never can arife, though its caufe thould be never fo ftrongly in action, though it thould be merely mental, and immediately affecting none of the fenfes. As an opiate or fpirituous liquor thall fufpend the operation of grief, fear, ot anger, in fpite of all our efforts to the contrary; and this by inducing in the body a difpofition contrary to that which it receives from thefe paffions." This paffage is extracted from Burke on the Sublime and Beautiful.

## 2.

"Who can explain wherein confifts the difference of organization between an ideot and another man ?"

The naturalift, whether Buffon or any other who is become famous, and who can alk this queftion, will never be fatisfied with any given anfwer, even though it were the moft formal demonftration.
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"Diet and exercife would be of no ufe when recommended to the dying."

No human wifdom or power can rectify; but that which is impoffible to man, is not fo to God.
4. The
4.
" The appearance without muft be deformity and fhame, when the worm gnaws within."

Let the hypocrite, devoured by confcience, affume whatever artful appearance he will, of feverity, tranquillity, or vague folemnity, his diftortion will ever be apparent to the phyfiognomift.
5.
" Take a tree from its native foil, its free air, and mountainous fituation, and plant it in the con. fined circulation of a hot-houfe: there it may vegetate, but in a weak and fickly condition. Feed the foreign animal in a den; you will find it in vain. It ftarves in the midft of plenty, or grows fat and feeble."

This, I am forry to fay, is the mournful hiftory of many a man.
6.
"A portrait is the ideal of an individual, not of men in general."

A perfect portrait is neither more nor lefs than the circular form of a man reduced to a flat furface, and which fhall have the exact appearance of the perfon for whom it was painted, feen in a camera obfcura.
7.

I once afked a friend, "How does it happen, that artful and fubtle people always have one or both eyes rather clofed?"-" Becaufe they are feeble (anfwered he). Who ever faw frength and fubtlety united? The miftruft of others is meannefs. towards ourfelves."
8. This
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## 8.

This fame friend, who to me is a man of ten thoufand for whatever relates to mind, wrote two valuable letters on phyfiognomy to me, from which I am allowed to make the following extracts :
" It appears to me to be an eternal law, that the firft is the only true impreffion. Of this I offer no proof, except by afferting fuch is my belief, and by appealing to the fenfations of others. The ftranger affects me by his appearance, and is, to my fenfitive being, what the fun would be to a man born blind reftored to fight.

## 9.

" Rouffeau was right when he faid of D. that man does not pleafe me, though he has never done me any injury : but I muft break with him before it comes to that.

## 10.

"Phyfiognomy is as neceffary to man as language." I may add, as natural.

> C H A P. LI.

## Mifcellaneous Thougbts.

## I.

EVERY thing is good. Every thing may, and muft be mifufed. Phyfiognomonical fenfation is in itfelf as truly good, as godlike, as ex. preflive of the exalted worth of human nature as
moral
moral fenfation, perhaps they are both the fame. The fuppreffing, the deftroying a fenfation fo deferving of honour, where it begins to act, is fint ning agaiuft ourfelves, and in reality equal to refifting the good fpirit. Indeed, good impulfes and actions muft have their limits, in order that they may not impede other good impulfes and actions.
2.

Each man is a man of genius in his large or fmall fphere. He has a certain circle in which he can act with inconceivable force. The lefs his kingdom, the more concentrated is his power, confequently the more irrefiftible is his form of government. Thus the bee is the greateft of mathematicians, as far as its wants extend. Having difcovered the genius of a man, how inconfiderable foever the circle of his activity may be, having caught him in the moment when his genius is at the higheft exertion, the characteriftic token of that genius will alfo be eafily difcovered.

The approach of the Godhead cannot be nearer, in the vifible world, and in what we denominate nature, than in the countenance of a great and noble man. Chrift could not bit truly fay, " He who feeth Me, feeth itim that fent me." God cannot, without a miracle, be feen any where fo fully as in the countenance of a good man. Thus the effence of any man is more prefent, more certain to me, by having obtained this fhade.

## 4.

Great countenances awaken and ftimulate each other, excite all that can be excited. Any nation, having
having once produced a Spencer, a Shakefpeare, and a Milton, may be certain that a Steele, a Pope, and an Addifon, will follow. A great countenance has the credentials of its high original in itfelf. With calm reverence and fimplicity nourifh the mind with the preferice of a great countenance; its emanations fhall attract and exalt thee. A great countenance, in a ftate of reft, acts more powerfully than a common countenance impaffioned; its effects, though unrefembling, are general. The fortunate difciples, though they knew Him not, yet did their hearts burn within them, while he talked with them by the way, and opened to them the fcriptures. The buyers and fellers, whom he drove out of the temple, durft not oppofe him.

It may from herice be conceived how certain perfons, by their mere perfons, have brought a feditious multitude back to their duty, although the latter had acquired the full power. That natural, unborrowed, indwelling power, which is confequently fuperior to any which can be affumed, is as evident to all eyes as the thunder of heaven is to all ears.
5.

Great phyfiognomonical wifdom not only confifts in difcovering the general character of, and being highly affected by the prefent countenance; or this or that particular propenfity, but indifcriminating the individual character of each kind of mind, and its capacity, and being able to define the circle beyond which it cannot pafs; to lay what fenfations, actions, and judgements, are, or are not, to be expected from the man under confideration, that we may not idly wafte power, but difpenfe juft fufficient to actuate and put him in motion.

No man is more liable to the error of thonghtlefs hafte than I was. Four or five years of phyfiognomonical obfervation were requifite to cure me of this too hafty wafte of power. It is a part of benevolence to give, entruft, and participate; but phyflioguomy teaches when, how, and to whom, to give. It therefore teaches true benevolence, to affift where affiftance is wanted, and will be accepted. Oh ! that I could call at the proper moment, and with proper effect, to the feeling and benevolent heart. Wafte not, caft not thy feed upon the waters, or upon a rock. Speak only to the hearer; unbofom thyfelf but to thofe who can. underfand thee; philofophize with none but philofophers; fpiritualize only with the fpiritual. Is requires greater power to bridle ftrength than to give it the rein. To with hold is often better than to give. What is not enjoyed will be call back with acrimopy, or trodden to wafte, and thus will become ufelefs to all.

## 6.

To the good be good; refift not the irrefilible countenance. Give the eye that afss, that comes recommended to thee by Proxidence, or by God himfelf, and which to reject is to reject God, who cannot afk thee more powerfully than when intreating in a cheerful, open, innocent countenance. Thou canft not more immediately glorify God, than by wifhing and acting well to a countenance replete with the fpirit of God ; nor more certainly, and abhorrently, offend and wound the majefty of God, than by defpifing, ridiculing, and turning from fuch a countenance. God cannot more effectually move man than by man. Whoever rejects the man of God, rejects God. To difcover
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 PHYSIOGNOMY.the radiance of the Creator in the vifage of man is the pre-eminent quality of man; it is the fummit of wifdom and benevolence to feel how much of this radiance is there, to difcern this ray of Divinity through the clouds of the moft debafed countenances, and dig out this fmall gem of heaven from amid the ruins and rubbifh by which it is encumbered.
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Shouldeft thou, friend of man, efteem phyfiognomy as highly as 1 do,to whom it daily becomes of greater worth, the more 1 difcover its truth; if thou haft an eye to felect the few noble, or that which is noble in the ignoble, that which is divine in all men, the immortal in what is mortal, then fpeak little, but obferve much; difpute not, but exercife thy fenfation; for thou wilt convince no one to whom this fenfation is wanting.

When noble poverty prefents to you a face, in which humility, patience, faith, and love, fhine confpicuoufly, how fuperior will thy joy be in his words who has told thee, " inafmuch as thou haft done it unto one of the leaft of thefe my brethren, thou haft done it unto me!"
With a figh of hope you will exclaim, when youth and diffipation prefent themfelves, this forehead was delineated by God for the fearch and the difcovery of truth. In this ege refts unripened wifdom.

C H A P. LII.

Of the Union between the knowledge of the Heart and Pbilantbropy.-Mifcellaneous Pbyfognomonical Thoughts from Holy Writ.

MAY the union between the knowledge of the heart and philanthropy be obtained by the fame means? Does not a knowledge of the heart deftroy or weaken philanthropy? Does not our good opinion of any man diminifh when he is perfectly known? And if fo, how may philanthropy be increafed by this knowledge?

What is here alledged is truth; but it is partial truth. And how fruitful a fource of error is partial truth! It is a certain truth, that the majority of men are lofers by being accurately known; but it is no lefs true, that the majority of men gain as much on one fide as they lofe on the other by being thus accurately known. Who is fo wife as never to act foolifhly? Where is the virtue wholly unpolluted by vice; with thoughts, at all moments, fimple, direet, and pure? I dare undertake to maintain, that all men, with fome very rare exceptions, lofe by being known. But it may alfo be proved; by the moft irrefragable arguments, that all men gain by being known; confequently a knowledge of the heart is not detrimental to the love of mankind, but promotes it.

Phyfiognomy difcovers actual and poffible perfections, which, without its aid, muft ever have remained hidden. The more man is ftudied, the more power and pofitive goodnefs will he be difcovered to poffefs. As the experienced eye of the painter
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painter perceives a thoufand fmall fhades and colours, which are unremarked by common fpectators, fo the phyfiognomift views a multitude of actual or poffible perfections, which efcape the general eye of the defpifer, the flanderer, or even the more benevolent judge of mankind.

The good which I, as a phyfiognomift, have obferved in people round me, has more than compenfated that mafs of evil, which, though 1 appeared blind, I could not avoid feeing. The more I have ftudied man, the more have I been convinced of the general influence of his faculties; the more have I remarked, that the origin of all evil is good, that thofe very powers which made him evil, thofe abilities, forces, irritability, elafticity, were all in themfelves actual, pofitive good. The abifence of thefe, indeed, would have occafioned the abfence of an infinity of evil, but fo would they likewife of an ivfinity of good. The effence of good has given birth to much evil; but it contains in itfelf the poffibility of a fill infinite increafe of good.

The leaft failing of an individual incites a general outcry, and his character is at once tarkened, tranapled on, and deftroyed. The phyfiognomift views and praifes the man whon the whole world condemns. What, does he praife vice? -Does he excufe the vicious ! - No; he whifpers, or loudly affirms, "Treat this man after fuch a mamer, and you will be aftonifhed at what he is able, what he may be made willing to perform. He is not fo wicked as he appears; his countenance is better than his actions. His actions, it is true, are legible in his countenance; but no more legible than his great powers, his fenfibility, the pliability of that heart which has had an improper bent. Give
but thefe powers, which have rendered him vicious, another direction, and other objects, and he will perform miracles of virtue:"

The phyfiognomift will pardon where the moft benevolent philanthropift mult condemn. For myelf, fince I have become a phyfiognomift, I have gained knowledge, fo muchi more accurate, of fo many excellent men, and have had' fuch frequent occafions to rejoice my heart in the difcoveries I made concerning fucli men, that this, as I may fay, has reconciled me to the whole human race. What I here mention as having happened to myfelf, each phyfiognomift, being himfelf a man, muft have undoubtedly felt.

## Mificellaneous Pbyftognomonical Thougbts from Holy Writ:

"' Thou haft fet our iniquities before thee, our fecret fins in the light of thy countenance," Pfalm xc. 8.-No man believes in the omnifcience, or has fo ftrong a conviftion of the prefence of God and his angels, or reads the hand of heaven fo vifible in the human countenance, as the phyfiognomif.
" Which of you by taking thought can add one cubit unto his ftature? - And why take ye thought for raiment ?--Seek ye firft the kingdom of God; and his righteoufnefs, and all thefe things fhall be added unto you," Matt. vi. 27. 28. 33.-No man, therefore, can alter his form. The improvement of the internal will alfo be the improvement of the external. Let men take care of the internal, and a fufficient care of the external will be the refult ${ }^{6}$
" When ye faft, be not as the bypocrites, of a fad countenance; for they disfigure their faces that they may appear unto men to faft. Verily I fay unto you they have their reward. But thou, when thou fafteft, anoint thine head and wath thy face, that thou appear not unto men to faft, but unto thy Father which is in fecret; and thy Father, which feeth in fecret, lhall reward thee openly." Matth. vi. 16-18.-Virtue, like vice, may be concealed from men, but not from the Father in fecret, nor from him in whom his fpirit is, who fathoms not only the depths of humanity, but of divinity. He is rewarded, who means that the good he has fhould be feen in his countenance.
"Some feeds fell by the way: fide, and the fowls came and devoured them up; fome fell upon ftony places, where they had not much earth, and forthwith they fprung up, becaufe they had no deepnefs of earth; and when the fun was up they were fcorched, and becaufe they had not earth they withered away; and fome fell among thorns, and the thorns fprung up and choked them; but others fell into good ground, and brought forth fruit, fome an hundred fold, fome fixty fold, fome thirty fold." Matt. xiii. 4-8.-There are many men, many countenances, in whom nothing can be planted, each fowl devours the feed; or they are hard like ftone, with little earth (or fleh), have habits which flife all that is good. There are others that have good bones, good flefh, with a happy proportion of each, and no ftilling habits.
"For whofoever hath to him fhall be given, and he fhall have more abundance; but whofoever hath not, from him fhall be taken away even that he hath," Matth. xiii. 12 .-True again of the good and bad countenance. He who is faithful to the propenfities
propenfities of nature, he hath, he enjoys, he will manifeftly be ennobled. The bad will lofe even the good traits he hath received.
"Take heed that you defpife not one of thefe litule ones; for I fay unto you, that in heaven their angels do always behold the face of my Father which is in heaven." Matth. xviii. 10.-Probably the angels fee the countenance of the father in the countenance of the children.
" If any man have ears to hear let him hear. Do ye not perceice, that whatever thing from without entereth into the man, it cannot defile him, becaufe it entereth not into his heart, but into the belly, and goeth out into the draught, purging all meats? And he faid, that which cometh out of the man, that defileth the man," Mark vii. 16. 18-20. -This is phyfiognomonically true. Not external accidents, not fpots which may be wafled away, not wounds which may be healed, not even fcars which remain, will defile the countenance in the eye of the phyfiognomift, neither can paint beautify it to him.
"A little leaven leaveneth the whole lump." Gal. v. 9.-A little vice often deforms the whole countenance. One fingle falfe trait makes the whole a caricature.
"Ye are our epiftle, written in our hearts, known and read of all men. Forafmuch as ye are manifeftly declared to be the epifle of Chrift miniftered by us, written not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God," 2 Cor. iii. 2. 3.-What need have the good of letters of recommendation to the good? The open countenance recommends itfelf to the open countenance. No letters of recommendation can recommend the perfidious countenance, nor can any flanderer deprive the counte-
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nance, beaming with the divine fpirit, of its letter of recommendation. A good countenance is the beft letter of recommendation.

I fhall conclude with the important paffage from thie eleventh of the Romans :
" God hath concluded them all in unbelief, that he might have mercy upon:all. O the depth of the riches, both of the wifdom and knowledge of God! How unfearchable are his judgements, and his ways paft finding out! For who hath known the mind of the Lord, or who hath been his counfellor ? or who hath firft given to him, and it fhall be recompenfed unto him again? For of him, and through him, and to him, are all things: To whom be glory for ever. Amen."


[^0]:    D 4 the

[^1]:    * Thofe paffages, which are not marked wiih irverted commas, are the obfervations of M. Lavater on the dift ferent parts of M. Sturtz's Effiy.

